

Innovations in Research and Practice Grant Program
Rubric for Preliminary Proposal Review

Category	Scoring
Relevance. How well does the proposed project align with current topics in student-athlete psychosocial well-being (e.g., transfer, transitions, stress, time management, academic success, identity development, financial literacy, career exploration) or mental health?	<i>Minimal, Good, Outstanding</i>
Pilot program design and evaluation. Do you feel that the programmatic design and proposed outcomes are feasible? Do you feel the project outcomes will be sufficiently evaluated in both the short- and long-term?	<i>Minimal, Good, Outstanding</i>
Membership Deliverable. Do you feel that the proposed deliverable(s) would be of great <u>interest/use</u> to the NCAA membership? Could the program/project be adopted/adapted at a range of member institutions?	<i>Minimal, Good, Outstanding</i>
Overall quality. Would you recommend that this team submit a full proposal?	<i>No, Maybe, Yes!</i>
Comments. Please provide brief comments that explain your scoring. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are there areas where you felt this proposal was particularly strong? Weak? • What concerns do you have about the project proposed? 	

