

NEGOTIATED RESOLUTION¹

NCAA Member Institutions – Case No. 020498

June 18, 2026

I. CASE SYNOPSIS

The NCAA enforcement staff believes a hearing panel of the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions could conclude violations occurred pursuant to NCAA Bylaws 19.7.2 and 19.10.6. Former Iona University (Iona) men's basketball student-athlete Adam Njie Jr. (Njie) agrees with the violations in which he is named detailed below.

On July 16 and September 5, 2025, the enforcement staff interviewed a source in connection with a separate sports wagering case and his knowledge of two known bettors (Known Bettors 1 and 2).² The source advised Known Bettor 1 had communicated with Njie.

The enforcement staff engaged gaming regulators to identify bets Known Bettor 1 placed on men's college basketball games. The Mississippi Gaming Commission reported that Known Bettor 1 placed three bets totaling \$15,500 on Rice University (Rice) to win the first half spread line against Iona on December 1, 2024.

The enforcement staff's investigation revealed that Njie was friends with Known Bettor 2, who introduced him to Known Bettor 1. Known Bettor 2 told Njie that Known Bettor 1 was known for paying student-athletes to fix games. Before the Iona versus Rice game on December 1, 2024, Njie confirmed he told Known Bettor 1 he would throw the first half of the game. However, Njie did not go through with it.

After the Iona versus Rice game, Known Bettor 1 threatened Njie with bodily harm due to Known Bettor 1's first half betting losses because of Njie's performance. Njie told Known Bettor 1 he would throw the first half of the Iona versus Sacred Heart University (Sacred Heart) game on December 6 to make up for Known Bettor 1's losses on the Iona versus Rice game. Again, Njie stated he did not go through with intentionally losing the first half.

Digital evidence corroborated that Njie shared information with Known Bettor 1 before the Iona versus Rice game on December 1, 2024, and Iona versus Sacred Heart game on December 6, 2024. The digital evidence also confirmed Known Bettor 1's threats to Njie before the Sacred Heart game.

¹ In reviewing this agreement, the hearing panel made editorial revisions pursuant to NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions (COI) Internal Operating Procedure (IOP) 4-7-1-2. These modifications did not affect the substance of the agreement.

² Known Bettors 1 and 2 were indicted January 14, 2026, by the United States Attorney's Office in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania on wire fraud and bribery charges related to sports contests.

NEGOTIATED RESOLUTION

Case No. 020498

June 18, 2026

Page No. 2

II. FINDINGS OF FACT, VIOLATIONS OF NCAA LEGISLATION AND VIOLATION LEVELS

A. Agreed-upon findings of fact, violations of NCAA legislation and violation levels.

1. [NCAA Division I Bylaws 10.01.1 and 10.3 (2024-25)] (Level I)³

On December 1 and 6, 2024, Njie violated the principles of NCAA honesty and sportsmanship when he knowingly provided information, to individuals involved in sports betting activities before two men's basketball games to financially benefit others. Specifically, on December 1 and 6 before the institution's games versus Rice and Sacred Heart, respectively, Njie provided information that he would participate in point shaving so that the institution would lose by more points than the first half betting spread identified by sportsbook operators for financial gain.

III. OTHER VIOLATIONS OF NCAA LEGISLATION SUBSTANTIATED; NOT ALLEGED

None.

IV. REVIEW OF OTHER ISSUES

As noted, Njie maintained he did not engage in game manipulation and Njie cooperated with the enforcement staff during multiple interviews.

V. AGREEMENTS

The enforcement staff and participating parties believe(s) this case can be processed through the NCAA negotiated resolution process as outlined in Bylaw 19.10, and a hearing panel comprised of members of the Committee on Infractions will review the negotiated resolution.

The enforcement staff and the participating party agrees with and/or acknowledge/s the following:

- The negotiated resolution contains findings of fact of NCAA violations based on information available at this time.

³ Because a student-athlete (and not a current or former institutional staff member) committed the violation alleged and is the named involved individual, the institution's portion of this case will be processed as Level III pursuant to Bylaw 19.1.1.

NEGOTIATED RESOLUTION

Case No. 020498

June 18, 2026

Page No. 3

- Nothing in this resolution precludes the enforcement staff from investigating additional information about potential rules violations.
- Pursuant to Bylaw 19.1.2, the violations identified in this agreement occurred and should be classified as Level I.
- This negotiated resolution may be voidable by the Committee on Infractions if any of the involved parties were aware or become aware of information that materially alters the factual information on which this negotiated resolution is based.
- The hearing panel, subsequent to its review of the negotiated resolution, may reject the negotiated resolution.
- Should the hearing panel reject the negotiated resolution, the hearing panel will issue instructions for processing of the case pursuant to hearing resolution (Bylaw 19.8) or limited resolution (Bylaw 19.9) and prior agreed-upon terms of the rejected negotiated resolution will not be binding.
- Should a hearing panel approve the negotiated resolution, there are no NCAA hearing and appellate opportunities.

VI. DIVISION I COMMITTEE ON INFRACTIONS APPROVAL

Pursuant to NCAA Bylaw 19.10.1, the panel approves the resolution. The panel's review of this resolution is limited. Panels may only reject a negotiated resolution agreement if the agreement is not in the best interests of the Association or if the agreed-upon penalties are manifestly unreasonable. *See* Bylaw 19.10.4. In this case, the panel determines the uncontested facts and violations are appropriate for this process. Pursuant to Bylaw 19.10.6, this resolution has no precedential value.

NCAA COMMITTEE ON INFRACTIONS PANEL

Norman Bay

Kendra Greene, chief hearing officer

Steve Waterfield