



LACROSSE

2024 Women's Lacrosse Rules Results

Methods

- This was a survey of athletic directors, conference commissioners, head coaches, senior woman administrators and officials aimed at obtaining their feedback on new rules changes and support for potential new rules topics/areas of emphasis.
- The survey was designed to take no more than 5-10 minutes and was administered online through the QuestionPro survey software.
- The survey was open from May 30 to June 9, 2024.
- The survey was distributed via email to almost 900 coaches and officials. The link to the survey was posted to an online survey dashboard designed for athletics directors, conference commissioners and senior woman administrators to provide rules feedback during the 2023-24 academic year. A notice about the use of the survey dashboard was emailed to all athletics directors and conference commissioners in fall 2023 and again in late January 2024. The survey availability was highlighted in the NCAA Weekly and follow-up emails were sent to coaches and officials. Of the 2,000 possible participants, there were 270 completed surveys (14 percent response rate).

SURVEY RESPONSE TABLE

Title	Responses	Possible	Response Rate
DI Head Coach	44	129	34%
DII Head Coach	22	112	20%
DIII Head Coach	78	288	27%
Total Coaches	144	529	27%
DI Director of Athletics	0	129	0%
DII Director of Athletics	1	112	<1%
DIII Director of Athletics	1	288	<1%
Total Ads	2	529	< 1%
DI Senior Woman Administrator	1	129	<1%
DII Senior Woman Administrator	1	112	<1%
DIII Senior Woman Administrator	1	288	<1%
Total SWAs	3	529	< 1%
DI Conference Commissioner	0	15	0%
DII Conference Commissioner	1	13	<1%
DIII Conference Commissioner	0	34	0%
Total Commissioners	1	62	< 1%
Officials	120	351	34%
Total Officials	120	351	34%
TOTAL	270	2000	14%

POINTS OF EMPHASIS

1. Do you think the administration of the draw was better officiated in 2024?

- A. Yes, definitely.
- B. The administration of the draw is better, but it is still a significant problem in our game.
- C. The administration of the draw is better, but it still takes too long to administer the draw.
- D. No. This is an area that needs to be addressed by a change in the rules.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
A	72	58%	0	0%	1	100%	2	67%	69	58%
B	23	19%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	23	19%
C	23	19%	1	50%	0	0%	1	33%	21	18%
D	6	5%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	5	4%
Total	124		2		1		3		118	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
A	70	49%	16	36%	13	59%	41	53%
B	38	26%	16	36%	3	14%	19	24%
C	29	20%	10	23%	4	18%	15	19%
D	7	5%	2	5%	2	9%	3	4%
Total	144		44		22		78	

2. Were illegal picks adequately emphasized and called?

- A. Yes, definitely.
- B. Yes, but illegal picks are still a problem.
- C. No, the issues with illegal picks remained the same.
- D. No, the issues with illegal picks increased during the 2024 season.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
A	53	42%	0	0%	1	100%	1	33%	51	43%
B	64	51%	2	100%	0	0%	2	67%	60	50%
C	8	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	7%
D	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	125		2		1		3		119	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
A	61	43%	16	37%	10	45%	35	45%
B	31	22%	11	26%	4	18%	16	21%
C	44	31%	14	33%	7	32%	23	30%
D	6	4%	2	5%	1	5%	3	4%
Total	142		43		22		77	

3. Was three seconds adequately emphasized and called?

A. Yes, definitely.

B. Yes, but three seconds is still a problem.

C. No, the issues with three seconds remained the same.

D. No, the issues with three seconds increased during the 2024 season.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
A	81	65%	0	0%	1	100%	2	67%	78	66%
B	23	18%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	22	18%
C	13	10%	0	0%	0	0%	1	33%	12	10%
D	8	6%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	7	6%
Total	125		2		1		3		119	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
A	69	49%	18	42%	16	76%	35	46%
B	23	16%	9	21%	0	0%	14	18%
C	35	25%	12	28%	4	19%	19	25%
D	13	9%	4	9%	1	5%	8	11%
Total	140		43		21		76	

4. Did the number of physical fouls in the midfield decrease during the 2024 season?

- A. Yes, definitely.
- B. Yes, but physical fouls in the midfield are still a problem.
- C. No, the number of physical fouls remained the same.
- D. No, the number of physical fouls increased during the 2024 season.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
A	90	72%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	89	75%
B	25	20%	1	50%	0	0%	1	33%	23	19%
C	6	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	5%
D	4	3%	1	50%	0	0%	2	67%	1	1%
Total	125		2		1		3		119	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
A	76	53%	33	77%	9	41%	34	44%
B	16	11%	3	7%	3	14%	10	13%
C	39	27%	5	12%	8	36%	26	33%
D	12	8%	2	5%	2	9%	8	10%
Total	143		43		22		78	

VIDEO REPLAY

1. Did your institution or conference participate in video review in women’s lacrosse this season?

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs	
Yes	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No	6	100%	2	100%	1	100%	3	100%
Total	6		2		1		3	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Yes	10	7%	9	21%	0	0%	1	1%
No	128	93%	33	79%	22	100%	73	99%
Total	138		42		22		74	

2. Overall, what did you think of the use of video review in women's lacrosse this season?

A. It benefited the game.

B. It had an adverse impact on the game.

C. It had no major impact on the game.

D. I think we should pass it as permissive rule (i.e., permitted, but schools are not required to participate).

E. I think we should keep it as an experimental rule.

F. I think we should eliminate it as an experimental rule.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
A	35	28%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	35	29%
B	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%
C	17	14%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	14%
D	41	33%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	40	33%
E	16	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	16	13%
F	11	9%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	10	8%
Total	123		0		1		1		120	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
A	28	25%	15	36%	3	18%	10	19%
B	2	2%	0	0%	2	12%	0	0%
C	12	11%	4	10%	3	18%	5	9%
D	37	33%	16	38%	4	24%	17	32%
E	19	17%	4	10%	4	24%	11	21%
F	14	13%	3	7%	1	6%	10	19%
Total	112		42		17		53	

3. Are two video requests per team per game, regardless of outcome, sufficient?

A. Yes

B. No, I think each team should have three requests per team per game.

C.No, I think each team should only have one video request per game.

D. No, I think each team should be permitted one additional request during overtime.

E. I think video requests should be treated the same as stick checks (i.e., if ruling is overturned, you maintain that request).

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
A	72	64%	1	50%	1	100%	0	0%	70	65%
B	2	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
C	3	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%
D	6	20%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	5	5%
E	30	27%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	28	26%
Total	113		2		1		2		108	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
A	47	44%	20	50%	7	41%	20	39%
B	6	6%	4	10%	0	0%	2	4%
C	6	6%	3	8%	0	0%	3	6%
D	10	9%	3	8%	1	6%	6	12%
E	39	36%	10	25%	9	53%	20	39%
Total	108		40		17		51	

4. Do you think video review unreasonably lengthened the duration of games?

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Yes	32	29%	1	50%	1	100%	1	50%	29	27%
No	79	71%	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	77	73%
Total	111		2		1		2		106	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Yes	25	23%	7	18%	4	24%	14	27%
No	84	77%	33	83%	13	76%	38	73%
Total	109		40		17		52	

5. Would you like to see the number of team timeouts reduced for games where video review is permitted?

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Yes	89	79%	1	50%	1	100%	1	50%	86	80%
No	24	21%	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	22	20%
Total	113		2		1		2		108	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Yes	27	23%	7	17%	4	24%	16	28%
No	89	77%	34	83%	13	76%	42	72%
Total	116		41		17		58	

Please indicate whether you think the following areas permitted for video review during the 2024 season should be kept, eliminated, or tweaked.

1. Correct the game and/or possession clocks when there is a malfunction or timing error at the discretion of the head official.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Keep	100	90%	2	100%	1	100%	2	100%	95	90%
Eliminate	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Keep, permit request	9	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9	8%
Total	111		2		1		2		106	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Keep	89	77%	33	80%	13	72%	43	75%
Eliminate	4	3%	1	2%	0	0%	3	5%
Keep, permit request	23	20%	7	17%	5	28%	11	19%
Total	116		41		18		57	

2. Determine whether the ball came off the body of a player of the attacking team at the discretion of the head official.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Keep	78	72%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	76	73%
Eliminate	16	15%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	15	14%
Keep, permit request	14	13%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	13	13%
Total	108		2		1		1		104	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Keep	68	60%	24	60%	11	61%	33	60%
Eliminate	16	14%	6	15%	1	6%	9	16%
Keep, permit request	29	26%	10	25%	6	33%	13	24%
Total	113		40		18		55	

3. Identify or confirm the number of offenders of a cardable foul at the discretion of the head official.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Keep	93	85%	2	100%	0	0%	1	100%	90	86%
Eliminate	6	6%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	5	5%
Keep, permit request	10	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	10%
Total	109		2		1		1		105	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Keep	74	66%	25	63%	8	47%	41	75%
Eliminate	12	11%	4	10%	3	18%	5	9%
Keep, permit request	26	23%	11	28%	6	35%	9	16%
Total	112		40		17		55	

4. Determine flopping and embellishment fouls at the discretion of the head official.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Keep	63	58%	2	100%	0	0%	1	100%	60	57%
Eliminate	41	38%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	40	38%
Keep, permit request	5	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	5%
Total	109		2		1		1		105	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Keep	72	63%	23	56%	9	53%	40	71%
Eliminate	15	13%	7	17%	2	12%	6	11%
Keep, permit request	27	24%	11	27%	6	35%	10	18%
Total	114		41		17		56	

5. Identify, confirm, or overturn cardable fouls on any video review permitted involving the goal circle at the discretion of the head official.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Keep	89	81%	2	100%	0	0%	1	50%	86	82%
Eliminate	9	8%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	8	8%
Keep, permit request	12	11%	0	0%		0%	1	50%	11	10%
Total	110		2		1		2		105	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Keep	70	62%	22	55%	7	41%	41	73%
Eliminate	9	8%	2	5%	2	12%	5	9%
Keep, permit request	34	30%	16	40%	8	47%	10	18%
Total	113		40		17		56	

6. Determine when the release of a shot at the end of a possession, quarter, or overtime period occurred in relation to the expiration of time for the game and/or possession clocks at the discretion of the head official.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Keep	61	54%	0	0%	1	100%	0	0%	60	56%
Eliminate	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Keep, permit request	10	9%	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	8	7%
Keep, no limit	39	35%	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%	37	35%
Total	112		2		1		2		107	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Keep	54	47%	15	38%	10	56%	29	50%
Eliminate	3	3%	1	3%	0	0%	2	3%
Keep, permit request	9	8%	4	10%	1	6%	4	7%
Keep, no limit	50	43%	20	50%	7	39%	23	40%
Total	116		40		18		58	

7. Determine whether the whole ball entered the front of the goal by passing over the goal line, between the posts, and underneath the crossbar at the discretion of the head official, or request of a coach or any player on the field of play at the time of the shot.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Keep	106	95%	2	100%	1	100%	0	0%	103	96%
Eliminate	5	5%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	4	4%
Total	111		2		1		1		107	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Keep	105	91%	38	95%	14	82%	53	90%
Eliminate	11	9%	2	5%	3	18%	6	10%
Total	116		40		17		59	

8. Determine whether the attacking team shooting player stepped on or into the goal circle, or any other attacking team player entered the goal circle, and if so, if the act of stepping onto or into the goal circle was the result of a foul at the discretion of the head official, or request of a coach or any player on the field of play at the time of the shot and only in response to a goal or no goal signal.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Keep	80	72%	2	100%	0	0%	0	0%	78	74%
Keep, no limit	31	28%	0	0%	1	100%	2	100%	28	26%
Total	111		2		1		2		106	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Keep	72	62%	24	60%	9	53%	39	66%
Keep, no limit	44	38%	16	40%	8	47%	20	34%
Total	116		40		17		59	

9. Which of the following additional areas should be reviewable? (Select all that apply)

- A. Any foul in the final 2 minutes of a quarter.
- B. All fouls after the shot when a goal is scored.
- C. Draws.
- D. Whether there was possession at the horn by a team with a power play.
- E. I would like to see head coaches have more areas where they can request video review.
- F. I am not in favor of making any additional areas reviewable.
- G. I am not in favor of video review in women's lacrosse.
- H. Other

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
A.	8	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	7%
B.	34	23%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	33	28%
C.	2	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
D.	28	19%	1	50%	0	0%	0	0%	27	23%
E.	8	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	7%
F.	47	32%	1	50%	1	100%	2	67%	43	36%
G.	13	9%	1	50%	0	0%	1	33%	11	9%
H.	6	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	5%
Total	146		2		1		3		120	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
A.	18	10%	5	11%	1	5%	12	15%
B.	35	19%	12	27%	4	18%	19	24%
C.	23	12%	8	18%	2	9%	13	17%
D.	37	20%	13	30%	5	23%	19	24%
E.	24	13%	10	23%	0	0%	14	18%
F.	31	17%	10	23%	7	32%	14	18%
G.	12	6%	2	5%	3	14%	7	9%
H.	7	4%	3	7%	0	0%	4	5%
Total	187		44		22		78	

10. Do you think that 'fouls involving the goal circle' needs to be better defined to eliminate it being requested when a goal circle foul was not plausible (e.g. to identify a cardable foul in a play but not near the goal circle)?

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Yes	49	47%	2	100%	0	0%	1	50%	46	46%
No	56	53%	0	0%	1	100%	1	50%	54	54%
Total	105		2		1		2		100	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Yes	51	45%	19	50%	3	19%	29	49%
No	62	55%	19	50%	13	81%	30	51%
Total	113		38		16		59	

2024 RULES CHANGES

Please indicate the impact the following rules changes implemented during the 2024 season had on the women’s lacrosse game.

1. Rule 2-13 modified the title of the section, changed the language in the rule from “illegal equipment” to “improper/broken equipment,” eliminated the requirement for reinspection of the improper/broken equipment, and clarified that bent/broken shafts are prohibited from being used for the remainder of the game.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	66	62%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	66	63%
Negative	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No Impact	40	38%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	38	37%
Total	106		0		0		2		104	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	50	40%	17	44%	8	40%	25	38%
Negative	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No Impact	74	60%	22	56%	12	60%	40	62%
Total	124		39		20		65	

2. Rule 2-19 moved footwear/cleats from being Uniforms to Equipment and permitted players to wear shoes with soles containing aluminum, leather, rubber, nylon or plastic cleats, studs or bars, whether molded as part of the sole or detachable, if officials did not consider them dangerous.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	47	44%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	47	45%
Negative	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
No Impact	58	55%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	56	54%
Total	106		0		0		2		104	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	35	28%	9	23%	5	26%	21	32%
Negative	3	2%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%
No Impact	85	69%	27	69%	14	74%	44	68%
Total	123		39		19		65	

3. Rule 2-20 permitted the use of the ND 200 compression shirt (which is certified to the NOCSAE commotio cordis protective device standard).

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	46	48%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	46	49%
Negative	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
No Impact	48	51%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	46	49%
Total	95		0		0		2		93	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	48	39%	13	33%	8	42%	27	42%
Negative	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No Impact	75	61%	26	67%	11	58%	38	58%
Total	123		39		19		65	

4. Rule 4-5 provided guidance for media timeouts.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	54	52%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	54	53%
Negative	5	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	5%
No Impact	44	43%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	42	42%
Total	103		0		0		2		101	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	55	46%	20	51%	7	39%	28	44%
Negative	3	3%	1	3%	1	6%	1	2%
No Impact	62	52%	18	46%	10	56%	34	54%
Total	120		39		18		63	

5. Rule 5-4 required officials to position the drawers' sticks in an upright position when placing the ball in the drawers' sticks during the administration of a draw.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	86	81%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	85	82%
Negative	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	3	3%
No Impact	17	16%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	16	15%
Total	106		0		0		2		104	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	88	73%	29	76%	13	68%	46	72%
Negative	4	3%	2	5%	1	5%	1	2%
No Impact	29	24%	7	18%	5	26%	17	27%
Total	121		38		19		64	

6. Rule 5-23 permitted a team with a numerical advantage due to penalties and possession of the ball (i.e., power play) at the expiration of a quarter, overtime half, or overtime period to retain possession at the start of the subsequent quarter, overtime half, or overtime period.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	95	90%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	93	89%
Negative	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%
No Impact	7	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	7%
Total	106		0		0		2		104	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	116	94%	37	95%	18	90%	61	94%
Negative	4	3%	1	3%	1	5%	2	3%
No Impact	4	3%	1	3%	1	5%	2	3%
Total	124		39		20		65	

7. Rule 6-3 increased the penalty between the restraining lines (and during the administration of the draw only after possession of the ball had been determined) for blocking/illegal pick, charging, cross checks, illegal use of the stick, and pushing to a one-minute releasable penalty.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	79	75%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	78	76%
Negative	24	23%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	23	22%
No Impact	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Total	105		0		0		2		103	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	41	33%	12	31%	5	25%	24	37%
Negative	81	65%	27	69%	13	65%	41	63%
No Impact	2	2%	0	0%	2	10%	0	0%
Total	124		39		20		65	

8. Rule 6.5b defined stick length as a stick and arm's length.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	61	58%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	59	57%
Negative	37	35%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37	36%
No Impact	7	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	7%
Total	105		0		0		2		103	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	89	72%	28	72%	13	65%	48	74%
Negative	14	11%	5	13%	1	5%	8	12%
No Impact	21	17%	6	15%	6	30%	9	14%
Total	124		39		20		65	

9. Rule 6-7.n eliminated the delay-of-game for the foul titled, multiple fouls.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	63	61%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	63	61%
Negative	10	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	10%
No Impact	31	30%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	30	29%
Total	104		0		0		1		103	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	59	48%	21	55%	7	35%	31	48%
Negative	15	12%	3	8%	3	15%	9	14%
No Impact	49	40%	14	37%	10	50%	25	38%
Total	123		38		20		65	

Please indicate the impact the following rules changes implemented during the 2024 season had on the women's lacrosse game.

1. Rule 6-9.d added a new delay-of-game foul for a delay in exiting the field, entering the penalty area, or remaining in the penalty area after being issued a card.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	60	57%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	58	56%
Negative	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
No Impact	43	41%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	43	42%
Total	105		0		0		2		103	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	65	53%	24	62%	10	53%	31	48%
Negative	4	3%	1	3%	0	0%	3	5%
No Impact	53	43%	14	36%	9	47%	30	47%
Total	122		39		19		64	

2. Rule 6-14 increased the penalty for an offside foul by a team in their defensive end (not in possession of the ball) to a one-minute releasable penalty.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	90	86%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	89	86%
Negative	13	12%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	12	12%
No Impact	2	2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%
Total	105		0		0		2		103	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	85	69%	32	82%	13	65%	40	62%
Negative	37	30%	7	18%	6	30%	24	37%
No Impact	2	2%	0	0%	1	5%	1	2%
Total	124		39		20		65	

3. Rule 6-26.a recategorized the action of making a sweeping check from behind that contacts an opponent's body as a mandatory card foul.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	90	86%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	88	85%
Negative	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
No Impact	14	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	14	14%
Total	105		0		0		2		103	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	90	73%	28	72%	16	80%	46	71%
Negative	18	15%	8	21%	1	5%	9	14%
No Impact	16	13%	3	8%	3	15%	10	15%
Total	124		39		20		65	

4. Rule 6-26.d recategorized the foul "dangerous stick in the sphere" as a mandatory card foul.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	66	63%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	64	63%
Negative	8	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	8%
No Impact	30	29%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	30	29%
Total	104		0		0		2		102	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	79	64%	26	67%	11	55%	42	65%
Negative	21	17%	9	23%	4	20%	8	12%
No Impact	24	19%	4	10%	5	25%	15	23%
Total	124		39		20		65	

5. Rule 6-26.f recategorized the action of deliberate tripping as a misconduct foul.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	61	59%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	59	58%
Negative	5	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	5%
No Impact	37	36%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37	37%
Total	103		0		0		2		101	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	73	59%	21	54%	12	60%	40	63%
Negative	9	7%	3	8%	2	10%	4	6%
No Impact	41	33%	15	38%	6	30%	20	31%
Total	123		39		20		64	

6. Rules 6-27, 6-33, 6-38 increased the penalty time for a red card from two minutes to five minutes.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	83	82%	0	0%	0	0%	1	100%	82	82%
Negative	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
No Impact	17	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	17%
Total	101		0		0		1		100	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	64	53%	17	45%	12	67%	35	54%
Negative	17	14%	8	21%	1	6%	8	12%
No Impact	40	33%	13	34%	5	28%	22	34%
Total	121		38		18		65	

7. Rule 6-44 changed the current foul simultaneous whistle to permit all simultaneous whistles for shooting space to be played out, regardless of whether the goalkeeper saves or possesses the ball, unless the foul was a cardable foul or the foul was on the shooter and affected the shot. Additionally, Rule 6-44 delineated that if a whistle was blown for a defensive foul simultaneous with a shot and the shot didn't result in a goal, the penalty would not be administered unless the foul was a cardable foul or the foul was on the shooter and affected the shot.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	90	87%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	88	86%
Negative	10	10%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	10	10%
No Impact	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%
Total	104		0		0		2		102	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	87	77%	32	82%	15	83%	40	71%
Negative	23	20%	7	18%	3	17%	13	23%
No Impact	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%	3	5%
Total	113		39		18		56	

8. Rule 6-56 required that all feet, including the shooter's feet and adjacent defenders' feet, be behind the 8-meter arc line during a free position on an 8-meter or hanging hash mark in the critical scoring area and above goal line extended.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	85	83%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	83	82%
Negative	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%
No Impact	17	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	17	17%
Total	103		0		0		2		101	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	80	66%	29	74%	11	61%	40	63%
Negative	6	5%	2	5%	0	0%	4	6%
No Impact	35	29%	8	21%	7	39%	20	31%
Total	121		39		18		64	

9. A change in penalty administration this year permitted any player on the non-offending team who is on the field of play at the time of the foul to take their team's awarded free position as long as the free position was not a free position awarded on an 8-meter or hanging hashmark in the non-offending team's offensive end.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	95	92%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	94	93%
Negative	3	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	2	2%
No Impact	5	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	5	5%
Total	103		0		0		2		101	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	105	86%	34	87%	12	67%	59	91%
Negative	3	2%	1	3%	2	11%	0	0%
No Impact	14	11%	4	10%	4	22%	6	9%
Total	122		39		18		65	

10. Rule 5-23 permitted a team with a numerical advantage due to penalties and possession of the ball (i.e., power play) at the expiration of a quarter, overtime half, or overtime period to retain possession at the start of the subsequent quarter, overtime half, or overtime period

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	93	89%	0	0%	0	0%	2	100%	91	88%
Negative	4	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4	4%
No Impact	8	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	8	8%
Total	105		0		0		2		103	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	117	97%	36	95%	19	100%	62	97%
Negative	2	2%	1	3%	0	0%	1	2%
No Impact	2	2%	1	3%	0	0%	1	2%
Total	121		38		19		64	

11. Rule 6-3.f made attempting to hook the bottom end of an opponent's stick using the webbed area of one's stick a foul.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	92	87%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	92	88%
Negative	7	7%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	6	6%
No Impact	7	7%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	6	6%
Total	106		0		0		2		104	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	69	58%	21	55%	11	58%	37	59%
Negative	18	15%	6	16%	3	16%	9	14%
No Impact	33	28%	11	29%	5	26%	17	27%
Total	120		38		19		63	

12. Rules 6-11 and 6-12 added the new fouls embellishment and flopping. The new fouls result in one-minute releasable penalties.

ANSWER	OVERALL		ADs		COMMISSIONER		SWAs		OFFICIALS	
Positive	42	40%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	41	39%
Negative	12	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	12	12%
No Impact	52	49%	0	0%	0	0%	1	50%	51	49%
Total	106		0		0		2		104	

ANSWER	HEAD COACHES		DIVISION I		DIVISION II		DIVISION III	
Positive	47	39%	12	32%	5	26%	30	47%
Negative	10	8%	3	8%	0	0%	7	11%
No Impact	64	53%	23	61%	14	74%	27	42%
Total	121		38		19		64	