NEGOTIATED RESOLUTION¹

NCAA Member Institutions – Case No. 020420

September 10, 2025

I. CASE SYNOPSIS

Former California State University, Fresno, (Fresno State) men's basketball student-athlete Jalen Weaver (Weaver) and the NCAA enforcement staff agree with the violations.

On January 17, 2025, a sports integrity monitoring service notified Fresno State and the enforcement staff that a Nevada sportsbook operator had flagged suspicious proposition (prop) bets on then Fresno State men's basketball student-athlete Mykell Robinson (Robinson).

On January 23, 2025, Fresno State and the enforcement staff began a collaborative investigation that substantiated through phone imaging that Robinson and Weaver participated in impermissible sports betting activity. In addition to betting on himself, Weaver also provided information to Robinson about Weaver's daily fantasy sports prop bet performance lines. Further, Robinson provided information on his individual performance daily fantasy sports prop bet lines to Weaver so that Weaver could place prop bets on Robinson for Fresno State's December 31, 2024, men's basketball game versus University of New Mexico (New Mexico). Weaver placed a \$50 prop bet on himself as part of a three-person parlay, including Robinson and another men's basketball student-athlete at a different institution, taking the over-line numbers for his assists, Robinson's three pointers made and the other men's basketball student-athlete's points scored.

On February 20, 2025, Weaver participated in an interview with the enforcement staff and admitted to betting on himself and Robinson. The institution subsequently released Weaver from the team.²

Weaver has one year of eligibility remaining and entered the transfer portal March 24, 2025.

II. PARTIES' AGREEMENTS ON FINDINGS OF FACT, VIOLATIONS OF NCAA LEGISLATION AND VIOLATION LEVELS

1. [NCAA Division I Manual Bylaws 10.01.1 and 10.3 (2024-25)] (Level I)³

Weaver and the enforcement staff agree that in December 2024, Weaver violated the principles of NCAA honesty and sportsmanship when he knowingly participated in personal sports wagering

¹ In reviewing this agreement, the hearing panel made editorial revisions pursuant to NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions (COI) Internal Operating Procedure (IOP) 4-7-1-2. These modifications did not affect the substance of the agreement.

² On February 28, 2025, Weaver spoke with an ESPN news reporter and also admitted to his sports wagering activity.

³ Because a student-athlete (and not a current or former institutional staff member) committed the violation alleged and is the named involved individual, the institution's portion of this case will be processed as Level III pursuant to Bylaw 19.1.1.

NEGOTIATED RESOLUTION Case No. 020420 September 10, 2025

Page No. 2

activities, including activities connected to Weaver's performance in an intercollegiate competition to financially benefit himself and others. Specifically:

a. Between December 29 through 31, 2024, prior to Fresno State's men's basketball game against New Mexico, Weaver provided information to Robinson on different performance options for daily fantasy sports prop bets. On December 31, Weaver placed a \$50 prop bet on himself as part of a three-person parlay, including Robinson and another men's basketball student-athlete at a different institution, taking the over-line numbers for his assists, Robinson's three pointers made and the other men's basketball student-athlete's points scored. As a result, Weaver won \$260.

III. OTHER VIOLATIONS OF NCAA LEGISLATION SUBSTANTIATED; NOT ALLEGED

None.

IV. REVIEW OF OTHER ISSUES

None.

V. OTHER AGREEMENTS

The parties agree that this case will be processed through the NCAA negotiated resolution process as outlined in Bylaw 19.10, and a hearing panel comprised of members of the NCAA Division I Committee on Infractions will review the negotiated resolution. The parties acknowledge that the negotiated resolution contains agreed-upon findings of fact of NCAA violations. Nothing in this resolution precludes the enforcement staff from investigating additional information about potential rules violations. Pursuant to Bylaw 19.1.2, the violations identified in this agreement occurred and should be classified as Level I.

The parties acknowledge that this negotiated resolution may be voidable by the Committee on Infractions if any of the parties were aware or become aware of information that materially alters the factual information on which this negotiated resolution is based.

The parties further acknowledge that the hearing panel, subsequent to its review of the negotiated resolution, may reject the negotiated resolution. Should the hearing panel reject the negotiated resolution, the parties understand that the hearing panel will issue instructions for processing of the case pursuant to hearing resolution (Bylaw 19.8) or limited resolution (Bylaw 19.9) and prior agreed-upon terms of the rejected negotiated resolution will not be binding.

NEGOTIATED RESOLUTION Case No. 020420 September 10, 2025 Page No. 3

Should a hearing panel approve the negotiated resolution, the parties agree that they waive NCAA hearing and appellate opportunities.

VI. DIVISION I COMMITTEE ON INFRACTIONS APPROVAL

Pursuant to NCAA Bylaw 19.10.1, the panel approves the parties' negotiated resolution agreement. The panel's review of this agreement is limited. Panels may only reject a negotiated resolution agreement if the agreement is not in the best interests of the Association or if the agreed-upon penalties are manifestly unreasonable. *See* Bylaw 19.10.4. In this case, the panel determines the agreed-upon facts and violations are appropriate for this process. Pursuant to Bylaw 19.10.6, this negotiated resolution has no precedential value.

NCAA COMMITTEE ON INFRACTIONS PANEL Jason Leonard, chief hearing officer Kay Norton Roderick Perry