

Innovations in Research and Practice Grant Program
Rubric for Review

Category	Possible points
Relevance. The relevance of the proposal to current topics in student-athlete psychosocial well-being or mental health.	10 points (0=lowest, 10=highest rank)
Innovation. The innovativeness of the pilot program proposed.	10 points (0=lowest, 10=highest rank)
Feasibility. (Review timeline, resume(s) and letter(s) of support to assist with this scoring) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the proposal provide evidence that the project will be completed within the grant period? Are members of the project team well-suited to carry out the proposed pilot? Are the necessary stakeholders included in this design? Are the participants accessible to the project team? 	15 points (0=lowest, 15=highest rank)
Programmatic quality. Quality of <u>campus-level programming</u> outlined in this proposal. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Is the pilot program thoughtfully designed? Will the pilot directly benefit current student-athletes at the participating institution(s)? 	20 points (0=lowest, 20=highest rank)
Research/pilot program design and evaluation plan. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the proposal provide evidence that this pilot is well supported by previous research? Will the programmatic outcomes be sufficiently evaluated in both the short term and over time? 	15 points (0=lowest, 20=highest rank)
Deliverable(s) quality. Quality of program deliverable(s) to be made available to the NCAA membership at low or no cost. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does the proposal provide evidence that the deliverables will be completed and shared with NCAA members by the end of the grant term? Will programmatic replication (either in full or with modifications) be feasible at a range of member institutions (across divisions, geographic regions, resource-availability levels)? 	20 points (0=lowest, 20=highest rank)
Overall quality and clarity of proposal writing.	10 points (0=lowest, 10=highest rank)
Comments. Please provide brief comments that explain your scoring. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are there areas where you felt this proposal was particularly strong? Weak? What concerns do you have about the project proposed? 	
TOTAL	100 points possible



RESEARCH