



**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION III INTERPRETATIONS AND LEGISLATION COMMITTEE
SEPTEMBER 19-20, 2022, MEETING**

KEY 2023 CONVENTION ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative items.

a. Resolution – Establishing a Special Rule of Order (Bylaw 9.2.5) for the Division III business session at the 2023 National Convention that would allow for an alternative voting method for proposals Nos. 2-4 and 2-5.

(1) Recommendation. That NCAA Division III Management Council recommend that NCAA Division III Presidents Council sponsor a resolution to permit a special rule of order at the 2023 Convention that would establish a two-step voting process on Proposal Nos. 2-4 and 2-5. (Both proposals provide an alternative to the existing Bylaw 17 playing seasons structure.) Specifically, the first membership vote would determine which proposal they prefer, Proposal No. 2-4 or No. 2-5. The proposal receiving fewer votes would be eliminated and then the second membership vote would be to address the remaining proposal against the status quo (i.e., the typical voting process at convention).

(2) Effective date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. This special rule of order prioritizes and values the membership having a substantive discussion about the issues presented in the playing seasons proposals. Without this alternative voting method, the membership would focus on procedural motions to determine which of the proposals would be voted on first which would not include a debate on the merits of the proposals. Then once the order is established several situations could occur, including due to mootness issues, one of the proposals not being discussed nor voted upon. This special rule of order is necessary to minimize the impact of the procedural motions establishing the voting order and provide members the opportunity to discuss these proposals together, and ultimately vote on which they prefer.

(4) Budget impact. None.

(5) Student-athlete impact. None.

b. Amendment-to-Amendment to Proposal No. 2-9 (Committees -- Division III Committees -- Presidents Council and Management Council -- Amend Composition and Representation) to specify that student-athletes on each council do not satisfy the conference representation requirement.

(1) Recommendation. That the Management Council recommend Presidents Council sponsor an amendment to Proposal No. 2-9 that student-athletes on each council would not satisfy the conference representation requirement.

(2) Effective date. August 1, 2023.

(3) Rationale. The student-athletes on the Management and Presidents Councils are members of the National Student-Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC) and represent the student-athlete voice on those councils. This is a unique perspective and should remain their focus. Being the sole representative from a conference, in lieu of a president/chancellor or administrator, places a greater burden on the individual to consider issues from a perspective other than that of a student-athlete. As a result, their role would shift from one of representing the larger student-athlete voice, which they were nominated to National SAAC to do, to one of representing the broader concerns of their conference membership. Presidents/chancellors and administrators are more directly involved with these conference concerns and issues and can represent them effectively at council meetings. The councils will be stronger if they maintain both the conference and the student-athlete voice. The only way to effectively achieve that balance within the constructs of this proposal is to sponsor this amendment that the National SAAC members would remain on council, but the conference representational requirements could not be satisfied by those members.

(4) Budget impact. This amendment would result in up to four more council members than what the original proposal provides.

(5) Student-athlete impact. The National SAAC would maintain its voice on each council.

c. Divide Proposal No. 2-9 into two distinct proposals and sponsor a Resolution – establishing a special rule of order (Bylaw 9.2.5) for the Division III business session at the 2023 NCAA Convention that would allow for an alternative voting method for Proposal Nos. 2-9-2 and 2-11;

(1) Recommendation. That Management Council recommend that Presidents Council: (1), use its amendment authority to divide Proposal No. 2-9 into two separate proposals—one addressing committee composition and one addressing council composition; and then (2) sponsor a resolution to permit a special rule of order at the 2023 Convention that would allow a two-step voting process on the council composition section of Proposal No. 2-9 and Proposal No. 2-11. (Both provide an alternative to the existing council structure.) This would be the same process as the previous recommendation for special order, as the first membership vote would determine which proposal they prefer, Proposal No. 2-9 or Proposal No. 2-11. The proposal receiving fewer votes would be eliminated and then the second membership vote would be to address the remaining proposal against the status quo (i.e., the typical voting process at convention).

(2) Effective date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. The committee is recommending this process for the same reasons as articulated in Action Item No. 1(a). However, this recommendation requires the additional procedural step of dividing the current Proposal No. 2-9 into two separate proposals. Specifically, the Proposal No. 2-9-1 would address the six-committee composition amendment, while Proposal No. 2-9-2 would address the council composition amendment. The special rule of order would only apply to Proposal No. 2-9-2 and would then allow for the Proposal No. 2-9-2 to be voted against Proposal No. 2-11 which only addresses council composition.

(4) Budget impact. None.

(5) Student-athlete impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative item.

- **Convention Proposal Grouping and Voting Method for 2023.**

(1) Recommendation. That Management Council recommends Presidents Council approve the voting order as outlined in Attachment A and designate votes for all proposals at the 2023 Convention to be taken using the roll-call method.

(2) Effective date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. While Presidents Council is responsible for establishing the order of proposal voting as well as the method for conducting those votes, the committee conducts an initial review and makes a recommendation on both issues. Since the electronic voting units and technology permit the recording of all votes expeditiously, the committee agreed that votes for all proposals (presidential and general grouping) should be taken using the roll call method as it provides transparency for the membership. Further, the committee submits a proposed voting order as outlined in Attachment A.

(4) Budget impact. None.

(6) Student-athlete impact. None.

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative items.

- a. **Noncontroversial Legislation – To add to the standard list of contest exemptions, Bylaw 17.1.4.5. (One Contest Against a National Team).**

(1) Recommendation. That the Management Council approve in concept noncontroversial legislation that would permit, for all sports, an institution to exempt one contest per

year against a U.S. national team or the international equivalent during the team's traditional segment.

(2) Effective date. August 1, 2023.

(3) Rationale. Both Divisions I and II permit sport specific exemptions for competition against a U.S. national team. Division III student-athletes should receive the same opportunity to compete against national teams, which is already defined in Bylaw 14.7.3.1 (national-team criteria). Providing this opportunity to compete against a national team would enhance the student-athlete experience. Further, the opportunity to exempt the contest should be provided to all sports.

(4) Budget impact. Cost of one additional contest in a year in which the exemption is used.

(5) Student-athlete impact. Potential for missed class time to participate in one additional contest in a year in which the exemption is used.

b. Noncontroversial Legislation – To specify that the football spring period (Bylaw 17.11.7.1) must be completed not later than five weekdays before the first day of the institution's final examination period.

(1) Recommendation. That the Management Council approve in concept noncontroversial legislation that the spring period in football shall be completed no later than five weekdays before the first day of the institution's final examination period.

(2) Effective date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. In 2010 the membership passed Proposal No. 2010-5 which established the end date for the nontraditional segment in the spring as five weekdays before the first day of exams. The rationale for the change recognized that the current end date for the non-traditional segment (which was the first date of the final exam period for the regular academic year) was, "not in the best interest of the student-athlete's academic pursuits and does not give the student-athletes sufficient time prior to final exams to focus on academics." The football spring period was not included in this proposal as it is not considered a "non-traditional segment" and the end date remains the day before the institution's final examination period. Football student-athletes should be granted the same opportunity to prepare for their final exams. This change establishes consistency between football and the end dates for all other fall and spring nontraditional segments and establishes a proper balance between student-athletes' academic pursuits and the institution's flexibility to conduct the spring period in football.

(4) Budget impact. None.

- (5) Student-athlete impact. Provides football student-athletes more time to prepare for their final examinations.

c. Noncontroversial Legislation – To eliminate the attestation of compliance requirement for chancellors and presidents and for athletics directors (Bylaws 20.8.4.13 and 31.2.1.7.2)

- (1) Recommendation. That the Management Council approve in concept noncontroversial legislation that eliminates the yearly requirement for chancellors and presidents and for athletics directors to sign the attestation of compliance form.

- (2) Effective date. August 1, 2023.

- (3) Rationale. The new Constitution addresses institutional responsibility to assure compliance. Requiring an attestation to this responsibility is redundant and an unnecessary administrative burden on institutions. As members of Division III, institutions understand and value their commitment to complying with the operating bylaws and policies and do not need to sign a form declaring that intent.

- (4) Budget impact. None.

- (5) Student-athlete impact. None.

d. Noncontroversial legislation – Establish roll call voting for Convention proposals as the default option (Bylaw 9.1.1.8.3).

- (1) Recommendation: That Management Council approve in concept noncontroversial legislation establishing roll call voting as the default voting option for convention proposals.

- (2) Effective date: August 1, 2023.

- (3) Rationale: Currently Presidents Council establishes the voting method for convention proposals. To implement roll call voting for the proposals, the council must take the affirmative step to approve such voting. Each year the Interpretations and Legislation Committee recommends roll call voting for the convention proposals, Management Council reviews and makes the recommendation to Presidents Council and Presidents Council reviews the request and approves the roll call voting. This proposal would eliminate the requirement for Presidents Council to take this action and rather roll call voting would be the default process with Presidents Council only taking action if there was a request to do something other than roll call voting.

- (4) Estimated budget impact. None.

(5) Student-athlete impact. None.

e. Incorporation of Official Interpretation - Athletics Department Staff Member Involvement in Fundraising for Former High School and for Enrolled Family Member's High School Program.

(1) Recommendation. That the Management Council approve the incorporation of the official interpretation [Reference February 18, 2022, Item No. 1] into legislation.

Athletics Department Staff Member Involvement in Fundraising for Former High School and for Enrolled Family Member's High School Program. The Division III Interpretations and Legislation Committee confirmed that an athletics department staff member may assist in fundraising for their former high school. Further, an athletics department staff member may assist in fundraising for a high school program (e.g., athletics department, band, swim team), if a family member is currently enrolled at the high school. The following criteria must be met for an athletics department staff member to assist in fundraising for their former high school or for a program at a high school where a family member is enrolled:

- (a) The athletics staff member acts independently of the institution (e.g., no donation of institutional items, no publicity of the athletics staff member's donation or presence at an event);
- (b) The funds are distributed through channels established by the high school or the organization conducting the fundraising activity; and
- (c) The funds are not earmarked for a specific prospective student-athlete.

[References: NCAA Division III Bylaws 13.14 (precollege expenses), 13.14.1 (prohibited expenses), and 13.14.1.2 (fundraising for high school athletics program) and official interpretations (12/13/90, Item Ref. 3 and 08/03/95, Item Ref. 4).]

(2) Effective date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. The incorporation of this official interpretation clarifies that athletics department staff members may donate in the same way local representatives of an institution's athletics interests, provided the donation is to the staff member's former high school or to a high school that a family member is currently enrolled at or attending. Incorporation of this official interpretation ensures a consistent application of who can donate to high schools and when those donations are permitted to occur by institutional athletics staff.

(4) Estimated budget impact. None.

(5) Student-athlete impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **Review NCAA Division III Strategic Positioning Platform.** The committee reviewed the NCAA Division III Strategic Positioning Platform.
2. **Interpretations and Legislation Committee Roster.** The committee reviewed the roster and agreed to submit any corrections to staff.
3. **Review August Report.** The committee reviewed the report from its August 17, 2022, videoconference.
4. **Review of Interpretations and Legislation Committee Policies and Procedures.** The committee reviewed its written policies and procedures and determined that no updates or revisions were needed.
5. **Review Divisions I and II Staff Interpretations.** The committee reviewed staff interpretations issued in Division II between January and August 2022 and took no further action. It was noted that Division I did not issue any staff interpretations during this period.
6. **Review Divisions I and II Official Interpretations.** The committee reviewed official interpretations issued in Divisions I and II between January and August 2022 and took no action.
7. **Review Division III Official Interpretations.** The committee reviewed official interpretations issued in Division III between January and August 2022. The committee recommended the incorporation of the February 18, 2022, interpretation (athletics department staff member involvement in fundraising for former high school and for enrolled family member's high school program) [See Action Item 1(e)]. The committee requested staff draft an editorial revision to clarify that the 75 minutes of contact permitted in Bylaw 17.11.3.3 (preseason activities after six-day acclimatization period) is a per student limitation.
8. **Review Division I and II Legislative Actions.** The committee reviewed legislative actions in Divisions I and II between January and August 2022. The committee is interested in a future review of Bylaw 13.4 (recruiting materials) and recommended that staff reach out to the Membership Engagement Committee within NADIIIA for feedback before additional action is pursued. The committee discussed Division I Proposal No. 2019-124 (annual exemptions --

U.S. national team) for further consideration [See Action Item No. 1(a)]. The committee reviewed Division I Proposal No. 2021-31 (replace recruiting exam with rules education requirement) along with Division III's current recruiting rules test requirement and began discussions on potential ways to improve the division's educational tools. The committee also identified Division II Proposal No. NC-2023-6 (elimination of attestation of compliance requirement) for further consideration [See Action Item 1(c)].

9. **Review Division III Editorial Revisions.** The committee reviewed editorial revisions issued in Division III between January and August 2022 and took no action.
10. **Review of Division III Educational Columns.** The committee reviewed educational columns issued in Division III between January and August 2022 and took no action.
11. **Requests/Self-Reports Online Update.** The committee reviewed data on Division III interpretive requests, including response time, bylaw cites and urgency status covering five years, that have been submitted to staff using RSRO.
12. **Update on Governance Issues.** The Division III governance staff provided the committee with an update of the topics currently within the Division III governance structure.
13. **Update on Compliance Forms.** The committee received an update that additional reviews may be required for compliance forms moving forward.
14. **Transfer Portal update.** The committee received a general overview of the Division III membership's use of the transfer portal.
15. **Review 2023 NCAA Convention Division III Legislation.** The committee reviewed the Division III proposals under consideration for the Convention and discussed action for future consideration [See Key 2023 Convention Action Items and Action Item No. 1(d)]. The committee also offered suggestions for the questions and answer document. The committee recommended that Management Council take the following positions on membership-sponsored proposals under consideration for the Convention:
 - a. **Division III Proposal – Playing Season – Non-Consecutive Days.** The committee recommended no position for this proposal as it still maintains a position of support for the governance proposal. However, the committee believes this proposal addresses the dissatisfaction with the status quo by introducing a more flexible model and would support the proposal over the current model.
 - b. **Division III Proposal – Playing Season – Acclimatization.** The committee recommended the council take a position of support for this proposal. This proposal is addressing an area of concern and follows the health and safety guidance from the

NCAA's Prevention of Catastrophic Injury and Death in Collegiate Athletes document. In addition, the proposal provides a consistent guideline for fall sports other than football to ensure safe transitions to season preparation. Further, while the budget considerations of earlier reporting to campus were noted, the superseding priority is on the health and safety of student-athletes.

16. Review Name, Image, and Likeness. The committee received an update on the status of state and federal legislation and reviewed fact scenarios from Division I. The committee directed staff to develop resources for the Division III membership on boosters and collectives (Attachment B) and on institutional involvement. The committee discussed updating Proposal No. 2021-1 (student-athlete use of name image and likeness for promotional purposes) and requested that staff analyze the divisional differences.

17. Division III Interpretative Issues.

- a. **Eligibility After Competition of Degree - Quarter Programs.** The committee reviewed a request from a Division III member institution to determine if the legislation in Bylaw 14.1.8.1.7.7 (eligibility after completion of degree requirements) creates unintended inequities in its application for institutions with quarter verse semester based academic calendars. After reviewing the impact of the current legislation, the committee determined not to recommend a change in the legislation but rather the concerns should be addressed through the waiver process.
- b. **Football Spring Period.** The committee discussed the timeframe for the spring period for the sport of football and identified the end date for further consideration [See also, Action Item 1(b)].
- c. **Division III Legislative Process.** The committee began its review of the current legislative process. The committee began discussing sponsorship requirements, timelines and publications. The committee agreed to continue to review at future meetings with the purpose of improving the legislative process, create broader participation and fostering a more collaborative process.
- d. **Graduation Dates and Bylaw 14.2.4.4.** The committee received an update from staff on how the NCAA Eligibility Center will determine graduation dates during Bylaw 14.2.4.4 delayed enrollment reviews. Staff will work with the Eligibility Center and follow up with the committee if the data shows that the intent of the delayed enrollment legislation is not being met by using a prospective student-athlete's actual high school graduation date.

18. Division III Football Playing Season Resource. Staff notified the committee of the new public resource available on the Division III Compliance home page on www.ncaa.org.

19. Division III University. The committee discussed topics that could be created for the Division III page on the NCAA's learning management system (LMS). The committee recommended that staff focus on creating resources first for coaches.

20. Future Meetings. The committee reviewed its future meeting schedule.

21. Adjournment. The committee concluded its business and adjourned at 11:54 a.m. Eastern time.

Committee Chair: Patrick Summers, New England Women's and Men's Athletic Conference
Staff Liaison(s): Jeff Myers, Academic and Membership Affairs
Sarah Turner, Academic and Membership Affairs

Division III Interpretations and Legislation Committee September 19-20, 2022, Meeting	
Attendees:	
Kurt J. Beron, University of Texas at Dallas.	
Matt Hill, University of Northwestern-St. Paul.	
Keri Alexander Luchowski, North Coast Athletic Conference.	
Michael A Mattia, Gettysburg College.	
Mercy Ogutu, Trinity Washington University.	
Patrick B. Summers, New England Women's & Men's Athletic Conference.	
Mila Su, Plattsburgh State University.	
Absentees:	
Bethany Marren, Rochester Institute of Technology.	
Guests in Attendance:	
None.	
NCAA Staff Liaison (or Staff Support if subcommittee) in Attendance:	
Jeff Myers and Sarah Turner.	
Other NCAA Staff Members in Attendance:	
Michele Forte-Osborn, Evan Guimond, Joan Nissen, Susan Peal, Bill Regan, and Justin Whitaker.	



**Proposed Voting Grouping and Order of
Convention Legislation for 2023 NCAA Convention**

Presidents' Grouping.

1. LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY AND PROCESS -- CONVENTIONS AND MEETINGS -STUDENT-ATHLETE ADVISORY COMMITTEE VOTING PRIVILEGES
2. COMMITTEE -- NOMINATING COMMITTEE -- COMPOSITION -- AMEND COMMITTEE'S COMPOSITION
3. COMMITTEES -- PRESIDENTS AND MANAGEMENT COUNCILS -- COMPOSITION -- CONFERENCE BASED REPRESENTATION
4. COMMITTEES -- DIVISION III COMMITTEES, PRESIDENTS COUNCIL AND MANAGEMENT COUNCIL -- AMEND COMPOSITION AND REPRESENTATION
5. DIVISION MEMBERSHIP -- PROVISIONAL MEMBERSHIP -- APPLICATION PROCESS -- APPLICATION FOR MEMBERSHIP -- REQUIRE CONFERENCE MEMBERSHIP

General Grouping.

6. PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASON -- GENERAL PLAYING SEASON-DEFINING PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASON NON-CONSECUTIVE DAYS
7. PLAYING SEASONS -- ELIMINATING WEEKS AS THE MEASUREMENT FOR A SEASON AND ESTABLISHING A NEW PLAYING SEASONS STRUCTURE
8. PLAYING AND PRACTICE SEASONS -- FALL PRESEASON PRACTICE FORMULA -- SPORTS OTHER THAN FOOTBALL -- PRESEASON ACCLIMATIZATION FOR FALL SPORTS
9. DIVISION MEMBERSHIP -- ESTABLISHING STUNT AS AN EMERGING SPORT FOR WOMEN
10. RECRUITING -- SOCIAL MEDIA -- ALLOWING PUBLIC COMMUNICATION AFTER JANUARY 1ST OF SENIOR YEAR IN HIGH SCHOOL
11. DIVISION MEMBERSHIP -- CONDITIONS AND OBLIGATIONS OF MEMBERSHIP -- ELIMINATION OF INSTITUTIONAL AND CONFERENCE SELF-STUDY GUIDE SUBMISSION REQUIREMENTS



**Division III Interpretations and Legislation Committee
Interim Name, Image and Likeness Policy
Guidance Regarding Third Party Involvement**

Background.

Effective July 1, 2021, the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) adopted the Interim Name, Image and Likeness (NIL) Policy allowing NCAA student-athletes the opportunity to benefit from their NIL. On May 9, 2022, Division I provided additional clarity regarding the emerging NIL environment, as it relates to the involvement of individuals and entities that promote or support a specific institution. The purpose underlying the Division I guidance was to reinforce key principles of fairness and integrity across the NCAA and maintain rules prohibiting improper recruiting inducements and pay-for-play. Division II engaged in a review as well and issued similar guidance.

The Division III Interpretations and legislation committee reviewed the guidance issued by Division I and II and discussed the applicability for Division III. The specific question the committee considered is whether Division III would benefit from additional clarity regarding the interaction of third-party entities with prospective student-athletes and current student-athletes as it relates to NIL. The committee determined that while there is greater allowance in Division III for boosters to recruit on behalf of the institution, it is important to understand that relationship in the context of NIL. Consequently, the committee agreed that additional clarity is appropriate and has issued the following guidance to assist the Division III membership.

Third Parties Defined as Boosters.

As a starting point, it is important to understand how a representative of athletics interests (booster) is defined by NCAA legislation. A booster is, in part, defined as an individual, independent agency, corporate entity (e.g., apparel or equipment manufacturer) or other organization who is known (or who should have been known) by a member of the institution's executive or athletics administration to have participated in or to be a member of an agency or organization promoting the institution's intercollegiate athletics program or to assist or to have assisted in providing benefits to enrolled student-athletes or their family members.

It appears that the overall mission of many, if not all, of the above-referenced third-party entities is to promote and support a specific NCAA institution by making available NIL opportunities to prospective student-athletes (PSA) and student-athletes (SAs) of a particular institution, thereby triggering the definition of a booster.

With this in mind, NCAA rules related to the involvement of boosters in recruiting activities remain relevant to the Interim NIL Policy. NCAA recruiting rules preclude boosters from providing benefits to PSAs and preclude institutional staff members from being involved, directly or indirectly, with the provision of benefits to a PSA. Additionally, it is important to note that existing NCAA prohibitions related to pay-for-play have not changed as a result of the Interim NIL Policy.

Guidance Related to Prospective Student-Athletes.

- An NIL agreement between a PSA and a booster/NIL entity may not be guaranteed or promised contingent on initial or continuing enrollment at a particular institution.
- Institutional coaches and staff may not communicate directly or indirectly with a PSA on behalf of a booster/NIL entity.
- NIL agreements must be based on an independent, case-by-case analysis of the value that each athlete brings to an NIL agreement as opposed to providing compensation or incentives for enrollment decisions (e.g., transferring), athletic performance (e.g., points scored, minutes played, winning a contest), achievement (e.g., starting position, award winner) or membership on a team (e.g., being on roster).

Guidance Related to Current Student-Athletes.

- An NIL agreement between a SA and a booster/NIL entity may not be guaranteed or promised contingent on initial or continuing enrollment at a particular institution.
- NIL agreements must be based on an independent, case-by-case analysis of the value that each athlete brings to an NIL agreement as opposed to providing compensation or incentives for enrollment decisions (e.g., signing a letter of intent or transferring), athletic performance (e.g., points scored, minutes played, winning a contest), achievement (e.g., starting position, award winner) or membership on a team.

Applicable NCAA Division I Legislation. A nonexhaustive list of relevant NCAA Division III legislation is provided below.

- Athletics department staff members are prohibited from representing a prospective student-athlete (PSA) or enrolled student-athlete (SA) in marketing their athletics ability or reputation. [See Bylaw 11.1.4 (Representing Individuals in Marketing Athletics Ability/Reputation)]
- Before a PSA's paid acceptance of the institution's written offer of admission and/or financial aid or before the institution receives a financial deposit, an institution may comment publicly only to the extent of confirming its recruitment of the PSA. [See Bylaw 13.10 (Publicity)]
- Boosters may engage in recruiting activities, including recruiting conversations, on behalf of a school. (See Bylaws 13.02.8, 13.02.9, and 13.1. 13.1.3.)

- Recruiting is defined as “any solicitation of a PSA or a PSA’s family members by an institutional staff member or by a booster for the purpose of securing the PSA’s enrollment and ultimate participation in the institution’s intercollegiate athletics program.” [See Bylaw 13.02.8 (Recruiting)]
- Boosters may not be involved in making arrangements for or giving or offering to give any financial aid or other benefits to a PSA. Receipt of a benefit by a PSA is not a violation if the same benefit is generally available to the institution’s prospective students. [See Bylaw 13.2.1 (Offers and Inducements)]
- Athletics participation for pay and payment based on performance or given on an incentive are prohibited. [See Bylaws 12.1.3.2(a), 12.1.3.2, and 12.1.5.2(a)]
- Institutions are held responsible for any impermissible recruiting activities engaged in by a representative of athletics interest. [See Bylaw 20.15 (Institutional Control)]