



**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION III INTERPRETATIONS AND LEGISLATION COMMITTEE
FEBRUARY 18, 2021, VIDEOCONFERENCE**

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative items.

a. Convention Legislation – To Permit Virtual Athletics Recruiting Presentations to Multiple Prospective Student-Athletes

- (1) Recommendation. That Management Council sponsor NCAA Convention legislation incorporating the elements of a current blanket waiver allowing member institutions to conduct virtual athletics recruitment presentations that involve multiple prospective student-athletes. The presentations are subject to the following conditions:
 - (a) The virtual recruiting activity may occur at any time of the year; may involve prospective student-athletes of any age or grade level; and does not have to be initiated by the institution (e.g., a club operator could request a coach to speak virtually to their members regarding the coach's institution);
 - (b) Student-athletes may only participate in virtual recruiting events that occur during the regular academic year when they are enrolled full-time. Further, the student-athlete's involvement must be voluntary, and they may not miss class;
 - (c) Institutional athletics and coaching staff members, other members of the campus community (e.g., admissions, financial aid), alumni and other permissible recruiters may participate in the presentation; and
 - (d) Institutions may publicize the logistical details concerning the virtual recruiting event but is prohibited from promoting or publicizing the participation of an individual or a group of prospective student-athletes.
- (2) Effective date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. The COVID-19 pandemic caused institutions to close their campuses and explore alternative ways to recruit and engage prospective student-athletes. Current legislation prohibits an institution from conducting virtual recruiting events with multiple prospective athletes. In response, the Subcommittee for Legislative Relief provided a blanket waiver that permitted institutions to engage in virtual recruiting events that

involved multiple prospective student-athletes. As the pandemic continued through the 2020-21 academic year, the role for these virtual recruiting events became a crucial component of an institution's ability to recruit and maintain contact with prospective student-athletes. Additionally, these virtual recruiting events have provided needed cost savings for member institutions and increased their ability to connect with a broader range of prospective students. Finally, in response to a survey administered to the Division III membership, approximately 95% of the respondents indicated that they would like to see the waiver become a legislative proposal. The proposal has an immediate effective date to not create a gap between the expiration of the current blanket waiver and the adoption of the proposal.

- (4) Estimated budget impact. Vary by institution.
- (5) Student-athlete impact. May involve voluntary participation during the regular academic year while enrolled as a full-time student.

b. Convention Legislation – To Permit the Division III Presidents Council to Sponsor and Adopt Emergency Legislation in Defined Situations.

- (1) Recommendation. That Management Council request the Presidents Council sponsor Convention legislation that permits the Division III Presidents Council to sponsor and adopt emergency legislation when the NCAA must respond to, or comply with, a court, alternative dispute resolution (ADR) or government order or when the Council deems it appropriate to limit or avoid NCAA liability as a result of litigation, ADR or governmental proceedings or when significant values or harm are at stake and the use of the regular legislative cycle is likely to cause undue hardship to the Association or the Division III membership because of the delay in its effective date. Such "emergency" legislation shall be adopted by at least a three-fourths majority of the members of the Presidents Council present and voting. Further, "emergency legislation" adopted by the Presidents Council must be ratified by the Division III membership at the next regularly scheduled NCAA Convention.
- (2) Effective date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. Current legislation permits the NCAA Division III Management Council and Presidents Council to sponsor Convention legislation or adopt noncontroversial legislation which is defined as being clearly necessary to promote the normal and orderly administration of the division's legislation.

Providing the Presidents Council with the authority to adopt “emergency legislation” will help ensure that the division is able to address critical events and circumstances in a timely manner that will mitigate any undue hardship to the membership or Association. This proposal has an immediate effective date to allow Presidents Council to use this authority if necessary and not rely on noncontroversial legislation in the interim.

- (4) Estimated budget impact. None.
- (5) Student-athlete impact. None.

c. Incorporation of Official Interpretation – Alternative Coursework to Satisfy Full-time Enrollment Requirements

- (1) Recommendation. That the NCAA Division III Management Council approve the incorporation of the official interpretation [Reference September 22, 2020, Item No. 1] into legislation.

Alternative Coursework to Satisfy Full-Time Enrollment Requirement (III). The NCAA Division III Interpretations and Legislation Committee confirmed that nontraditional coursework (e.g., distance-learning, correspondence, extension, internet/virtual courses) may be used to satisfy the full-time enrollment requirements for practice or competition in a manner that is consistent with established institutional policy used to determine the full-time enrollment status for all students.

[References: NCAA Division III Bylaw 14.1.8.1 (requirement for practice or competition); Bylaw 14.1.8.1.6.2 (enrolled in graduate program – practice or competition); Bylaw 14.4.1.4 (correspondence, extension, credit by-examination and online courses)]

- (2) Effective date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. The incorporation of this official interpretation clarifies that nontraditional coursework may be used to satisfy full-time enrollment requirements for practice or competition provided it is consistent with an institution’s policy for full-time enrollment for all students. Incorporation of this official interpretation ensures a consistent application of full-time enrollment requirements across the membership.
- (4) Estimated budget impact. None.

(5) Student-athlete impact. None.

2. Nonlegislative items.

- None.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- 1. Interpretations and Legislation Committee Roster.** The committee reviewed the roster and agreed to submit any corrections to staff.
- 2. Review November Report.** The committee reviewed the report from its November 18, 2020, videoconference.
- 3. Review NCAA Division III Strategic Positioning Platform.** The committee reviewed the NCAA Division III Strategic Positioning Platform.
- 4. Update on Governance Issues.** The Division III governance staff provided the committee with an update of the primary topics currently within the Division III governance structure.
- 5. Review Divisions I and III Staff Interpretations.** The committee reviewed staff interpretations issued in Divisions I and III between September 1 and December 31, 2020, and took no action. It was also noted that Division II did not issue any staff interpretations during this period.
- 6. Review Divisions I and II Legislative Actions.** The committee reviewed legislative actions in Divisions I and II between September 1 and December 31, 2020, and took no action.
- 7. Review Division III Editorial Revisions.** The committee reviewed editorial revisions issued in Division III between September 1 and December 31, 2020, and took no action.
- 8. Review of Interpretations and Legislation Committee Policies and Procedures.** The committee reviewed its written policies and procedures and determined that no updates or revisions were needed.
- 9. Requests/Self-Reports Online Update.** The committee received a written summary of the interpretative requests submitted via the Requests/Self-Reports Online case management system. The summary provided the volume of interpretive requests, staff response time, and bylaw areas of the interpretative requests.

- 10. Review of NCAA actions concerning COVID-19.** The committee reviewed a summary of the waivers that have been provided in response to COVID-19 including waivers of full-time enrollment submitted by the membership. The committee considered whether additional flexibility regarding full-time enrollment should be provided for requests asserting COVID-19 related circumstances after the 2020-21 academic year. The committee noted that due to COVID-19, many student-athletes' academic and athletic careers have diverged. As a result, the committee anticipates the membership will continue to submit requests seeking relief from full-time enrollment requirements beyond the 2020-21 academic year. The committee believes that appropriate flexibility for full-time enrollment requirements should be provided to any student-athlete impacted by COVID-19. The committee confirmed that intercollegiate athletics must involve students competing against students and supports the guidance provided by the Subcommittee for Legislative Relief, that students should be enrolled in classes that further their academic or career goals in a meaningful way, as determined by the institution and student-athlete.
- 11. Update regarding Name, Image and Likeness legislative proposal.** The committee received an update on the current status of the Name, Image and Likeness legislative proposal that was withdrawn prior to the 2021 Convention. The committee reaffirmed its support for the legislation and its adoption at the first practicable opportunity. The committee also received an update about the review of proposals from third-party administrators that would help support various student-athlete disclosure requirements.
- 12. Division III Interpretative Issues.**

 - a. Consider potential legislative changes to Division III recruiting legislation.** The committee concluded its comprehensive review of the Division III recruiting legislation. The committee's review included an examination of recruiting communication, virtual recruiting, all aspects of recruiting visits (official and unofficial visits), and publicity surrounding visits. After examining the issues for a year, the committee requested a survey of the membership to gauge their interest in changing the legislation in any of these areas. The results from the survey (see attached) demonstrated, with the exception of virtual recruiting, there was minimal support for changing the current legislation in these areas. Based on these survey results and anecdotal feedback received from the membership, the committee decided that no changes, except for virtual recruiting, were needed at this time.
 - b. Video Services.** The committee reviewed issues relative to the use of video and streaming services in the recruitment of prospective student-athletes. Specifically, the committee considered whether a coach could pay to see an event involving prospective student-athlete through live streaming. The committee acknowledged that the technology to watch games via a virtual format was not considered when the current recruiting legislation was adopted, but confirmed that a coach could

watch a contest or a recording of a contest provided the coach could otherwise attend the event, the opportunity to access the live stream or recording of the live stream is open to anyone and the coach pays the going rate. The committee instructed staff to draft an interpretation for its review. The committee also discussed the potential impact of this issue on Bylaw 13.13.3.1 (video services). The committee requested the staff seek additional feedback from the membership and coaches' groups to better understand the use of live streaming and video services in their recruitment of prospective student-athletes for its consideration at a future meeting.

- c. **Discuss alternative playing and practice season model.** The committee discussed the current alternative playing and practice season model and whether any elements of the model should be considered for the 2021-22 academic year and/or as part of a legislative proposal for the membership to consider at a future Convention. The committee identified the following elements of the model for continued discussion:

- Determining the season using days versus weeks;
- Whether the season should be calculated by consecutive versus nonconsecutive periods;
- Impacts on the traditional versus the nontraditional segments; and
- Differences based on sport season.

The committee acknowledged the importance of considering the Division III philosophy of providing student-athletes with a comprehensive collegiate experience when identifying the positive elements of the alternative model that should be considered as part of any future change or waiver. The committee directed staff to create potential models for its consideration at its next meeting.

13. **Future Meetings.** The committee reviewed its future meeting schedule.
14. **Adjournment.** The committee concluded its business and adjourned at 4:01 p.m. Eastern time.

Committee Chair: Patrick Summers, New England Women's and Men's Athletic Conference
Staff Liaisons: Jeff Myers, Academic and Membership Affairs
Bill Regan, Academic and Membership Affairs

Division III Interpretations and Legislation Committee February 18, 2021, Videoconference	
Attendees:	
Amy Backus, Case Western Reserve University.	
Kurt J. Beron, University of Texas at Dallas.	
Matt Hill, University of Northwestern-St. Paul	
Angela Morenz, Blackburn College.	
Joseph Pavlow, Rosemont College.	
Patrick B. Summers, New England Women's & Men's Athletic Conference.	
Mila Su, Plattsburgh State University.	
Absentees:	
Anthony Francois, John Jay College of Criminal Justice. (SAAC)	
Guests in Attendance:	
Bridget Finn, Lebanon Valley College. (SAAC)	
NCAA Staff Liaison in Attendance:	
Jeff Myers and Bill Regan.	
Other NCAA Staff Members in Attendance:	
Lauren Frost, Louise McCleary, Joan Nissen and Jeremy Villanueva.	



*Division III Official Visit and Unofficial Visit Recruiting Survey
February 2021*

The online survey was distributed to athletics directors and senior compliance officers at all Division III institutions. Responses were received from 350 Division III institutions for a response rate of 79%. Please note that multiple responses were received from some institutions.

1. Do you use official visits (i.e., a visit in which the institution provides benefits to the prospective student-athlete (PSA) or parents in excess of one meal for the PSA) to recruit PSAs?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	317	79%
No	82	21%

2. If no, why not? Select all that apply.

Answer	Count
• Too costly.	48
• Sufficiently recruit through unofficial visits.	55
• Difficult to monitor.	23
• Other, please specify:	10

3. If no, why not? (Other, please specify.)

• Process is difficult.
• Treat recruits the same as any other prospective student on campus using admissions. Our athletes get no more benefits than a regular visiting student.
• ASC does not allow official visits.
• We do not have dorms, so our recruiting base is within close proximity.
• Conference Rules.
• Admissions covers meals for visiting prospects.
• Any "official" visit runs through Admissions. They will help cover some travel costs for accepted students visiting from out of state. other than that circumstance - we do not use "official" visits and do not cover any costs through athletics.
• We are able to offer a meal through the general admissions visit process for all prospects. We rarely have overnight visits. With COVID overnight visits will not be an option for some time.

- Conference Restrictions.
- We offer the same opportunities/travel reimbursements/meals on campus/etc. that are offered through our admission office to all prospective students. Costs for such things for any PSA are also paid for by our admission office.

4. If yes, how many official visits does your institution provide each academic year?

Answer	Count	Percent
• 1-5	48	15.53%
• 6-10	37	11.97%
• 11-15	46	14.89%
• 20 or more	178	57.61%

5. If yes, please indicate which benefits you provide during an official visit (select all that apply).

Answer	Count
• Meals to PSA.	316
• Meals to those accompanying the PSA.	228
• Lodging for PSA.	212
• Lodging for PSA's parent/guardian/spouse or individual of comparable relationship.	69
• Complimentary admission to home athletics contests.	189
• Transportation costs for PSA.	134

6. Under existing official visit legislation, institutions may provide three on-campus meals per day for a PSA and individuals accompanying the PSA. The meal can be catered from off-campus vendors if the meal is comparable to normal student life. The meal can only be provided off-campus if all on-campus dining facilities are closed. Would you want to see this legislation changed?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	150	38.36%
No	241	61.64%

7. If yes, what change would you like to see? Select all that apply.

Answer	Count
• To provide more than three meals per day.	8
• To provide fewer than three meals per day.	4
• To provide meals off-campus at a local restaurant.	143
• Other, please specify:	6

8. If yes, what change would you like to see? (Other, please specify)

• Be able to take a parent out to dinner one time with a certain cost limit. Often parents ask coaches out to dinner while their child does an overnight in the dorms and for parents that have spent a lot of money to travel across the country it would be nice to pick up the check in these situations (reasonably priced meal in mind).
• Food delivery to team on campus.
• Permissible even if on campus dining is open.
• Option to use on campus or off campus depending on the situation.
• Really just deregulating (including off-campus, not limiting to three meals).
• Removed for DIII.

9. The student host legislation allows the institution to provide \$40 per day to cover entertainment expenses for the PSA, individuals accompanying the PSA and the student host. The student-athlete and PSA may not contribute funds for the cost of entertainment. Further, the institution may only entertain the PSA within a 30-mile radius of the main campus. Do you use student-athletes as student hosts on official visits?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	331	85.53%
No	56	14.47%

10. If yes, do you provide the student-host with money to cover entertainment expense for the PSA?

Answer	Count
Yes	125
No	231

11. Would you like to see any change to the entertainment legislation permitted for PSA and student host?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	80	20.67%
No	307	79.33%

12. If yes, what change would you like to see? Select all that apply.

Answer	Count
• Increase the amount of student-host money.	15
• Permit the PSA and/or student host to be able to supplement entertainment expenses if the entertainment activities exceed \$40.	26
• To provide team entertainment (and associated meals and transportation) on official visit.	35
• Remove or increase the mileage restriction associated with entertainment expenses.	19
• Eliminate student-host money.	29
• Other.	1

13. If yes, what changes would you like to see? Other, please specify.

• Eliminate official visits.

14. Do you use unofficial visits to recruit PSAs?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	381	99.22%
No	3	0.78%

15. For an unofficial visit, not provided by the institution's admission department (Bylaw 13.7.3), the institution may only provide one on-campus meal for the PSA only. Would you want to see the meals legislation changed?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	195	50.78%
No	189	49.22%

16. If yes, what would you want to see changed? Select all that apply.

Answer	Count
• To provide more meals depending on the length of the visit.	154
• To provide the same amount of meals to the individuals accompanying the PSA.	130
• To provide meals off-campus at a restaurant or other venue.	66
• Other, please specify:	4

17. If yes, what would you want to see changed? Other, please specify.

• We would like the ability to provide a meal off campus on unofficial visits if the university dining services are closed.
• Would like to be able to provide meal to PSA and guest(s) one meal to all would be sufficient.
• Eliminate one on-campus prospect meal on unofficial visit.
• To allow the one meal on-campus to include any on-campus dining or restaurant facility (not only the dining hall/cafeteria).

18. Currently, the only entertainment the institution may provide on an unofficial visit is complimentary admissions for the PSA and individuals accompanying the PSA. The institution may not reserve additional game tickets or arrange special parking or special seating. Would you like to see the entertainment legislation changed?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	59	15.36%
No	325	84.64%

19. If yes, what would you like to see changed? Select all that apply.

Answer	Count
• To provide the PSA entertainment options consistent with the official visits' legislation, perhaps with a capped dollar amount.	34
• To provide team entertainment (and associated meal and transportation) on the unofficial visit.	29
• Other, please specify:	13

20. If yes, what would you like to see changed? Other, please specify.

• Ability to arrange special parking or seating.
• Special seating and parking should be allowed.
• Would like to see perspective student-athletes be able to have 'special seating' during contests on unofficial visits in order to learn about what it would be like to be a SA at that institution.
• Reserve additional game tickets or arrange special parking or special seating.
• Provide individuals accompanying the PSA with similar meal and event privileges.
• Special seating.
• Provide Game Tickets.
• Allow special seating - or sideline/bench access.
• I would like to discuss reserved seating for the unofficial visit. It would be nice to set aside a specific area for PSAs at venue to keep them all together, when the venue allows.
• For urban institutions, parking is a huge issue. would like this to be consistent with officials and allow institutions to pay.
• Reserving seating would be a great start and extra tickets would be good.
• Special parking and special seating.
• Special seating.

21. Currently, on unofficial visits, the institution may only provide a PSA transportation to view off-campus practice and competition sites in the PSA's sport or other institutional facilities. The PSA must be accompanied by an institutional staff member. Would you like to see the transportation legislation changed?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	85	22.14%
No	299	77.86%

22. If yes, what would you like to see changed? Select all that apply.

Answer	Count
• To provide the same benefits to individuals accompanying the PSA as the ones permitted for the PSA.	60
• To provide the PSA transportation to view the surrounding area/community of the institution's campus (within an established mileage restriction of the campus).	67
• To provide the PSA transportation to and from local airports, train or bus stations.	64
• To provide the PSA transportation to an off-campus meal.	43
• Other, please specify:	1

23. If yes, what would you like to see changed? Other, please specify.

• Allow SA to drive a prospect to an off-campus site.

24. The institution may not publicize the PSA's visit to campus; however, the PSA may publicize their visit to campus, provided it is not done at the direction of the institution. This publicity is most often seen in the form of social media posts. In response to the PSA's posts, the institution may take actions of approval of the PSA's social media content (e.g., like or repost). Would you like to see change to the social media legislation?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	125	32.72%
No	257	67.28%

25. If yes, do you support permitting the institution to directly publicize the PSA's visit to campus, beyond an action of approval of content published by the PSA?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	105	84.68%
No	19	15.32%

26. Should it to be permissible for the institution to comment on the PSA's post?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	190	49.87%
No	191	50.13%

27. If publicizing a visit is permitted, should it be permissible for both official and unofficial visits?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	166	43.57%
No	51	13.39%
N/A. Publicizing the visit should not be allowed.	164	43.04%

28. In July 2020, the Division III Management Council Subcommittee for Legislative Relief approved a blanket waiver allowing greater flexibility with virtual recruiting. Specifically, the waiver allows: Virtual athletics-recruitment-specific presentations to groups of multiple prospective student-athletes and their families, including outside groups, regardless of their age or grade level or who initiates the event; Virtual recruiting activities to occur any time of year. However, student-athlete involvement is restricted to the academic year and must be voluntary and may not result in missed class time; Participation by institutional athletics and coaching staff, other members of the campus community (e.g., admissions, financial aid), alumni and other permissible recruiters; and Institutions (and conferences) to publicize the informational details about the virtual recruiting event and prohibits promoting or publicizing the participation of individual or a group of prospective student-athletes. Would you like to see this waiver become a legislative proposal for the members to consider?

Answer	Count	Percent
Yes	355	94.67%
No	20	5.33%

29. If yes, would you like to see any of the provisions in the waiver change or be eliminated?

Answer	Count
Yes	41
No	312

30. If yes, what would you like to see changed or eliminated?

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I don't agree with "regardless of their age or grade level or who initiates the event." I don't think coaches should initiate virtual events for individuals or groups until they enter high school.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This would allow institutions with limited monetary resources to compete on an even platform.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No publicity.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The last statement, about publicize visit.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The only change I would suggest is eliminating the academic year only for PSAs. This vehicle could provide a significant cost savings for PSAs any time of the year.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lose this: "regardless of their age or grade level " and put limits in.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age or grade level should be considered - only having started 9th grade.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I would propose virtual recruiting be permitted using the same age/grade restrictions for general recruiting guidelines.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student athletes being able to be involved all year.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • SA involvement should not be limited to the academic year as they may be on campus for other reason when classes are not in session.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I would like for student athletes to able to participate on virtual zooms with PSAs during the summer as well.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow institutions and conference to promote or publicize the participation of individual or a group of prospective student-athletes.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow student-athletes to be involved outside of the academic year - particularly during the semester break (Dec-Jan).
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow current student/athletes to voluntarily be involved outside of the academic year.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The prohibition of student-athlete participation during the academic year. Student-athletes should be allowed to participate at any point, but the participation must be voluntary.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Additional permissible activity that is appropriate for the post COVID world of DIII recruiting. A review of all recruiting activities should take place, updated, and presented to the membership for consideration.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student-athlete involvement must be voluntary but can occur at any point in time.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow voluntary SA participation during the summer.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Age / grade level restrictions.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To allow a current student athlete to be able to participate in virtual recruiting activities at any time during the year and not just during the academic year.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow student-athlete involvement at any time of the year.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The academic year requirement for SA participation. That should be allowed outside the academic year if voluntary.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Remove restriction on SA involvement to academic year only.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allow student-athlete involvement at all times on a voluntary basis.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I would like to see students be allowed to participate outside of the academic year.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To allow student-athlete involvement at any point during the year as long as it's voluntary. Students report having more time outside of the academic year when they are not taking courses. To allow student-athletes who are continuing students (e.g. have completed their first full academic year) but are current students (e.g. have not withdrawn) and are not taking classes (e.g. currently on leave) to participate in recruiting activities on a voluntary basis. Students, again, report having more time when they are not taking classes and students are taking leaves for various reasons (e.g. loss of family income, mental health, taking care of loved one, etc.). The students of color are taking these leaves at higher rates. These numbers are putting more pressure on enrolled student-athletes to support recruiting efforts and do not help to support the recruitment of diverse student-athlete populations.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current student-athletes should be allowed to voluntarily participate all-year in this process.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I think we should look at how these events are publicized and how many are allowed. Also, perhaps allowing only one group virtual event and more of the individual virtual experiences could be useful.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Student-athletes can participate year-round if eligible and enrolled and still cannot miss classes to do so.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of students during summer should be allowed, publicity restrictions should be relaxed.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Institutions (and conferences) to publicize the informational details about the virtual recruiting event and prohibits promoting or publicizing the participation of individual or a group of prospective student-athletes.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Eliminate the summer restriction for PSA.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1) Student-Athlete involvement can occur at any time during the calendar year provided involvement is voluntary. 2) Non-enrolled student-athletes can participate in virtual

recruiting provided involvement is voluntary. (Sometimes sophomores and juniors who would be very effective in these sessions are abroad and not enrolled in our institution. During the pandemic, a number of student-athletes took leaves, which left few ambassadors to serve in these virtual sessions.)

- Virtual recruiting needs to be without restriction of any type on the DIII level.
- Current student-athletes should be allowed to participate outside of the academic year. However, it should remain that they cannot participate at any time that would cause them to miss class during the academic year.
- Institutions (and conferences) to publicize the informational details about the virtual recruiting event and prohibits promoting or publicizing the participation of individual or a group of prospective student-athletes, should be eliminated.
- Student athlete involvement not limited by academic year. Still voluntary.