

2023-24 Women's Volleyball Rules Results

Methods

- This is a survey of athletic directors, conference commissioners, coordinators of officials, head coaches, officials and senior woman administrators. Participants were also asked to provide the Women's Volleyball Rules Committee with any feedback regarding the proposed rule changes.
- The survey was designed to take no more than 10-minutes and was administered online through the QuestionPro survey software.
- The survey was open from November 17 to December 4, 2023.
- The was distributed via email to 4,708 coaches, officials and conference coordinators. The link to the survey was made available through an online survey dashboard designed for athletics directors, conference commissioners and senior woman administrators to provide rules feedback during the 2023-24 academic year. A notice about the use of the survey dashboard was emailed to all athletics directors, senior women administrators and conference commissioners prior to the survey launch. A follow-up email was sent to those who received the survey via email and a notice about survey dashboard availability appeared in the NCAA Weekly each Monday while the survey was open. Of the 6,924 possible participants, there were 2,067 completed surveys (30 percent response rate).

Response Data

TITLE	n	N	%
DI Athletics Director	2	344	1%
DII Athletics Director	7	290	2%
DIII Athletics Director	27	425	6%
Total ADs	36	1059	3%
DI Conference Commissioner	1	32	3%
DII Conference Commissioner	-	23	-
DIII Conference Commissioner	2	43	5%
Unknown	11		
Total Commissioners	14	98	15%
DI Head Coach	158	344	46%
DII Head Coach	104	290	36%
DIII Head Coach	164	425	39%
Unknown	7		
Total Head Coaches	433	1059	41%
DI SWA	6	344	2%
DII SWA	4	290	1%
DIII SWA	9	425	2%
Unknown	4		
Total SWAs	23	1059	2%
DI Coordinator of Officials	4	13	31%
DII Coordinator of Officials	4	17	24%
DIII Coordinator of Officials	5	13	38%
Unknown	30		
Total Coord. of Officials	34	34	100%
Total Officials	1527	3615	42%
TOTALS	2,067	6,924	30%

Note: The 'N' for director of athletics, head coaches and senior woman administrators is based off 2023-24 NCAA Sports Sponsorship Projections. The 'N' for conference commissioners was pulled from the NCAA Member Reports application. Several administrators chose not to select their division affiliation while completing the survey.

QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

Q1: Currently all jewelry must be removed before participating in warmups [except small, secured jewelry (e.g., stud or posts)]. No jewelry is allowed below the chin. Would you be in favor of allowing players to also wear small, snug-fitting nose rings and ear cuffs?

ATHLETIC DIRECTORS	Ove	erall	D	DI DII		DI	[]	
No, keep the current rule.	64%	23	-	-	ı	-	63%	17
Yes, allow players to wear small hoops that are close to the nose or ear.	36%	13	-	-	-	1	37%	10
TOTAL		36						27

CONFERENCE COMMISSIONERS	Ove	rall	Г	Ι	D	DII		III
No, keep the current rule.	50%	7	-	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, allow players to wear small hoops that are close to the nose or ear.	50%	7	ı	1	1	ı	-	-
TOTAL		14						

COORDINATOR OF OFFICIALS	Ove	rall	D	I	D	II	D]	III
No, keep the current rule.	28%	10	ı	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, allow players to wear small hoops that are close to the nose or ear.	72%	24	1	1	1	ı	-	-
TOTAL		34						

HEAD COACHES	Ove	rall	D	I	D	II	DI	II
No, keep the current rule.	31%	133	27%	42	31%	32	35%	57
Yes, allow players to wear small hoops that are close to the nose or ear.	69%	298	73%	114	69%	72	65%	107
TOTAL		431		156		104		164

OFFICIALS	Ove	verall		Ι	D	II	D]	III
No, keep the current rule.	42%	647	-	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, allow players to wear small hoops that are close to the nose or ear.	58%	878	-	ı	ı	-	1	-
TOTAL		1525						

SENIOR WOMAN ADMINISTRATORS	Ove	erall	D	Ι	D	II	D	III
No, keep the current rule.	52%	12	-	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, allow players to wear small hoops that are close to the nose or ear.	48%	11	-		-	ı	-	-
TOTAL		23						

Q2: Currently, it is a fault if a player contacts a ball twice in succession (double) on the second or third team contact. Would you be in favor of allowing a double hit on a second team contact if the ball is sent to a teammate?

ATHLETIC DIRECTORS	Ove	erall	Г	Ι	D	II	DI	II
No, keep the current rule.	75%	27	-	-	ı	ı	70%	19
Yes, allow double hits on the second	25%	0					30%	8
contact sent to a teammate.	23%0	9	-	-	•	-	30%	0
TOTAL		36						27

CONFERENCE COMMISSIONERS	Ove	erall	D	DI DII		II	D	III
No, keep the current rule.	93%	13	-	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, allow double hits on the second contact	7%	1						
sent to a teammate.	7 70	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL		14						

COORDINATOR OF OFFICIALS	Ove	rall	Г	Ι	D	DII		III
No, keep the current rule.	42%	14	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes, allow double hits on the second contact sent to a teammate.	58%	20	1	ı	ı	ı	-	-
TOTAL		34						

HEAD COACHES	Ove	rall	D	I	D	II	DI	II
No, keep the current rule.	58%	250	50%	78	63%	62	62%	101
Yes, allow double hits on the second	42%	182	50%	79	37%	39	38%	63
contact sent to a teammate.	4270	102	3070	79	3770	39	3070	03
TOTAL		432		157		104		164

OFFICIALS	Ove	erall	D	DI DII		DIII		
No, keep the current rule.	53%	807	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes, allow double hits on the second contact sent to a teammate.	47%	717	ı	1	ı	ı	ı	-
TOTAL		1524						

SENIOR WOMAN ADMINISTRATORS	Overall			Ι	D	II	D	III
No, keep the current rule.	52%	12	-	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, allow double hits on the second contact sent to a teammate.	48%	10	-	1	-	1	-	-
TOTAL		22						

Q3: Currently, one libero may be designated for each set by indicating that player's number on the lineup sheet. Would you be in favor of designating two liberos for each set? Only one libero would be allowed on the court at a time.

ATHLETIC DIRECTORS	Overall		Г	Ι	D	II	DI	II
No, keep the current rule.	31%	11	-	-	-	-	30%	8
Yes, allow a team to designate two liberos per set (only one may be on the court at a time).	69%	25	1	-	1	-	70%	19
TOTAL		36						27

CONFERENCE COMMISSIONERS	Overall		Г	Ι	D	II	D	III
No, keep the current rule.	36%	5	-	-	ı	ı	-	-
Yes, allow a team to designate two liberos per set (only one may be on the court at a time).	64%	9	1	1	1	ı	1	-
TOTAL		14						

COORDINATOR OF OFFICIALS	Ove	D	I	D	II	D	III	
No, keep the current rule.	35%	12	ı	-	ı	ı	ı	-
Yes, allow a team to designate two liberos per set (only one may be on the court at a time).	65%	22	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL		34						

HEAD COACHES	Ove	rall	D	I	D	DII		II
No, keep the current rule.	42%	180	56%	88	33%	34	34%	56
Yes, allow a team to designate two liberos per set (only one may be on the court at a time).	58%	253	44%	70	67%	70	66%	108
TOTAL		433		158		104		164

OFFICIALS	Overall		D	I	D	II	D)	III
No, keep the current rule.	38%	573	ı	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, allow a team to designate two liberos per set (only one may be on the court at a time).	62%	949	ı	1	1	1	1	-
TOTAL								

SENIOR WOMAN ADMINISTRATORS	Overall		D	Ι	D	II	D	III
No, keep the current rule.	30%	7	-	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, allow a team to designate two liberos per set (only one may be on the court at a time).	70%	16	ı	1	1	ı	1	-
TOTAL		23						

Q4: Currently, a team may challenge that a ball was illegally contacted over the opponent's court (illegally reaching over the net) by challenging whether the ball was touched. The position and/or height of the ball is not challengeable. Would you be in favor of also allowing interference above the net to be challenged by challenging whether the player was touched?

ATHLETIC DIRECTORS	Overall		Г	Ι	D	II	DI	[]
No, keep the current rule.	50%	18	-	-	-	-	56%	15
Yes, allow interference above the net to be challenged by challenging whether a player was touched.	50%	18	1	-	1	-	44%	12
TOTAL		36						27

CONFERENCE COMMISSIONERS	Overall		Г	Ι	D	II	D	III
No, keep the current rule.	57%	8	-	-	-	1	-	-
Yes, allow interference above the net to be challenged by challenging whether a player was touched.	43%	6	1	1	1	ı	ı	-
TOTAL		14						

COORDINATOR OF OFFICIALS	Ove		Ι	D	II	D	III	
No, keep the current rule.	37%	13	-	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, allow interference above the net to be challenged by challenging whether a player was touched.	63%	21	1	1	1	1	1	-
TOTAL		34						

HEAD COACHES	Ove	rall	D	I	DII		DI	II
No, keep the current rule.	24%	101	15%	24	22%	23	33%	53
Yes, allow interference above the net to be challenged by challenging whether a player was touched.	76%	328	85%	133	78%	81	67%	108
TOTAL		429		157		104		161

OFFICIALS	Overall		Γ	Ι	D	II	D	ŒΙΙ
No, keep the current rule.	46%	705	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes, allow interference above the net to be challenged by challenging whether a player was touched.	54%	819	1	-	1	1	1	-
TOTAL		1524						

SENIOR WOMAN ADMINISTRATORS	Ove	D	I	D	II	D	III	
No, keep the current rule.	36%	8	ı	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, allow interference above the net to be challenged by challenging whether a player was touched.	64%	14	1	1	1	1	1	-
TOTAL		22						

Q5: Currently, scoring protests must be resolved during the match. If a team lodges a non-scoring protest and loses that set as well as the match, the protest is sent to the NCAA Women's Volleyball Secretary-Rules Editor to be resolved after the match. If the non-scoring protest is found to be valid after the completion of the match (referee's decision changed), the protested set may be replayed from the point of protest and the match replayed to its conclusion. Would you be in favor of requiring all protests to be resolved during the match?

ATHLETIC DIRECTORS	Overall		Γ	Ι	D	II	DI	II
No, keep the current rule.	36%	13	-	-	-	-	30%	8
Yes, require all protests be resolved during the match.	64%	23	-	-	-	-	70%	19
TOTAL		36						27

CONFERENCE COMMISSIONERS	Ove		Ι	D	II	D]	III	
No, keep the current rule.	21%	3	-	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, require all protests be resolved during the match.	79%	11	-	-	-		-	-
TOTAL		14						

COORDINATOR OF OFFICIALS	Overall		Г	Ι	D	DII I		III
No, keep the current rule.	26%	9	-	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, require all protests be resolved during the match.	74%	25	-	1	1	ı	-	-
TOTAL		34						

HEAD COACHES	Ove	rall	D	I	D	DII		DII DII		II
No, keep the current rule.	32%	139	26%	41	35%	36	38%	60		
Yes, require all protests be resolved during the match.	68%	290	74%	117	65%	67	62%	101		
TOTAL		429		158		103		161		

OFFICIALS	Ove	D	Ι	D	II	D	III	
No, keep the current rule.	28%	432	-	1	-	-	-	-
Yes, require all protests be resolved during the match.	72%	1084	-	1	1	1	-	-
TOTAL		1516						

SENIOR WOMAN ADMINISTRATORS	Overall		Γ	Ι	DII		D	III
No, keep the current rule.	26%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes, require all protests be resolved during the match.	74%	17	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL		23						

Q6: Would you be in favor of limiting a player's ability to cross the center line completely with a foot/feet by instead allowing players to remain in contact with the center line or be directly above the center line? This change would remove official's judgment of what creates a safety hazard when a player's foot or feet completely cross the center line.

ATHLETIC DIRECTORS	Ove	D	Ι	D	II	DI	II	
No, keep the current rule.	31%	11	-	-	-	-	30%	8
Yes, A player's foot or feet completely crossing the center line should be a fault.	69%	25	-	1	-	1	70%	19
TOTAL		36						27

CONFERENCE COMMISSIONERS	Ove	Γ	Ι	D	II	D	III	
No, keep the current rule.	38%	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes, A player's foot or feet completely crossing the center line should be a fault.	62%	8	-	-	ı	1	-	-
TOTAL		13						

COORDINATOR OF OFFICIALS	Overall		D	I	D	DII		III
No, keep the current rule.	54%	18	ı	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, A player's foot or feet completely crossing the center line should be a fault.	46%	16	1	1	1	1	-	-
TOTAL		34						

HEAD COACHES	Ove	rall	D	I	D	DII		DII		III
No, keep the current rule.	28%	122	27%	43	34%	35	26%	42		
Yes, A player's foot or feet completely crossing the center line should be a fault.	72%	309	73%	114	66%	69	74%	121		
TOTAL		431		157		104		163		

OFFICIALS	Ove		I	D	II	D	III	
No, keep the current rule.	51%	771	-	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, A player's foot or feet completely crossing the center line should be a fault.	49%	750	-	1	1	ı	-	-
TOTAL		1519						

SENIOR WOMAN ADMINISTRATORS	Overall		DI		DII		D	III
No, keep the current rule.	23%	5	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes, A player's foot or feet completely crossing the center line should be a fault.	77%	17	-	1	1	1	1	1
TOTAL		22						

Q7: The current rule for the cover of the ball requires that it have a "smooth leather or leather-like cover." Would you be in favor of eliminating the requirement that the cover be "smooth", so as to provide the option for teams to compete with balls with non-smooth covers, such as the ball used in international competition or men's NCAA volleyball competition?

ATHLETIC DIRECTORS	Overall		DI DII		II	DI	ΙΙ	
No, keep the current rule.	72%	26	-	-	ı	-	70%	19
Yes, remove the requirement that ball covers be smooth.	28%	10	-	-	-	1	30%	8
TOTAL		36						27

CONFERENCE COMMISSIONERS	Overall		DI		DII		D	III
No, keep the current rule.	46%	6	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes, remove the requirement that ball covers be smooth.	54%	7	1	1	1	1	-	-
TOTAL		13						

COORDINATOR OF OFFICIALS	Ove	Overall		DI		DII		III
No, keep the current rule.	30%	10	-	-	ı	-	-	-
Yes, remove the requirement that ball covers be smooth.	70%	24	-	1	-		-	-
TOTAL		34						

HEAD COACHES	Ove	rall	D	I	D	DII		III
No, keep the current rule.	74%	321	74%	117	70%	72	76%	125
Yes, remove the requirement that ball covers be smooth.	26%	111	26%	41	30%	31	24%	39
TOTAL		432		158		103		164

OFFICIALS	Overall		DI		DII		DIII	
No, keep the current rule.	38%	584	ı	-	ı	-	•	-
Yes, remove the requirement that ball covers be smooth.	62%	938	-		-	ı	-	-
TOTAL		1522						

SENIOR WOMAN ADMINISTRATORS	Overall		DI		D	DII		III
No, keep the current rule.	50%	11	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yes, remove the requirement that ball covers be smooth.	50%	11	-	1	1	1	-	1
TOTAL		22						