



## 2019 Women's Volleyball Rules Survey Report

### RESPONSE DATA

Title	n	N	%
DI Head Coach	245	333	74%
DII Head Coach	205	296	69%
DIII Head Coach	300	430	70%
<b>TOTAL COACHES</b>	<b>750</b>	<b>1059</b>	<b>71%</b>
DI SWA	159	325	49%
DII SWA	155	295	53%
DIII SWA	161	423	38%
Game Official	1909	3226	59%
Coordinator	57	57	100%

### QUANTITATIVE DATA

#### POSSIBLE RULES CHANGES

1. Currently the volleyball must have a smooth leather or leather-like cover. What is your preference regarding the volleyball?

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
Keep the current rule.	73%	178	80%	164	80%	237	78%	579
Change the rule.	27%	65	20%	40	20%	60	22%	165
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>297</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>744</b>

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
Keep the current rule.	82%	128	88%	135	88%	140	66%	38	59%	1151
Change the rule.	18%	29	12%	19	13%	20	34%	20	41%	815
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>157</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>160</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1966</b>

2. Currently the teammates' uniform bottoms must be identical-waivers are required for medical/social/religious exceptions. Would you be in favor of allowing different bottoms (i.e. leggings, spandex, shorts etc.) for competition as long as the bottoms are a similar color?

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
Allow different bottoms	62%	151	57%	117	58%	174	59%	442
Keep the current rule	38%	93	43%	88	42%	126	41%	307
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	244	100%	205	100%	300	100%	749

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
Allow different bottoms	55%	87	57%	87	61%	97	62%	36	61%	1220
Keep the current rule	45%	72	43%	66	39%	63	38%	22	39%	765
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	159	100%	153	100%	160	100%	58	100%	1985

3. Currently, jewelry is not allowed to be worn by players during competition. Would you be in favor of allowing players to wear small, secured jewelry? Jewelry would not be allowed on the hands, wrist or arms.

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
Keep the current rule	47%	116	55%	112	41%	123	47%	351
Allow jewelry	53%	129	45%	93	59%	174	53%	396
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	245	100%	205	100%	297	100%	747

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
Keep the current rule	74%	118	71%	109	73%	118	46%	26	49%	978
Allow jewelry	26%	41	29%	44	27%	43	54%	31	51%	1005
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	159	100%	153	100%	161	100%	57	100%	1983

4. Currently, the time allowed for the intermission between second and third sets is a minimum of 3 minutes and a maximum of 5 minutes. What is your preference for intermission time?

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
Keep the current rule	72%	175	84%	171	87%	258	81%	604
Change intermission time	28%	69	16%	33	13%	39	19%	141
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	244	100%	204	100%	297	100%	745

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
Keep the current rule	84%	133	85%	129	93%	150	81%	47	85%	1682
Change intermission time	16%	25	15%	22	7%	11	19%	11	15%	296
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	158	100%	151	100%	161	100%	58	100%	1978

4a. What should be the maximum time for intermission be?

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
3 minutes	17%	12	9%	3	15%	6	15%	21
7 minutes	28%	19	24%	8	41%	16	30%	43
10 minutes	55%	38	67%	22	44%	17	55%	77
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	69	100%	33	100%	39	100%	141

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
3 minutes	20%	5	27%	6	27%	3	27%	3	28%	82
7 minutes	16%	4	41%	9	36%	4	45%	5	34%	99
10 minutes	64%	16	32%	7	36%	4	27%	3	38%	112
TOTAL	100%	25	100%	22	100%	11	100%	11	100%	293

5. Currently, each team is allowed 15 substitutions per set. Would you be in favor of reducing the number of substitutions?

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
Keep it the same	82%	200	92%	188	95%	284	90%	672
Reduce the number	18%	44	8%	17	5%	14	10%	75
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	244	100%	205	100%	298	100%	747

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
Keep it the same	89%	142	93%	142	91%	146	71%	41	72%	1427
Reduce the number	11%	17	7%	10	9%	14	29%	17	28%	554
TOTAL	100%	159	100%	152	100%	160	100%	58	100%	1981

5a. What should be the maximum number of substitutions be?

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
8	50%	22	29%	5	7%	1	37%	28
10	20%	9	24%	4	7%	1	19%	14
12	30%	13	47%	8	86%	12	44%	33
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	44	100%	17	100%	14	100%	75

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
8	24%	4	20%	2	14%	2	0%	0	7%	39
10	29%	5	50%	5	21%	3	12%	2	9%	52
12	47%	8	30%	3	64%	9	88%	15	83%	460
TOTAL	53%	17	70%	10	36%	14	100%	17	100%	551

6. Currently, it is a fault if a player contacts the ball twice in succession (double) on the second or third contact. Would you be in favor of allowing a double hit on a second contact being sent to a teammate?

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
Keep the current rule	65%	157	79%	162	77%	231	74%	550
Allow double hits	35%	86	21%	43	23%	68	26%	197
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>747</b>

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
Keep the current rule	89%	140	85%	131	90%	145	48%	28	60%	1199
Allow double hits	11%	18	15%	23	10%	16	52%	30	40%	785
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1984</b>

7. Currently, disagreements (protests) with interpretations of misapplications of the playing rules may be brought to the attention of the first referee before the next rally. Would you be in favor of eliminating the ability to protest rule interpretations or misapplications (protests on scoring errors would still be permitted, settled on site)?

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
Keep the current rule	81%	198	86%	177	93%	277	87%	652
Eliminate protests	19%	46	14%	28	7%	22	13%	96
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>748</b>

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
Keep the current rule	83%	131	90%	138	86%	138	60%	35	67%	1323
Eliminate protests	17%	27	10%	15	14%	23	40%	23	33%	658
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>161</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>58</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1981</b>

## CHALLENGE REVIEW

1. Did your institution use the Challenge Review System (CRS) during the 2019 season?

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
Yes	55%	133	14%	28	1%	2	22%	163
No	45%	111	86%	177	99%	297	78%	585
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>205</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>299</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>748</b>

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
Yes	57%	90	16%	24	3%	4	46%	26	41%	775
No	43%	68	84%	129	97%	155	54%	31	59%	1117
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>153</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>159</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>1892</b>

2. Currently, if play is stopped and a replay indicated (typically due to external interference or an injury), neither team can challenge. Would you be in favor of allowing either team to challenge a fault that occurred prior to the replay (current challengeable faults only)?

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
Allow either to challenge	73%	97	57%	16	67%	2	71%	115
Keep the current rule	27%	35	43%	12	33%	1	29%	48
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	132	100%	28	100%	3	100%	163

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
Allow either to challenge	46%	41	40%	10	17%	1	48%	13	46%	400
Keep the current rule	54%	48	60%	15	83%	5	52%	14	54%	467
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	89	100%	25	100%	6	100%	27	100%	867

3. Currently, only the second referee can review a challenge. Would you be in favor of the option to use a replay official (R3) to decide the outcome of a challenge instead of the second referee. The R3 would be permissible, not required.

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
Allow the option of R3	90%	120	80%	4	100%	3	89%	127
Keep the current	10%	14	20%	1	0%	0	11%	15
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	134	100%	5	100%	3	100%	142

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
Allow the option of R3	52%	46	60%	15	33%	2	93%	25	85%	738
Keep the current rule	48%	43	40%	10	67%	4	7%	2	15%	129
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	89	100%	25	100%	6	100%	27	100%	867

4. Current rules do not allow challenges about a player touching the ball or not if the resulting decision is back-row block, back-row attack, or illegally reaching over. Would you be in favor of allowing **any** play to be challenged if the referee's decision depended on whether or not a particular player touched the ball?

HEAD COACH	DI HC		DII HC		DIII HC		Overall	
Yes	93%	124	93%	26	67%	2	92%	152
No	7%	10	7%	2	33%	1	8%	13
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	134	100%	28	100%	3	100%	165

OTHERS	DI SWA		DII SWA		DIII SWA		COORDINATOR		OFFICIAL	
Yes	66%	59	60%	15	17%	1	67%	18	60%	522
No	34%	30	40%	10	83%	5	33%	9	40%	344
<b>TOTAL</b>	100%	89	100%	25	100%	6	100%	27	100%	866