

**Innovations in Research and Practice Grant Program
2020 Rubric for Review**

Category	Possible points
<p>Relevance. The relevance of the proposal to current topics in student-athlete psychosocial well-being or mental health.</p>	<p>10 points (0=lowest, 10=highest rank)</p>
<p>Innovation. The innovativeness of the pilot program proposed.</p>	<p>10 points (0=lowest, 10=highest rank)</p>
<p>Feasibility. (review timeline, resume and letter(s) of support to assist with this scoring)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Will the project be completed by January 2021? • Are members of the project team well-suited to carry out the proposed pilot? • Are the necessary stakeholders included in this design? • Are the participants accessible to the project team? 	<p>20 points (0=lowest, 20=highest rank)</p>
<p>Programmatic quality. Quality of <i>campus-level programming</i> outlined in this proposal.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the pilot program thoughtfully designed? • Would member institutions be interested in replicating this design? • Would programmatic replication (either in full or with modifications) be feasible at a range of member institutions (across divisions, geographic regions, resource-availability levels)? 	<p>30 points (0=lowest, 30=highest rank)</p>
<p>Research/pilot program design.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the proposal provide evidence that this pilot is well supported by previous research? • Will the programmatic outcomes be sufficiently evaluated in both the short term and over time? 	<p>20 points (0=lowest, 20=highest rank)</p>
<p>Overall quality and clarity of proposal writing.</p>	<p>10 points (0=lowest, 10=highest rank)</p>
<p>Comments. Please provide brief comments that explain your scoring.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are there areas where you felt this proposal was particularly strong? Weak? • What concerns do you have about the project proposed? 	
<p>TOTAL</p>	<p>100 points possible</p>