

REPORT OF THE NCAA DIVISION I BOARD OF DIRECTORS OCTOBER 23, 2018, MEETING

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- 1. Process for Consideration of Autonomy Health/Wellness Legislation by Nonautonomy Conferences. The NCAA Division I Board of Directors approved the following process for identifying and considering autonomy health and safety/wellness legislation that may require a consistent standard for all Division I institutions. The process will be added to the Board of Directors policies and procedures. (Unanimous voice vote.)
 - a. Autonomy concepts are submitted by the September 15 deadline. Any concepts in the area of health and safety/wellness are identified by NCAA staff and initially reviewed by the Association's legal counsel. Legal counsel will make an initial determination whether a concept would:
 - (1) Require unified standards of care for Division I student-athletes;
 - (2) Pose a legal or reputational risk to the Association; or
 - (3) Significantly impact a core value of the Association.
 - b. If legal counsel determines that any of the threshold criteria apply to an identified concept, the NCAA Division I Legislative Committee, NCAA Division I Strategic Vision and Planning Committee and NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Experience Committee will review the concept and determine whether to recommend review by the full NCAA Division I Council. The review by the Legislative Committee, Strategic Vision and Planning Committee and Student-Athlete Experience Committee would occur via teleconferences between September 15 and the October Council meeting or a Council teleconference.
 - c. The Council will discuss the concept during its October meeting or a teleconference. Council members other than the representatives of the five autonomy conferences and the autonomy conferences Collegiate Commissioners Association representative shall be authorized to vote whether to forward the concept to the Board of Directors for consideration. Voting shall be weighted as set forth in NCAA Constitution 4.3.4 (weighted voting). A determination may be made to delay a vote until the January 2019 Council meeting. A simple majority vote of those present and voting is required to forward a concept to the Board of Directors for consideration. An affirmative vote will result in a recommendation to the Board of Directors to adopt the concept/proposal for the 27 nonautonomy conferences.

d. If an affirmative vote occurs, the identified concept/proposal shall be scheduled for potential action by the Board of Directors either at its January or April 2019 meeting. This determination may depend on the effective date of the autonomy concept/proposal.

- e. Notice shall be provided to the Division I membership and the Board of Directors that the Council has identified an autonomy concept/proposal for the Board of Directors consideration. The membership shall have an opportunity to submit comments related to the concept/proposal and the recommendation for adoption.
- f. Members of the Board of Directors who represent autonomy conferences shall not participate in the vote. All other Board of Directors members, including the Council chair (representing the Council), are permitted to vote. A simple majority vote of those present and voting is required for adoption. Voting by the Board of Directors is not weighted.
- g. If the Board of Directors adopts the proposal, the legislation shall be binding on all Division I members and would be reflected as such in the NCAA Division I Manual.
- h. Action by the Board of Directors to defeat a proposal (or no action) shall result in the application of the normal "opt-in" process for the 27 nonautonomy conferences.
- 2. NCAA Division I Presidential Forum Update. The Board of Directors received feedback from the Presidential Forum on the following three key topics:
 - a. <u>Independent Members of the NCAA Board of Governors</u>. The Presidential Forum is generally supportive of the legislative concept to add independent members to the Board of Governors and provided input to assist with the development of educational information that will help inform the vote.
 - b. Review of Academic Misconduct. The Presidential Forum is working on the Board of Directors' charge regarding the review of academic misconduct. Some noncontroversial matters that clarify current academic misconduct legislation were approved and referred to the Division I Council. The Forum does not support institutional academic policies for student-athletes that are different than those that apply to students generally. The Forum expressed some overall concern about overregulation in this area, but generally supports providing institutions with guidance and best practices for identifying areas for monitoring and/or periodic review. As feedback for the Forum to consider, the Board of Directors expressed interest in communication with the accrediting agencies to better understand roles and processes and to communicate recent NCAA changes that now permit

importation of decisions by external agencies into the NCAA infractions process. The Board of Directors expressed some support for the concept of an institutional academic oversight committee and noted that many institutions already have such committees. The Board of Directors agreed that it is appropriate to compile best practices to share with the membership. The Forum will provide its report on academic misconduct to the Board of Directors in April. It is anticipated there will be broad membership outreach in the spring/summer to gather feedback on the Forum's concepts and recommendations.

- Presidential Attestation and Accountability. The Presidential Forum noted some c. concern with NCAA-driven presidential accountability, given the perspective that presidents are accountable to their institutional governing boards and that such boards have the responsibility for evaluating and potentially holding accountable a president who acts contrary to NCAA regulations. In addition, presidents and chancellors should focus attention on articulating clear and unambiguous expectations for ethical and rules compliance behaviors among athletics staff and student-athletes, and adherence to university and athletics department policies and procedures; and ensure that the director of athletics has working compliance systems in place to monitor compliance with NCAA, conference and institutional requirements. [This feedback is consistent with several elements that are included in the NCAA Division I Enforcement Charging Guidelines. The Division I Charging Guidelines provide a clear outline of specific guidelines the enforcement staff considers when determining whether to bring allegations for four serious breaches of conduct—lack of institutional control, failure to monitor, unethical conduct and head coach responsibility.]
- 3. Update on College Basketball Reform Initiatives. The Board of Directors received updates related to ongoing College Basketball Reform initiatives, including the work of the Enforcement/Infractions Process Working Group. Work is continuing on the phase one topics of alternative resolution of complex cases, negotiated resolutions, the enhanced obligation to cooperate, penalties and importation. The working group has recommended adoption of a proposal to clarify elements of negotiated resolutions, which the Division I Council will consider during its October 31 teleconference. Other remaining issues to be addressed are financial penalties, immediate penalties for refusal to cooperate, and leadership accountability.
- **4. Board of Governors Updates.** The Board of Directors received an update on actions taken and issues reviewed by the Board of Governors at its October 22 meeting.
 - a. <u>Independent Members of the Board of Governors</u>. The Board of Governors is continuing preparations for Association-wide vote on legislation that would add five independent members to the Board of Governors, including the development

of additional details regarding the definition of "independent" and the process by which independent members would be selected. The Association-wide vote will occur Thursday, January 24, at the 2019 NCAA Convention. A two-thirds majority of delegates present and voting in favor of the proposal is required for adoption. The appointment of delegate process will commence with an email to institutional presidents/chancellors, directors of athletics and conference commissioners on November 13.

- b. <u>Sports Wagering</u>. The Board of Governors established an ad hoc Association-wide membership committee to address the expansion of legalized sports wagering. The Board of Governors Ad Hoc Committee on Sports Wagering will examine the sports wagering landscape and its potential impact on current NCAA rules, educational efforts, student-athlete availability reporting, and any associated risks as more states legalize sports wagering.
- **5. Division I Council Updates.** The Board of Directors received an update on actions taken and issues reviewed by the Council at its October 2-3 meeting, including an update related to legislation introduced into the 2018-19 legislative cycle related to transfers and early recruiting.
- 6. NCAA Division I Board of Directors Finance Committee Update. The Board of Directors received an update from the Finance Committee that a number of clarifying edits to the Division I revenue distribution policy were approved. Additionally, one change relates to a situation in which a Division I conference does not meet membership requirements for two years. In such a case, basketball fund units revert to the schools that earned them (or their new conferences) and do not revert back to the fund. The updated revenue distribution policies are attached to this report (Attachment). Finally, the Finance Committee received Division I championships budgets to actual financials and noted that team travel costs continue to increase.
- 7. **Board of Governors Strategic Planning Process.** The Board of Directors engaged in a discussion with facilitators from a consulting firm that is leading the Association through a strategic planning process. The consulting firm is gathering information from various sources in the input phase of the process in order to understand perspectives surrounding the NCAA. The development of the strategic plan will continue with the collection and analysis of stakeholder input and the formulation of goals, strategies, actions and measures throughout the next several months. A strategic plan report is scheduled to be provided to the Board of Governors in August 2019.
- **8. NCAA President's Report.** The Board of Directors received a report from NCAA President Mark Emmert, which included an overview of the most significant issues facing the Association and the progress being made to address them.

- 9. Legal Update. The Board of Directors received a privileged and confidential update from Scott Bearby, vice president of legal affairs, related to ongoing legal matters.
- 10. Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges Board of Directors' Statement on Governing Boards' Responsibilities for Intercollegiate Athletics. The Board of Directors received the Association of Governing Boards of Universities and Colleges Board of Directors' Statement on Governing Boards' Responsibilities for Intercollegiate Athletics.
- **11. Report of the August 8 Board of Directors Meeting.** The Board of Directors approved the report of its August 8 meeting. (*Unanimous voice vote.*)

Board of Directors chair: Eric Kaler, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, Big Ten Conference

Staff Liaisons: Diane Dickman, Law, Policy and Governance

Jenn Fraser, Law, Policy and Governance Kevin Lennon, Law, Policy and Governance Donald Remy, Law, Policy and Governance Leeland Zeller, Law, Policy and Governance C

Division I Board of Directors October 23, 2018, Meeting

Attendees:

Guy Bailey, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley; Western Athletic Conference.

Frank Bonner, Gardner-Webb University; Big South Conference.

Dean Bresciani, North Dakota State University; The Summit League.

Eli Capilouto, University of Kentucky; Southeastern Conference.

Ted Carter, United States Naval Academy; Patriot League.

Christine Copper, United States Naval Academy; Faculty Athletics Representatives Association.

Jack DeGioia, Georgetown University; Big East Conference.

Phil DiStefano, University of Colorado, Boulder; Pac-12 Conference.

Phil Dubois, The University of North Carolina at Charlotte; Conference USA.

Anthony Frank, Colorado State University; Mountain West Conference.

Burns Hargis, Oklahoma State University; Big 12 Conference.

Susan Herbst, University of Connecticut; American Athletic Conference.

Blake James, University of Miami (Florida); Atlantic Coast Conference, Division I Council.

Eric Kaler, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities; Big Ten Conference, chair.

Noah Knight, University of Missouri-Kansas City, NCAA Division I Student-Athlete Advisory Committee.

Ron Machtley, Bryant University; Northeast Conference.

James Maher, Niagara University; Metro Atlantic Athletic Conference.

Jane Miller, University of Virginia; Women Leaders in College Sports.

G.P. "Bud" Peterson, Georgia Institute of Technology; Atlantic Coast Conference.

Michael Rao, Virginia Commonwealth University; Atlantic 10 Conference.

Nayef Samhat, Wofford College; Southern Conference.

Denise Trauth, Texas State University; Sun Belt Conference, vice-chair.

Satish Tripathi, University at Buffalo, The State University of New York; Mid-American Conference.

Absentees:

Andrew Hugine, Alabama A&M University; Southwestern Athletic Conference.

Guests in Attendance:

None.

NCAA Staff Liaisons in Attendance:

Diane Dickman, Jenn Fraser, Kevin Lennon and Leeland Zeller.

Other NCAA Staff Members in Attendance:

Katrice Albert, Scott Bearby, Joni Comstock, Jon Duncan, Mark Emmert, Michelle Hosick, Joel McGormley, Kathleen McNeely, Bridget Rigney, Cari Van Senus, Stan Wilcox and Bob Williams.



NATIONAL COLLEGIATE ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION POLICY LIBRARY

Division I Revenue Distribution Governance Policy

Table of Contents

. PURPOSE	2
I. STATEMENT OF POLICY	2
Budgeting	2
Disbursements	2
National Office Data Review	3
National Office Communication	3
Scope of Distribution Funds	3
Athletic Excellence	4
Basketball Performance Fund	4
Student Athlete Support and Opportunity	6
Grants-in-Aid	6
Sport Sponsorship	8
Conference Grants	g
Equal Conference Distribution	10
Special Assistance Fund (SAF)	12
Student-Athlete Opportunity Fund (SAOF)	14
Academic Achievement	16
Academic Enhancement Fund	16
Academic Performance Fund	18
Supplemental Distribution	19
V. WEB ADDRESS FOR THIS POLICY	20
V. CONTACTS	20
/I DEFINITIONS	20

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution

Governance Policy

Number: AS-03

Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

Responsible Office: Administrative Services

Originally approved: October 28, 2015

I. PURPOSE:

The purpose of this policy is to document the intent, scope, funding, calculation methodology and distribution methodology of the National Collegiate Athletic Association Division I revenue distribution program. The NCAA distributes a significant portion of its annual revenue, generated by events featuring our student-athletes and member institutions, back to Division I members in the form of revenue distributions. Detailed summaries of each NCAA Division I revenue distribution are included below. The NCAA Division I Board of Directors Finance Committee is the administrating authority to address items not presented or clearly stated within this policy and will approve the policy annually.

II. STATEMENT OF POLICY

The Division I revenue distribution formula provides a mechanism for sharing the revenue equitably, though not equally, among all Division I member institutions to ensure the distribution benefits the broadest possible segment of the membership. Division I revenue distributions and expenses are based on the following values:

- Academic achievement;
- Athletic excellence;
- Diversity and inclusion;
- Fairness and integrity;
- · Health and safety; and
- Student-athlete support and broad- based opportunities.

Budgeting

The annual increase of all revenue distributions typically mirrors the rate of increase per the NCAA 10-year Financial Model approved by the Board of Governors. The amounts allocated to broad-based and performance-based distributions are always equal. Two-thirds of the broad-based funds are allocated to Grants-in-Aid, while one-third is allocated to Sport Sponsorship. Funding allocations for the remaining funds are not specific other than following the inflationary increases in accordance with the NCAA 10-year Financial Model, with the exception of the Student-Athlete Opportunity Fund which includes the Division I Committee on Infraction fines collected from the prior year. Dollar amounts for each fund are approved annually by the NCAA Board of Governors as part of the Association-wide budget. The Board of Governors may establish or otherwise change distributions from time to time. Amendments to the calculation methodology and/or distribution methodology will also require approval from the Division I Board of Directors Finance Committee.

Disbursements

- Distributions are paid to either individual institutions or conferences as noted in the "Distribution Payee" section in each of the distribution summaries below. In the case of the Sport Sponsorship and Grants-in-Aid, the NCAA will defer to conference by-laws when processing the distributions. By the end of February, conferences are required annually to confirm in writing to the national office if it desires to have its conference distribution(s) sent directly to the conference office, substantiated by its by-laws. If a conference does not confirm in writing, with a copy of its relevant by-law, the national office will disburse the funds directly to the respective institutions.
- Additionally, funds will be sent to the conference of which the institution is a member of at the time of the distribution. The conference is responsible for disbursing the funds appropriately.

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution

Governance Policy

Number: AS-03

Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

Responsible Office: Administrative Services Originally approved: October 28, 2015

- An institution that is in the one-year membership probation period is eligible for revenue distribution. An institution that is beyond the one-year membership probation period and/or has been placed in restricted membership is not eligible for revenue distribution.
- For-profit institutions are not eligible for the direct disbursement of NCAA revenue distributions, grants or scholarships.

National Office Data Review

NCAA accounting staff is not permitted to change submitted data on behalf of institutions or conferences. An evaluation of variances is performed by NCAA staff to determine if explanations are reasonable considering the data provided by the institution or conference. If necessary, the institution or conference is contacted for additional explanations. Those organizations are provided an opportunity to adjust the data and re-submit to ensure the integrity of the distributions.

National Office Communication

In an effort to ensure an effective flow of communication on matters impacting revenue distributions, Finance and Academic and Membership Affairs will meet as a regular step in the annual distribution calculation process to discuss and gain clarification on updates, waivers and clarifications to effectively and efficiently determine impact on the calculations. Academic and Membership Affairs will also provide both approved and declined requests related to sport sponsorship or grants-in-aid to support the impact to an institution's distribution.

Scope of Distribution Funds

Following is the list of annual distributions that are made to Division I members.

- Basketball Performance Fund.
- Grants-in-Aid Fund (GiA).
- Sport Sponsorship Fund.
- Conference Grants Fund.
- Equal Conference Distribution.
- Special Assistance Fund (SAF).
- Student-Athlete Opportunity Fund (SAOF).
- Academic Enhancement Fund.
- Academic Performance Fund.
- Supplemental Distribution Fund, (when approved annually).

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution

Governance Policy

Number: AS-03

Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

Responsible Office: Administrative Services Originally approved: October 28, 2015

Revised: October 22, 2018

Reviewed: October 22, 2018

Athletic Excellence

Basketball Performance Fund

Purpose of Distribution	Distribute the financial benefit of the Division I Men's Basketball Championship with the conferences and their respective institutions participating in the Championship. The fund will be awarded for competing in and progressing in the Division I Men's Basketball Championship.	
Basis of Distribution	One unit is awarded to each institution participating in each game of the prior year tournament, except the first unit earned by automatic qualifiers and the championship game. Units earned by each conference within a six-year rolling period are included in the distribution calculation.	
Distribution Timing	April.	
Distribution Payee	Conference.	
Conference Alignment	Conference in which units were earned.	
Data Set	Applicable years' Division I Men's Basketball Championship completed bracket.	
Academic Year Data	Prior six years.	
Data Source	The NCAA bracketing system will provide the distinction of participants as automatic qualifier or at-large and conference alignment based on the NCAA Membership Database. The NCAA stats system will provide results data to calculate units.	
Data Review Process	Finance will request the final bracket from the Championships' stats system and import into the revenue distribution system.	
Eligibility Requirements	Automatic qualifying and at-large institutions.	
Calculation Specifics	 Conference Distribution Calculation: Conference accumulated units multiplied by unit value. Unit Value Calculation: Total available funds divided by total accumulated units for the previous six-year period. Accumulated Units: One unit for each institution participating in each game, except the first unit earned by automatic qualifiers and the championship game, for the previous six years. 	
Restrictions on Fund Usage	None.	
Reporting Requirements	None.	

Basketball Performance Fund Additional Details:

- A multisport conference is defined as an entity that is comprised of at least seven-member institutions that are classified as active Division I for eight preceding academic years.
- Units are retained by the conference in which they are earned.
- Independent institutions earn unit(s) based on its tournament participation within a six-year rolling period.
- Conference realignment:
 - a. If an institution leaves a conference to join another conference or becomes independent, while the former conference remains in operation, the units previously earned by the institution remain with the former conference.
 - b. If an independent institution joins a conference, it retains the units it earned as an independent prior to the date it elected to join the conference; any units the institution earns after that date accrue to the conference.
 - c. If a conference notifies the NCAA that it has ceased operations each institution retains the units it earned in the basketball performance fund.

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution	Responsible Office: Administrative Services
Governance Policy	Originally approved: October 28, 2015
Number: AS-03	Revised: October 22, 2018
Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of	Reviewed: October 22, 2018
Administration & CFO	

- d. Impact of Division I multi-sport conference status (Bylaw 20.02.5):
 - i. During the two-year grace period, a conference will still accrue units and receive revenue distribution.
 - ii. By the end of the two-year grace period, if the conference meets the active Division I multi-sport conference requirements, it will maintain all the unit(s) earned by its member institutions over the six-year rolling period.
 - iii. After the two-year grace period, if the conference still does not meet the active Division I multi-sport conference requirements, the remaining member institutions will retain the unit(s) they have earned. Unit(s) earned by an institution which had previously left the conference will revert to that institution, if it is an Independent, or its new conference.
 - a) In the rare case that such a conference subsequently reconstitutes and meets the Division I multi-sport conference requirements, sometime after the two-year grace period, it will be treated as a new conference, for the purposes of unit accrual and revenue distribution.

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution

Governance Policy

Number: AS-03 Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

Responsible Office: Administrative Services Originally approved: October 28, 2015

Revised: October 22, 2018

Reviewed: October 22, 2018

Student Athlete Support and Opportunity

Grants-in-Aid

Purpose of Distribution	Provide a financial reward for an institution's investment in athletic aid provided to student-athletes.	
Basis of Distribution	Distribution based on the number of athletic grants awarded (based on full-time equivalencies) in the prior academic year for each active Division I institution. Unit value is determined by the total number of athletic grants awarded by all active Division I institutions as of September 1 of prior year with an escalating multiplier which favors schools that provide more athletic grants.	
Distribution Timing	June.	
Distribution Payee	Institution.	
Conference Alignment	Current year.	
Data Set	Revenue Distribution Equivalencies for each student-athlete as documented on each sport's squad list or its equivalent.	
Academic Year Data	Prior year.	
Data Source	Division I Grants-in-Aid Form as submitted by the institution in the Membership Financial Reporting System. Division I Grants-in-Aid Form can be populated electronically via Compliance Assistant or manually completed from the squad list data or its equivalent. Both methods allow for the institution to review the data prior to submission.	
Data Review Process	Prior to submission the Division I Grants-in-Aid Form requires an explanation if the year over year change is greater than or less than four percent. NCAA accounting staff evaluates variances to determine if explanations are reasonable considering the data and contacts the institution as appropriate.	
Eligibility Requirements	A newly active Division I institution shall qualify to begin receiving revenue distributions related to Grants-in-Aid after its third academic year as an active Division I member (Bylaw 20.5.3). The broad-based calculations are based on prior year information, which, in this case, would be the institution's second active year as a Division I institution.	
Calculation Specifics	 GiA Calculations (see example chart below): Total Point Value - Escalating grant multiplier for GiA Revenue Distribution multiplied by the grant equivalencies for the institution. Unit Value - total amount of fund divided by the total point value for all Division I institutions. GiA Distribution per Institution - institution's total point value multiplied by the unit value. 	
Restrictions on Fund Usage	None.	
Reporting Requirements	None.	

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution

Governance Policy

Number: AS-03

Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

Responsible Office: Administrative Services

Originally approved: October 28, 2015

Grants-in-Aid Additional Details:

• The unit value will be determined by the total number of athletic grant equivalencies awarded by all Division I institutions.

EXAMPLE:

Grant Mul	ltip	lier	Grant Equivalents	Total Point Value	Unit Value	GiA Distribution per Institution
1-50		1	50	50	\$300.00	\$ 15,000
51-100		2	50	100	\$300.00	\$ 30,000
101-150		10	50	500	\$300.00	\$150,000
150+		20	40	800	\$300.00	\$240,000
			190	1450		\$435,000

Data Set:

- a. The Grants-in-Aid data is based on prior academic year and drawn from the Membership Financial Reporting System (FRS) submitted by each institution. Grants-in-Aid is calculated by using the revenue distribution equivalencies by sport and in aggregate. (Athletic Grant Amount divided by the Full Grant Amount).
- b. Other Expenses Related to Attendance (also known as gap money or cost of attendance) should not be included in the Grants-in-Aid Revenue Distribution equivalencies. Only tuition, fees, room, board and required course related books are countable for Grants-in-Aid Revenue Distribution per Bylaw 20.02.7.
- c. Full grant amount should be entered as a full year of tuition, not semester or quarter.
- d. Student-athletes are to be counted once and should not receive a revenue distribution equivalency greater than 1.00.
- e. Athletic grants are valid for revenue distribution purposes only in sports in which the NCAA conducts championships competition, emerging sports for women and bowl subdivision football.
- f. Grants-in-Aid are valid for revenue distribution purposes in NCAA sports that do not meet the minimum contests and participants' requirement of Bylaw 20.9.6.3.
- g. Institutions providing grants to student-athletes listed on the squad list or its equivalent as "Exhausted eligibility (fifth-year)" or "Medical" receive credit in the Grants-in-Aid component.
- h. The athletic aid equivalency cannot exceed maximum equivalency limits. However, the total revenue distribution equivalency can exceed maximum equivalency limits due to the additional Exhausted Eligibility and Medical equivalencies (reference Bylaw 15.5.3.1.)
- i. If a sport is discontinued and the athletic grant(s) are still being honored by the institution, the grant(s) are included in student-athlete aid for revenue distribution purposes.

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution

Governance Policy

Number: AS-03

Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

 $Responsible\ Office:\ Administrative\ Services$

Originally approved: October 28, 2015

Sport Sponsorship

Purpose of Distribution	Financial reward for each active Division I institution based on the number of sports sponsored, beginning with the 14th sport (minimum requirement for membership in Division I).
Basis of Distribution	Unit value is determined by the total number of sports sponsored beginning with the 14th sport by all active Division I institutions as of September 1 of prior year.
Distribution Timing	May.
Distribution Payee	Institution.
Conference Alignment	Current year.
Data Set	Number of sports sponsored from the prior academic year by an institution that meet the NCAA minimum contest and participant requirements.
Academic Year Data	Prior year.
Data Source	Revenue Distribution Form for Division I Sport Sponsorship as submitted by the institution in the Membership Financial Reporting System.
Data Review Process	The sport sponsorship data submitted is compared to the Membership Database; any variances are then compared to the sport sponsorship demographic form. NCAA accounting staff evaluates variances to determine if explanations are reasonable considering the data and contacts the institution as appropriate.
Eligibility Requirements	A newly active Division I institution shall qualify to begin receiving revenue distributions related to Sport Sponsorship after its third academic year as an active Division I member (Bylaw 20.5.3). The broad-based calculations are based on prior year information, which, in this case, would be the institution's second active year as a Division I institution.
Calculation Specifics	Total available funds divided by total number of sports sponsored beginning with the 14th sport for all Division I institutions (for example: an institution sponsoring 15 sports will receive 2 units).
Restrictions on Fund Usage	None.
Reporting Requirements	None.

Sports Sponsorship Additional Details:

- Only sports in which the NCAA conducts championships competition (which meet the minimum contests and participant requirements of Bylaw 20.9.6.3) and emerging sports for women are valid for revenue distribution purposes.
- An institution that does not meet NCAA Division I sports-sponsorship minimum requirements will not receive Sports Sponsorship funds even if the institution receives a waiver of the sports sponsorship requirements. Waivers of the sports sponsorship requirements in NCAA Bylaw 20.9.6.3.10 were established to provide relief for institutions that are do not meet the Division I requirements due to extenuating circumstances. Revenue distribution is based on sports sponsorship to reward institutions for sponsoring a higher number of varsity sports.

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution

Governance Policy

Number: AS-03

Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

 $Responsible\ Office:\ Administrative\ Services$

Originally approved: October 28, 2015 Revised: October 22, 2018

Reviewed: October 22, 2018

Conference Grants

Purpose of Distribution	Maintain, enhance or implement programs and services related to officiating for all sports and other identified initiatives.
Basis of Distribution	Equally among active Division I conferences as of September 1 of the prior year, less an agreed upon amount that will be allocated to the regional officiating advisors program.
Distribution Timing	June.
Distribution Payee	Conference.
Conference Alignment	N/A.
Data Set	Active Division I conferences per the NCAA Membership Database.
Academic Year Data	Current year.
Data Source	NCAA Membership Database.
Data Review Process	Total conference count is compared to Membership Status Reports prepared by Academic and Membership Affairs staff, located on the NCAA intranet.
Eligibility Requirements	DI men's and women's basketball-playing conferences that employ a full-time administrator and are eligible for automatic qualification into the Division I men's and women's basketball championships.
Calculation Specifics	Total available funds minus Regional Officiating Advisory Program funding divided by eligible Division I conferences as of September 1 of prior year.
Restrictions on Fund Usage Must be spent within identified initiatives and spent proportionally men's and women's programs.	
Reporting Requirements	NCAA Revenue Distribution Report of Uses Form (in Revenue Distribution System) - Annual report of uses form from conference identifying expenditures in each of the identified initiative areas. Conferences are also required to complete an Officiating Certification Form.

Conference Grants Additional Details:

- These funds must be used to maintain, enhance or implement programs and services in each of the following areas. A required report of uses form is due to the NCAA annually.
 - a. Improvement of men's and women's officiating programs in <u>all</u> sports;
 - b. Enhancement of conference compliance and enforcement programs;
 - c. Heightening the awareness of athletics staff and student-athletes to programs associated with drug use, and assisting coaches, athletics administrators and student-athletes in this regard;
 - d. Enhancement of opportunities: employment, professional development, career advancement and leadership/management training in intercollegiate athletics for ethnic minorities;
 - e. Development of conference gambling education programs;
 - f. Enhance diversity and inclusion efforts; and
 - g. Enhance health and safety of student-athletes, including mental health.
- Independent institutions are not eligible for distributions from the Conference Grant fund.

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution
Governance Policy
Number: AS-03
Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of Administration & CFO

Responsible Office: Administrative Services
Originally approved: October 28, 2015
Revised: October 22, 2018
Reviewed: October 22, 2018

Equal Conference Distribution

Purpose of Distribution	Distribute the financial benefit of the Division I Men's Basketball Championship with the conferences and their respective institutions participating in the championship. An equal distribution for each of the 32 conferences with automatic qualifier status will be awarded for competing in the Division I Men's Basketball Championship.
Basis of Distribution	One unit is awarded equally to each active basketball-playing conference for automatically qualifying for the Division I Men's Basketball Championship within a six-year rolling period.
Distribution Timing	April.
Distribution Payee	Conference.
Conference Alignment	NA
Data Set	Active Division I conferences per the NCAA Membership Database.
Academic Year Data	Prior academic year.
Data Source The NCAA bracketing system will provide the automatic qualifying partic and conference alignment.	
Data Review Process	The import from the NCAA bracketing system is compared to documents provided by NCAA statistics staff to verify the automatic qualifying participants and conference alignment.
Eligibility Requirements	Active Division I men's basketball-playing conferences.
Calculation Specifics	Total available funds divided by eligible automatic qualifying Division I conferences participating in the last six tournaments.
Restrictions on Fund Usage	None.
Reporting Requirements	None.

Equal Conference Additional Details:

- A multisport conference is defined as an entity that is comprised of at least seven-member institutions that are classified as active Division I for eight preceding academic years.
- Automatic qualifier status for basketball is determined by Bylaw 31.3.4.2 and 31.3.4.5.
- Independent institutions are not eligible for distributions from the Equal Conference Distribution fund.
- Conference realignment:
 - a. A new Division I multi-sport conference in year one would receive one-sixth of the amount of the distribution; in year two one third; in year three half, and so forth until the full value of the conference distribution is provided in year six and beyond.
 - b. If a conference notifies the NCAA that it has ceased operations no revenue will be distributed to that entity.
 - c. Impact of Division I multi-sport conference status (Bylaw 20.02.5):
 - i. During the two-year grace period, a conference will still accrue units and receive revenue distribution.
 - ii. By the end of the two-year grace period, if the conference meets the active Division I multisport conference requirements, it will maintain all the unit(s) earned by its member institutions over the six-year rolling period.
 - iii. After the two-year grace period, if the conference still does not meet the active Division I multisport conference requirements, units previously earned over the six-year rolling period by the automatic qualifier(s) for conference will be transferred to the Basketball Performance Fund as at-large units and be distributed to the institution that earned the unit(s).

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution	Responsible Office: Administrative Services
Governance Policy	Originally approved: October 28, 2015
Number: AS-03	Revised: October 22, 2018
Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of	Reviewed: October 22, 2018
Administration & CFO	

a) In the rare case that such a conference subsequently reconstitutes and meets the Division I multi-sport conference requirements, sometime after the two-year grace period, it will be treated as a new conference, for the purposes of unit accrual and revenue distribution.

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution

Governance Policy

Number: AS-03

Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

 $Responsible\ Office:\ Administrative\ Services$

Originally approved: October 28, 2015

Special Assistance Fund (SAF)

Purpose of Distribution	Offer direct benefits to student-athletes or their families at the conference's discretion.	
Basis of Distribution	Student-athlete Pell grant portion based on prior academic year submitted data and current year's submissions related to Grants-in-Aid and Sport Sponsorship.	
Distribution Timing	June.	
Distribution Payee	Conference.	
Conference Alignment	Current year.	
Data Set	Number of Pell grants awarded to all student-athletes and data previously received from the institution related to Grants-in-Aid and Sport Sponsorship.	
Academic Year Data	Prior year.	
Data Source	Revenue Distribution Form for Division I Pell Grants as submitted by the institution in the Membership Financial Reporting System.	
Data Review Process	The Pell grant data submitted is compared to prior year Pell grant data; any variances greater than or less than 25 are researched by following-up with the institution and conference. Grants-in-Aid and Sport Sponsorship submissions are previously reviewed through the specified revenue distribution.	
Eligibility Requirements	A newly active Division I institution will receive the student-athlete Pell grant portion in its first academic year. Newly active Division I institutions shall qualify to begin receiving revenue distributions related to Grants-in-Aid and Sports Sponsorship after its third academic year as an active Division I member (Bylaw 20.5.3). The broad-based calculations are based on prior year information, which, in this case, would be the institution's second active year as a Division I institution.	
Calculation Specifics	Three components of the calculation: 1. Number of Pell grants by institution 2. Number of GiA equivalencies by institution 3. Number of GiA equivalencies by institution year of data: allocation: prior year 70% prior year 15%	
Restrictions on Fund Usage	See Special Assistance Fund Additional Details.	
Reporting Requirements	NCAA Revenue Distribution Report of Uses Form (in Revenue Distribution System) - Annual report from institution to conference and conference will submit to the NCAA on how the funds are used to offer direct benefits to student-athletes and their families.	

Special Assistance Fund Additional Details:

- SAF has three components to the calculation; student-athlete Pell grants, Grants-in-Aid equivalencies and total number of sports sponsored which are based on current year submissions capturing prior academic year data.
- The guiding principles of the fund are to meet the student-athletes' needs of an emergency or essential nature for which financial assistance otherwise is not available in conjunction with participation in intercollegiate athletics, enrollment in an academic curriculum or to recognize academic achievement. Accordingly, the receipt of SAF shall not be included in determining the permissible

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution	Responsible Office: Administrative Services
Governance Policy	Originally approved: October 28, 2015
Number: AS-03	Revised: October 22, 2018
Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of	Reviewed: October 22, 2018
Administration & CFO	

- amount of financial aid that a member institution may award to a student-athlete. Further, the fund should not be used to replace existing budget items.
- Division I student-athletes are eligible to receive SAF benefits, including international, regardless of whether they are Grant-in-Aid recipients, have demonstrated need, have either exhausted eligibility or no longer participate due to medical reasons. However, no prospective student-athlete shall be eligible to receive SAF funds.
- Only sports in which the NCAA conducts championships competition (which meet the minimum contests and participant requirements of Bylaw 20.9.6.3) and emerging sports for women are valid for revenue distribution purposes.
- Only student athletes are countable for the Pell Grant submission (omit practice players).
- Student athletes should only be counted once on the Pell Grant submission.
- Only Pell Grants that have been awarded are countable for the Pell Grant submission (full, semester, summer).
- Pursuant to NCAA Bylaw 15.01.6.1, member institutions and conferences shall not use SAF for the following:
 - a. Salaries and Benefits.
 - b. Tuition and fees, room and board, and required course-related books during a regular term (other than summer school) for student-athletes with remaining eligibility.
 - c. Capital improvements.
 - d. Stipends.
 - e. Competition related travel expenses for an ineligible student-athlete.
 - f. Outside athletic development opportunities for current student-athletes with remaining eligibility, such as:
 - i. Fees and other expenses associated with participation in a sports camp or clinic;
 - ii. Fees and other expenses associated with private sports-related instruction;
 - iii. Fees for other athletic development experiences (e.g., greens fees, batting cage rental); or
 - iv. Expenses associated participation in a foreign tour.
- The responsibility for oversight and administration of the fund, including interpretations, rests solely with the conferences. The Division I Board of Directors establishes the guiding principles of the fund.
- A conference may accumulate no more than the total allocation received over the previous two years. The succeeding allocation will be forfeited if that amount is exceeded. Independent institution's funds have been assigned to a conference office for administration based on the same assignment made for administration of the National Letter of Intent in coordination with the conference confirmations received by the NCAA regarding conference alignment for the current academic year.
- Conferences will be required to report annually, to the NCAA national office, the dollars spent from this fund in accordance with conference guidelines.
- Institutions are required to report Pell grant information for the prior academic year which will be used in the calculation of the Special Assistance Fund distribution.
- An institution that does not meet legislated (Bylaw 20.9.6) NCAA Division I sports-sponsorship minimum requirements will not receive Special Assistance Fund (SAF) attributable to the Sports Sponsorship component of the distribution even if the institution received a waiver of the sports-sponsorship requirements. Regardless of the number of sports sponsored, an institution will receive a value of zero when minimum sports sponsorship requirements are not met. This exclusion does not impact the Grants-in-Aid portion of the SAF.
- Independent institutions are eligible to receive the Special Assistance Fund and are responsible to submit a report of uses to the national office.

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution

Governance Policy

Number: AS-03

Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

Responsible Office: Administrative Services Originally approved: October 28, 2015

Student-Athlete Opportunity Fund (SAOF)

Purpose of Distribution	Offer direct benefits to student-athletes or their families at the conference's discretion.	
Basis of Distribution	Grants-in-Aid and Sport Sponsorship (broad-based distributions) portion based on the current year's submission and methodology.	
Distribution Timing	June.	
Distribution Payee	Conference.	
Conference Alignment	Current year.	
Data Set	Data previously received from the institution related to GiA and Sport Sponsorship.	
Academic Year Data	Prior year (GiA and Sport Sponsorship).	
Data Source	GiA and Sport Sponsorship data from the most recent distribution of those funds.	
Data Review Process	GiA and Sport Sponsorship submissions are previously reviewed through the specified revenue distribution.	
Eligibility Requirements	A newly active Division I institution shall qualify to begin receiving revenue distributions related to Grants-in-Aid and Sports Sponsorship after its third academic year as an active Division I member (Bylaw 20.5.3). The broad-based calculations are based on prior year information, which, in this case, would be the institution's second active year as a Division I institution.	
Calculation Specifics	Two components of the calculation: Number of Grant-in-Aid points Number of sports sponsored in excess of 13 Number of sports sponsored in excess of 13 Number of sports sponsored in excess of 13	
Restrictions on Fund Usage	See Student-Athlete Opportunity Fund Additional Details.	
Reporting Requirements	NCAA Revenue Distribution Report of Uses Form (in Revenue Distribution System) - Annual report from institution to conference on how the funds are used to offer direct benefits to student-athletes and their families.	

Student-Athlete Opportunity Fund Additional Details:

- SAOF has two components to the calculation the Grants-in-Aid and Sport Sponsorship (broad-based distributions), which are based on the current year's submission and methodology.
- The guiding principles of the fund are to meet the student-athletes' needs of an emergency or essential nature for which financial assistance otherwise is not available in conjunction with participation in intercollegiate athletics, enrollment in an academic curriculum or to recognize academic achievement. Accordingly, the receipt of SAOF shall not be included in determining the permissible amount of financial aid that a member institution may award to a student-athlete. Further, the fund should not be used to replace existing budget items.
- Division I student-athletes are eligible to receive SAOF benefits, including international, regardless of whether they are Grant-in-Aid recipients, have demonstrated need, have either exhausted eligibility or no

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution	Responsible Office: Administrative Services
Governance Policy	Originally approved: October 28, 2015
Number: AS-03	Revised: October 22, 2018
Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of	Reviewed: October 22, 2018
Administration & CFO	

longer participate due to medical reasons. However, no prospective student-athlete shall be eligible to receive SAOF funds.

- Pursuant to NCAA Bylaw 15.01.6.1, member institutions and conferences shall not use SAOF for the following:
 - a. Salaries and Benefits.
 - b. Tuition and fees, room and board, and required course-related books during a regular term (other than summer school) for student-athletes with remaining eligibility.
 - c. Capital improvements.
 - d. Stipends.
 - e. Competition related travel expenses for an ineligible student-athlete.
 - f. Outside athletic development opportunities for current student-athletes with remaining eligibility, such as:
 - i. Fees and other expenses associated with participation in a sports camp or clinic;
 - ii. Fees and other expenses associated with private sports-related instruction;
 - iii. Fees for other athletic development experiences (e.g., greens fees, batting cage rental); or
 - iv. Expenses associated participation in a foreign tour.
- The responsibility for oversight and administration of the fund, including interpretations, rests solely with the conferences. The Division I Board of Directors establishes the guiding principles of the fund.
- A conference may accumulate no more than the total allocation received over the previous two years. The succeeding allocation will be forfeited if that amount is exceeded. Independent institution's funds have been assigned to a conference office for administration based on the same assignment made for administration of the National Letter of Intent in coordination with the conference confirmations received by the NCAA regarding conference alignment for the current academic year.
- An institution that does not meet the legislated (Bylaw 20.9.6) NCAA Division I sports-sponsorship minimum requirements will not receive Student-Athlete Opportunity Fund (SAOF) attributable to the Sports Sponsorship component of the distribution even if the institution received a waiver of the sports-sponsorship requirements. This is consistent with calculation methodology for the Sport Sponsorship Revenue Distribution. This exclusion does not impact the Grants-in-Aid portion of the SAOF.
- Independent institutions are eligible to receive the SAOF and are responsible to submit a report of uses to the national office.

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution

Governance Policy Number: AS-03

Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

Responsible Office: Administrative Services Originally approved: October 28, 2015

Academic Achievement

Academic Enhancement Fund

Purpose of Distribution	Enhancement of academic support programs for student-athletes at Division I institutions.	
Basis of Distribution	Equally among active Division I institutions as of September 1 of the prior calendar year.	
Distribution Timing	June.	
Distribution Payee	Conference.	
Conference Alignment	Current year.	
Data Set	Active Division I members as of September 1 of the prior calendar year.	
Academic Year Data	Current year.	
Data Source	NCAA Membership Database.	
Data Review Process	Total institution count is compared to Membership Status Reports prepared by Academic and Membership Affairs staff, located on the NCAA intranet.	
Eligibility Requirements	Conferences will receive an equal allocation for any newly active Division I institution in the institution's first year of active status.	
Calculation Specifics	Total available funds divided by Division I institutions active as of September 1 of prior calendar year.	
Restrictions on Fund Usage	See list in Appendix.	
Reporting Requirements	NCAA Revenue Distribution Report of Uses Form (in Revenue Distribution System) - Annual report from institution to conference on how the funds are used to enhance their academic programs and services for student-athletes from the prior academic year. Conference submits completed reports on behalf of its members.	

Academic Enhancement Additional Details:

- Academic Enhancements Funds are to be spent in the areas detailed below. Institutions are required to
 report to the conference on how the funds are used to enhance their academic programs and services for
 student-athletes. Once the data has been reviewed, the conference will submit the completed reports on
 behalf of its members.
- Independent institutions are eligible to receive the Academic Enhancement Fund and are responsible to submit a report of uses to the national office
- Allowable Uses for Academic Enhancement:
 - o Summer school
 - o Fifth or sixth year aid
 - o Tutoring
 - o International student fees and taxes
 - Professional program testing
 - o Supplies (expendable or educational)
 - o Champs/Life skills/Student-Athlete Advisory Committee
 - Other educational expenses
 - o Insurance premiums for student-athletes
 - Medical, dental or vision expenses for student-athletes (not covered by another insurance program for student-athletes)
 - o Other health and safety expenses

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution	Responsible Office: Administrative Services
Governance Policy	Originally approved: October 28, 2015
Number: AS-03	Revised: October 22, 2018
Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of	Reviewed: October 22, 2018
Administration & CFO	

- o Clothing
- o Travel
- o Other personal or family expenses
- Other expenses related to attendance (e.g., cost of attendance)
- o Academic achievement or graduation award
- Academic support services
- o Academic personnel salaries and benefits
- o Capital improvements/equipment
- o Other academic or programming expenses

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution

Governance Policy Number: AS-03

Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

Responsible Office: Administrative Services Originally approved: October 28, 2015

Academic Performance Fund

Purpose of Distribution	Provide a financial reward for an institution's athletic team's academic success based on meeting one of three academic measures, Academic Progress Rate (APR), Graduation Success Rate (GSR) or Federal Graduation Rate (FGR).
Basis of Distribution	One unit is awarded to each institution that meets the established criteria.
Distribution Timing	June.
Distribution Payee	Conference.
Conference Alignment	Current year.
Data Set	NCAA Division I APRGSR; andFGR.
Academic Year Data	APR (prior year), GSR and FGR (most recently published).
Data Source	Academic Portal.
Data Review Process	Based on the established academic criteria, NCAA national office research department will provide qualifying institutions to the finance and accounting department. No changes to the data submissions provided to Financial Operations will be considered after June 1.
Eligibility Requirements	 An institution must meet one of the following criteria to be eligible for a distribution. Institution's NCAA Division I APR for the previous year is equal to or greater than 985; Institution's GSR for the most recently available year is equal to or greater than 90 percent; or Difference between the institution's student-athlete and student-body rates for the most recently published FGR is greater than or equal to 13 percentage points. The Division I Committee on Academics manages the eligibility criteria.
Calculation Specifics	Total available funds divided by eligible Division I institutions active as of September 1 of prior calendar year.
Restrictions on Fund Usage	None.
Reporting Requirements	None.

Academic Performance Fund Additional Details:

- This distribution will begin in June 2020.
- The fund will be sent directly to the conference to be distributed according to each conference's bylaws and/or policies. Independent institutions will receive funds directly.
- A list of qualifying schools will not be published.
- The Division I Committee on Academics will manage and the Division I Board of Directors will provide oversight of the academic metrics and benchmarks upon which the distribution eligibility is based.
- No changes to the data submissions provided to Financial Operations will be considered after June 1.

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution Governance Policy Number: AS-03

Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

Responsible Office: Administrative Services Originally approved: October 28, 2015

Supplemental Distribution

Purpose of Distribution	Distribute a portion of the Association's fiscal year operating surplus in an equitable manner to active Division I institutions and conferences, if and as approved by the Board of Governors after the close of a fiscal year.
Basis of Distribution	One-half of the distribution is weighted in accordance with the broad-based revenue distribution formula, while the other half is based on the Basketball Performance Fund and the Equal Conference Fund allocated based on the number of units available in the two funds combined. The broad-based portion is allocated as follows: Two-thirds of the broad-based portion would be distributed based on the Grants-in-Aid distribution, while one-third would be distributed based on Sport Sponsorship for active Division I institutions.
Distribution Timing	February. (if approval is obtained from the Board of Governors, per the review of the prior fiscal year financials).
Distribution Payee	Institutions (Grants-in-Aid and Sport Sponsorship) and Conferences (Basketball Performance and Equal Conference).
Conference Alignment	Current year.
Data Set	Prior year distributions for Grants-in-Aid, Sport Sponsorship and Basketball Performance and Equal Conference.
Academic Year Data	Prior year (Basketball Performance and Equal Conference) and two-prior years (GiA and Sport Sponsorship).
Data Source	NCAA Revenue Distribution System. See respective summary for Data Source for each Normal Distribution.
Data Review Process	Data previously reviewed through Normal Distribution.
Eligibility Requirements	Prior year distribution for Grants-in-Aid, Sport Sponsorship and Basketball Performance and Equal Conference.
Calculation Specifics	Two Equal Components: 1. 50% of the Total Available Funds: a) Basketball Performance Calculation: Conference accumulated units multiplied by unit value. O Unit Value Calculation: Total available funds divided by total accumulated units for the previous six-year period. O Accumulated Units: One unit for each institution participating in each game, except the first unit earned by automatic qualifiers and the championship game, for the previous six years b) Equal Conference Calculation: Total available funds divided by eligible automatic qualifying Division I conferences participating in the last six years. 2. 50% of the Total Available Fund: Two-thirds distributed based the Grants-in-Aid distribution and one-third distributed based Sport Sponsorship.
Restrictions on Fund Usage	None.
Reporting Requirements	None.
	1

Supplemental Distribution Additional Details:

- Funds for this distribution would be available only if revenues exceed expenses in the prior fiscal year for the NCAA.
- Supplemental distributions can vary from year to year and are not guaranteed.

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution	Responsible Office: Administrative Services
Governance Policy	Originally approved: October 28, 2015
Number: AS-03	Revised: October 22, 2018
Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of	Reviewed: October 22, 2018
Administration & CFO	

IV. WEB ADDRESS FOR THIS POLICY

http://daily.ncaa.org/policies/Policies/AS-03 DI Revenue Distribution Governance Policy.docx

V. CONTACTS

Subject matter	Office name	Telephone number
Policy clarification and interpretation	Administrative Services	317/917-6508

VI. DEFINITIONS

Purpose of Distribution	The objective or desired outcome of the funds being distributed.
Basis of Distribution	The basic unit of measure for the distribution.
Distribution Timing	When the distribution is sent.
Distribution Payee	Default recipient of distribution funds (either institution or conference).
Conference Alignment	Applicable year of conference membership used in the calculation or disbursement of funds.
Data Set	The data that will be used to calculate the distribution and how it is collected.
Academic Year Data	Applicable year for the corresponding Data Set.
Data Source	The source of data that the distribution is calculated.
Data Review Process	The extent to which the NCAA assesses reasonableness and consistency of the Data Set. The NCAA accounting staff does not validate, audit or alter submissions from institutions or conferences.
Eligibility Requirements	Specific eligibility criteria for receiving distribution funds, as applicable.
Calculation Specifics	Formula for calculating distribution amounts.
Restrictions on Fund Usage	Any restrictions on how distributed dollars can be used.
Reporting Requirements	Any reports required on how the funds are spent.
Prior year	The academic or fiscal year immediately previous to the academic
	or fiscal year currently in progress.
Current year	The academic or fiscal year currently in progress.
Upcoming year	The academic or fiscal year immediately following the academic or fiscal year currently in progress.
Independent Institution	An institution that does not have a multisport conference affiliation.

Policy: Division I Revenue Distribution

Governance Policy

Number: AS-03

Responsible Executive: Kathleen McNeely, SVP of

Administration & CFO

Responsible Office: Administrative Services Originally approved: October 28, 2015