



**REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION II MEN'S GOLF COMMITTEE
JULY 21-22, 2025, MEETING**

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative items.

- None.

2. Nonlegislative items.

a. 2027 and 2028 regional site recommendations.

- (1) Recommendation. Approve the following regional sites for the 2027 and 2028 Division II Men's Golf Championships.

Year	Region	Host/Venue
2027	South/Southeast	Saint Leo University/Lake Jovita Golf and Country Club
2027	Central/Midwest	Grand Valley State University/The Meadows Course
2028	South/Southeast	University of North Georgia/Chattahoochee Golf Club
2028	South Central/West	Western New Mexico University/University Golf Course (NMSU)
2028	Central/Midwest	Missouri Western University and the St. Joseph Missouri Sports Commission/St. Joseph Country Club

- (2) Effective date. Immediate.

- (3) Rationale. The Division II Men's Golf Committee has been accepting regional bids for 2027 and 2028 since March 2025. The committee received only 1-2 bids per regional awarded but was confident enough in the quality of those bids to make valid recommendations. Except for Saint Leo, the proposed sites have hosted a Division II men's golf regional or national championship in recent years and the feedback about those hosts/courses has been outstanding. Regarding Saint Leo's bid, the committee felt confident that the course and the host will provide an amazing experience for the student-athletes and coaches involved from previously hosted regular-season events. The committee extended the bid deadline to February 2026 for the 2027 East/Atlantic Regional, the 2027 South Central/West Regional, and the 2028 East/Atlantic Regional due to not receiving any bids. The committee will alert the coaches/hosts in these regions of the availability to bid via email, newsletter, coaches connection calls, and at the coaches association convention in December in hopes to have quality bids to review in February.

- (4) Estimated budget impact. The projected budget expenditures are as follows:

Regional	Projected Budget Expenditures
2027 South/Southeast	\$46,680
2027 Central/Midwest	\$41,800
2028 South/Southeast	\$38,700
2028 South Central/West	\$43,750
2028 Central/Midwest	\$47,100

(For reference, budget expenditures for regionals have usually been between \$39,000 and \$52,000 depending on the regional locations.)

- (5) Student-athlete impact. The venues and host institutions selected will provide quality student-athlete experiences during the championships.

b. Substitutions at conference championships.

- (1) Recommendation. Approve a policy to clarify that conference championships are required to use the same substitution policy in place for both NCAA regionals and finals. [Attachment]
- (2) Effective date. Immediate.
- (3) Rationale. Aligning conference championships with the substitution procedures used at regionals and finals creates consistency across all levels of postseason competition. The change reduces confusion for coaches and student-athletes by standardizing the process and ensures competitive equity by applying the same roster and scoring rules in all postseason formats. This approach also uses substitutions in its true definition, allowing the sixth player or substitute to participate in the practice round and use practice facilities, but not otherwise as a competing student-athlete until they are subbed in.
- (4) Estimated budget impact. None.
- (5) Student-athlete impact. The proposal provides clarity and fairness for student-athletes competing in postseason play to qualify for regionals and the finals. It eliminates potential competitive disadvantages for teams with more than six players on the roster and supports a consistent competitive environment and expectations across postseason play.

c. Region access to the regionals.

- (1) Recommendation. Approve a policy stating that if a region has fewer than 16 institutions, the access of that region to their regional is based on the proportionality

to the total teams eligible to make the regional.

(2) Effective date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. Entering the 2025-26 season, the East Region will have 15 institutions sponsoring men's golf while the Atlantic Region will have 27 institutions that do so. Under the current policy, both regions would have 10 teams qualifying for the East/Atlantic Regional, which gives the East Region teams an advantage to make the regional. The committee believes the best way to correct this advantage is to base access for a region with fewer than 16 members on the proportionality of teams in the region to the total teams eligible to make the regional.

If this recommendation is approved, for the 2025-26 academic year, the East Region would have seven teams (36 percent of the field) and the Atlantic Region would have 13 teams (64 percent of the field). The other three regionals have more equitable sponsorship amongst the two regions and would not be impacted by this policy.

(4) Estimated budget impact. None.

(5) Student-athlete impact. The proposal provides more equitable access to the regional for student-athletes. The proposal helps to provide a buffer to make sure when the number of programs in a region falls below a certain threshold that there is not an unequal proportionality in access to the regional.

d. Primary selection criteria clarification.

(1) Recommendation. Update the verbiage of the primary criteria for selection of participants.

<u>Current Verbiage</u>	<u>Updated Verbiage</u>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Regional head-to-head competition; - Results versus common opponents; - Scoring average; and - Scoreboard by Clippd indexes. <p>Additionally, the men's golf committee will contact the regional advisory committees if its final selections differ from the regional advisory committee rankings. The men's golf committee does not use coaches polls and/or any other outside polls or rankings for selection purposes.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Head-to-head competition; - Results versus common opponents; - Results vs. in-region opponents; - Scoring average; and - Strength of schedule <p>Additionally, the men's golf committee will contact the regional advisory committees if its final selections differ from the regional advisory committee rankings. The men's golf committee does not use coaches polls and/or any other outside polls or rankings for selection purposes.</p>

For junior varsity contests, the respective school must inform the host institution that its junior varsity ("B" Team) will participate and that its team score should not appear on the tournament results form.	For junior varsity contests, the respective school must inform the host institution that its junior varsity ("B" Team) will participate and that its team score should not appear on the tournament results form.
---	---

(2) Effective date. Immediate.

(3) Rationale. The committee felt there was major confusion throughout the season regarding what the selection criteria were based on how they are written. In particular, the committee felt that including "Scoreboard by Clippd indexes" seemed like a catch-all that made coaches believe criteria such as Clippd rankings or point totals were being factored into regional advisory committee rankings or the national committee's selections. The committee believes clarifying the language will help coaches understand exactly what the committees are looking at when they use Clippd. This language also aligns with the Division II Women's Golf selection criteria, where the same criteria is used..

(4) Estimated budget impacts. None.

(5) Student-athlete impact. The proposal provides more clarity into how rankings and selections are being determined, making it easier for student-athletes to understand what they need to accomplish to be ranked or be selected for regionals.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

- Review agenda and annual meeting schedule.** The committee reviewed the 2025 annual meeting schedule and agenda.
- Approval of 2024 meeting report.** The committee reviewed and approved the 2024 committee meeting report as presented.
- National and regional advisory committees.** The committee reviewed the regional advisory committee rosters and noted anticipated changes for the upcoming academic year.
- Sports sponsorship.** The committee reviewed the current sponsorship list and noted adjustments in sponsorship and conference membership in Division II men's golf.
- 2027 and 2028 regional bid review.** The committee reviewed bids received and recommended the hosts/sites noted in Action Item 2-a.
- Review of 2025 championships.** The committee reviewed the 2025 championships and noted

the following:

- a. **Regionals.** The committee reviewed survey responses and noted the experience of each of the regionals. The committee talked at length about possibly adjusting tee times for the 2026 regionals and will continue considering throughout the year whether an adjustment is necessary. The committee also discussed the success of student-athlete electronic scoring in the Central/Midwest Regional and agreed that all regionals starting in 2026 will use student-athlete live scoring. Overall, the committee was satisfied with how the regionals were conducted but will continue to discuss with rules officials, host tournament directors, and NCAA staff to make sure regionals continue to be a positive experience for all those involved.
- b. **Finals.** The committee expressed its gratitude to Palm Beach Atlantic University, the Palm Beach County Sports Commission, and the PGA National Resort for all their hard work putting on a successful championship. The committee reviewed comments from participants, hosts and officials, along with their own respective debriefs of the championship. The committee will consider celebrating all teams that qualify for the match play portion of the championship instead of only the top four as is currently done. The committee also noted the need for registration to take place at the host hotel on the night before the practice round to make the check-in easier for coaches. Lastly, the committee would like the pairings party revert to being shown on a screen via a computer connection opposed to the boards that were used in the last couple of years. Overall, the committee was extremely satisfied in how the championship was conducted
- c. **Administrative meetings.** The committee is pleased with holding an administrative meeting a week before the start of the championship and then another 30-minute meeting right before the practice round. The committee felt that the administrative meeting continues to be beneficial a week before the championship but that another short meeting is necessary to remind coaches of key aspects of the championship. The committee will look to open the meeting prior to the practice round to coaches and student-athletes as with the recommendation to eliminate the banquet, the committee feels the presentation of the Elite Student-Athlete Award is best right before the practice round.
- d. **Championship Coverage.** The committee was once again pleased with the coverage of the event on social media this year. The committee is still interested in increasing social media coverage of the event and will continue to commit dollars to having multiple tournament staffers covering social media throughout the championship. The committee members agreed that they prefer to have social media coverage be the focal coverage of the event as opposed to allocating dollars toward livestreaming of the event.
- e. **Misconduct.** The committee discussed an incident of misconduct during the championships.

7. 2026 championships action plan.

- a. **Championship experience.** The committee discussed additional ways to enhance the experience both at the regionals and finals. The committee will continue discussing with NCAA staff about how the experience at all four regionals can continue to be elevated. At

the finals site, the committee agreed to eliminate the banquet, as it is no longer something the student-athletes and coaches desire. The committee will look to reallocate those funds to additional on-course signage, extra photographers, additional social media staff, and other areas that more positively impact the student-athlete experience.

b. Committee responsibilities. The committee reviewed and approved its responsibilities document for regionals and the finals. While responsibilities may change once the committee is on site, the documents provides a good basepoint for the committee members to understand their role.

c. Practice round golf carts. The committee discussed the current policy not allowing student-athletes to use golf carts during the practice round at regionals and the national championship. The committee believes that with the amount of golf that is played during regionals and the national championship the use of golf carts during the practice round will benefit the health of student-athletes while not impacting the spirit of the championship. Accordingly, starting with the 2026 championship, weather permitting, student-athletes will be allowed to use golf carts during practice rounds at regionals and the national championship as long as the host has enough carts for each team qualifier to have four carts and each individual qualifier to have one cart.

d. Regional allocations for the 2026 championship. The number of regional berths to the 2026 championship will be as follows: South/Southeast – 7; Atlantic/East – 3; Midwest/Central – 4; and South Central/West – 6.

8. Finals bid specs. The committee reviewed and updated the bid specifications for the 2028-2031 championship cycles.

9. Policy review.

a. Substitutions at conference championships. The committee agreed that conference championships should be following the exact policy as is administered at NCAA regionals and the national championship. That policy states that substitutes may practice with the team, use the practice facility and act as a spectator during play. Substitutions may occur up to 10 minutes before rounds. The committee wanted to provide clarification that this policy does not allow the substitute to play the course during the championship until they are substituted into play for that round. (See Action Item 2-b.)

b. Regional access to the regional. The committee revisited its discussion regarding how access to a regional is based and agreed to recommend a new policy for regions with fewer than 16 teams. (See Action Item 2-c.)

c. Selection criteria. The committee talked at length about providing more clarity regarding the selection criteria for rankings and regional selections and agreed to recommend the new language specified in Action Item 2-d.

d. Tournament schedule submission deadline. The committee noted the beginning of the

fall season as the registration deadline and February 1 as the tournament submission deadline.

- e. **Regional allocations to the finals.** With the sunseting of the Division II National Championships Festivals, the committee will consider possibly adjusting this policy in the future. The committee will analyze which teams have advanced to the match play portion of the championship over recent years to determine whether this regional allocation policy should be modified.
- f. **Spectator golf carts.** The committee will continue discussing spectator cart access at regionals and the national championship. The committee recognizes the current policy across all six NCAA golf championships but would prefer offering carts to spectators based on the host's availability of golf carts. The committee will discuss with NCAA staff whether this policy can be adjusted.
- g. **Student-athletes as advice givers.** The committee notes this policy should remain in place. Student-athletes may not serve as advice-givers.
- h. **Substitutions at regionals and finals.** The committee agreed the substitution policy at regionals and the national championships shall remain the same with the adjustment to the policy once match play begins at the finals. Starting with match play at the finals, no substitutions are permitted except in the event of extenuating circumstances as evaluated and approved by the onsite medical staff and the committee. During match play, if a substitution is permitted, the substitution must still occur within 10 minutes' notice of the corresponding substitution tee time.
- i. **Tournament cancellation or weather shortening policy.** The committee noted multiple occurrences this year in which tournaments were canceled or shortened due to weather or other factors. The committee felt there were times when these tournaments were canceled only because some teams needed to travel home. The committee discussed having Clippd explore possibly making a round count as a no contest when a team cannot finish a tournament because of weather or travel. This way, teams are not penalized with a shortened tournament if other teams must leave because of pre-planned travel. The committee also talked about having two rounds count even if the third round is canceled because of weather. Lastly, the committee talked through individuals being allowed one no-contest a year if they become ill or are injured during a tournament rather than charging those players with losses to everyone in the field. The committee will continue considering this idea in the coming months.
- j. **Regional/finals site course access policy (10 days).** The committee agreed to retain the current course access policy that was refined this past April with two minor adjustments. The host institution of regionals or the finals will now be automatically exempt from the policy (as opposed to requiring the host institution to ask for the exemption). Any other institution wishing to be exempt from this policy must submit a written letter from the athletics director demonstrating that the institution will incur an excessive financial burden if it is not allowed to use the course or if there are extenuating circumstances to warrant use

of the course.

- k. **Medal match play vs. match play.** The committee agreed that medal match play will remain the policy going forward at the national championship. However, the committee will survey the membership this fall to determine if this policy should be adjusted in the future.
- l. **Order of tee times.** The committee will continue discussing the order of tee times throughout the fall and early spring and may consider updating the order of tee times starting this spring.
- m. **Electronic scoring at regionals and finals.** The committee agreed that live scoring from Clippd will be used at regionals and the national championship. The committee agreed that allowing student-athletes to use their phones as the official scorecard is standard, as it is done throughout the season. At both regionals and national championships, student-athletes will update their scores electronically as the official scorecard, with the physical scorecard serving as the backup. Scorecard verification will still occur at the end of each round. The committee noted hosts should provide electronic scoring rover volunteers who can assist with inputting live scores in the event of any onsite issues.
- n. **Tiebreaking procedures.** The committee reviewed and agreed to retain the current tiebreaking procedures. The committee will review some of the adjustments that the women's committee recommended and may make those adjustments in the future. The committee noted that language needs to be added to the manual clarifying the procedure to determine the playing position during the national championship match. While the procedure was discussed previously it was mistakenly not added to the manual. NCAA staff will make sure that verbiage is included for the future.
- o. **Withdrawal from a regional.** The committee discussed teams voluntarily withdrawing from a regional once play has begun because of other campus events. The committee was disappointed that teams would take a spot only to withdraw mid-tournament. The committee will investigate whether such occurrences can be prevented in the future.
- p. **Alcohol usage at the regional or championship site.** The committee was notified that some student-athletes were consuming alcohol at the official team hotel. The committee will evaluate with NCAA staff and Division II leadership whether this rises to the level of misconduct or whether this should be allowed if student-athletes are of age.
- q. **Teams accepting bids to other tournaments.** The committee discussed instances in which teams accept bids to other tournaments instead of a regional. While the committee obviously would prefer teams participating in the regional if selected, the committee acknowledged that institutions have the discretion of choosing their postseason experience.
- r. **Pace of play.** The committee reviewed the current pace of play and checkpoint policy at regionals and the national championship. The policy will remain the same for 2026, but the committee will discuss with the regional and final site rules officials about whether the policy should be adjusted in the future.

10. Discussion with Division II Women's Golf Committee. The men's and women's committees discussed a variety of topics to help align operations.

- a. Championships committee updates.** The committees reviewed the most recent actions taken by the Division II Championships Committee as information.
- b. Coaches Connection update.** The committees received updates from the Coaches Connection liaison, Fran Reidy.
- c. Governance updates.** The committees received updates from the Division II governance staff regarding the division's strategic priorities and initiatives. The committee also discussed marketing and social media for Division II golf and a continued desire to have an enhanced social media presence during the championships.
- d. Professor Mark Broadie.** The committees received an update from Professor Mark Broadie on the college golf rankings to explore potential adjustments to the ranking algorithm ahead of the 2025-26 season. The committees requested additional information from Mr. Broadie to analyze if those changes should be implemented. The committee would like to have Mr. Broadie share his presentation with the membership during a future Coaches Connection call.
- e. Consistency across genders.**
 - i. Championships date formula survey.** The committees agreed on a proposed date formula option to include in the Division II Men's and Women's Golf Championships date and format membership survey this fall. The formula provides an adequate buffer between regionals and the national championships.
 - ii. 2025-26 proposed men's and women's golf rules modifications.** The committees discussed five rules modification proposals recently received from the membership and took no action on any of the proposals.
 - iii. Substitution policy.** The committee agreed the substitution policy at regionals and the national championships shall remain the same with adjustment to the policy once match play begins at the finals. The committees also agreed that conference championships should follow the same substitution policy that is in place for regionals and the national championships.
 - iv. Student-athletes as advice-givers.** The committees agreed that student-athletes should not serve as advice-givers.
 - v. Student-athletes electronic scoring at regionals and finals.** The committees agreed that allowing student-athletes to use their phones as the official scorecard is standard, as it is done throughout the season. At both regionals and national championships, student-athletes will update their scores electronically as the official scorecard, with

the physical scorecard serving as the backup. Scorecard verification will still occur at the end of each round. The committees also encouraged hosts to provide electronic scoring over volunteers who can assist with inputting live scores in the event of any onsite issues.

- vi. **Practice facility/course access.** The committees reviewed practice facility/course access policies and noted some slight differences.
 - vii. **Medal play vs. match play.** The committees discussed the current medal play (i.e., medal match play) format of the championships and agreed to maintain the format as is until more information is gathered from the Division II Men's and Women's Golf Championships date and format survey this fall to assess whether a format change is warranted starting in spring of 2027.
 - viii. **Tie breaking procedures.** The committees reviewed their tiebreaking procedures and noted some slight differences. The women's committee will update its procedures in the pre-championships manual, and the men's committee will review whether to make the same updates in the future.
 - ix. **Finals banquet.** The committees discussed this year's banquet and noted that teams often prefer to spend time with their teams following the practice round. The committees will consider reorienting the banquet to be held the morning of the practice round as a welcome reception, during which the Elite Student-Athlete Award would be recognized.
 - x. **Annual joint meeting.** The committees will conduct their next joint meeting June 22-23, 2026.
11. **Review of the committee calendar.** The committee discussed the committee calendar for the 2025-26 season.
12. **Regional rankings.** The committee noted that the timeline for the 2025-26 regional rankings would remain the same as last year with rankings taking place on Fridays. The 2025-26 regional ranking dates are: March 13, 2026; March 27, 2026; and April 10, 2026.
13. **Division II triennial budget.** The committee discussed recommending increasing the regional travel party from seven to eight and reimbursing for travel to regionals for the 2027-30 budget cycle.
14. **Committee roster.** The committee reviewed the 2025-26 roster, noting that the three members present at the annual meeting will remain the same for 2025-26 and a new member will be added in the next two months to replace Chris Deibel, who resigned from the committee in June.
15. **2025-26 Division II Men's Golf Committee chair appointment.** The committee appointed Jeremy Benoit as chair for the 2025-26 season.

16. Annual meeting. The committee will hold its next annual meeting June 22-23, 2026.

Committee Chair: *Jeremy Benoit, Goldey-Beacom College; Central Atlantic Collegiate Conference*
Staff Liaisons: *Antonio Cannavaro, Championships and Alliances*

Division II Men's Golf Committee July 21-22, 2025, Meeting	
Attendees:	
Jeremy Benoit, Goldey-Beacom College.	
David Lynn, Oklahoma Christian University.	
Joey Marino, Nova Southeastern.	
Absentees:	
None.	
Guests in Attendance:	
Michael Bond, University of Central Oklahoma.	
Suzanne Strudwick, Carson-Newman University.	
Ben Greenberg, Colorado State University Pueblo.	
Dominic Guarnieri, University of Findlay.	
Fran Reidy, Coaches Connection.	
NCAA Staff Liaisons in Attendance:	
Antonio Cannavaro, Championships and Alliances.	
Other NCAA Staff Members in Attendance:	
Mariah Martin, Championships and Alliances.	
Karen Kirsch, Championships and Alliances.	
Ryan Jones, Division II Governance.	
Maritza Jones, Division II Governance.	

NCAA CHAMPIONSHIP RECAP					
Championship:	Division II Men's Golf				
Year:	2025				
Champ Manager:	Antonio Cannavaro				
RANKINGS (if applicable)					
There was a major empahis put on getting common oppenent data more easily visable in the Scoreboard by Clippd system. While the commitees were able to put those together by pulling different screen a more easily to access system to see these common opponents would be preferred.					
SELECTIONS					
DATE:	April 24-25, 2025	BRACKET/FIELD SIZE:	80 Teams / 32 Ind.	# of AQ's:	21 AQ'S/59 at larges. 32 Ind. Made up of 4 SAs from each region not on a qualifying team.
PRELIMINARY ROUNDS					
HOSTS	Gannon University; St. Joseph Missouri Sports Commssion & Missouri Western University; Great Orlando Sports Commission & Rollins College; Cal State San Bernardino				
FACILITIES	Whispering Woods Golf Club; St. Joseph Country Club; Mission Resort + Club; Victoria Club				
EQUIPMENT	Equipment ordered though Premier Golf Services as well as items shipped from the DC. No issues				
TEAM TRAVEL	We had a couple of programs that were planning on traveling without an administrator present. We will need to be specific in the materials to remind institutions that they will be fined if an administrator is not traveling with the team and is present at the administrative meeting.				
HOTELS	There were some issues with teams needing an extra room in their room block than the 4 that was allotted to them in both the South Central/West and Midwest/Central. It seems there are a good amount of programs in that want five rooms at regionals so the four caused some issues in having to get additional inventory and move some teams around based on what was available in the hotel room block.				
COMPETITION	In the East/Atlantic we had a frost delay on two of the three days of competition. This caused play to just finish as darkness hit on day two and for a playoff to not be able to be played on the final day of competition. In the South/Southeast regional, we had severe weather which cut play short by about an hour on Day 2 and caused delays on the final day. We had to go to a shot gun start to make sure play was able to be completed. There was a delay between the end of play and the playoff rounds that were needed because of an individual and team ties at the end of play on the final day. All playoff procedures were able to be completed despite the weather delay. In the Midwest/Central, players felt that they were being pressured by checkpoint volunteers to speed up play. There was some confusion on who was an official and who was just a checkpoint volunteer. Nothing of note from the South Central/West Regional.				
OFFICIATING	No issues. We have amazing crews.				
MEDIA	No Issues.				
SITE REPS	No issues. (We utilized Ekren Miller in the Midwest/Central and Travis Whipple in the South Central/West)				
OTHER	N/A				
FUTURE ACTIONS	There will be discussion of having more leftover hotel availability so that some teams that need 5 rooms can have those rooms. There will be a discussion with the Head Rules Official and host at the Midwest/Central Regional that checkpoint volunteers must specify that they are a volunteer and not an official so that there is no confusion with the student-athletes.				
FINALS					
HOSTS	Palm Beach Atlantic University and Palm Beach County Sports Commission				
FACILITIES	PGA National Resort				
EQUIPMENT	Equipment ordered though Premier Golf Services a well as items shipped from the DC. No issues				
TEAM TRAVEL	There were no issues.				
HOTELS	There were some issues with teams needing an extra room in their room block than the 4 that was allotted to them. It seems there are a good amount of programs that want five rooms at finals so the four caused some issues in having to get additional inventory and move some teams around based on what was available in the hotel room block.				
BANQUET	Some teams enjoyed going to the Polo Grounds to learn the history of polo in South Florida and just to learn about the game of polo. There was issues with the food for the event. Teams were going up for a second helping before other teams even had gotten started. This caused the venue to run out of food and some teams had to wait until after the ceremony to eat (when the venue could replenish the food). The polo grounds were also 30 minutes away from the golf course and the hotels so it was a good hike for the teams to make. Overall the teams would like to see the banquet go away and money be put into other areas that the student-athletes would actually like opposed to a banquet.				
COMPETITION	The first 3.5 days were extremely smooth but the last 1.5 days we encountered a lot of severe weather. We had to delay play mutple times on day four and we even ran into darkness and had to resume the last 6 holes of play for the semi-final round on the last day of competition. Play was almost completed on the final day but we encountered more severe weather which caused us to have another 2-3 hour delay during the championship match. The championship match was tied after play was completed so we had to go to playoff to decide our national championship.				
OFFICIATING	As usual our officials crew was amazing.				
MEDIA/PRESS	No issues.				
S-A MEMENTOS	I think the SA loved all the items that they got. Some SA said the boxes were tough to pack because of there size. There was some complainits from the coaches that the boxes should be given out at the hotel (which we will look to do next year)				
BRANDING/SIGNAGE	The signage all looked so amazing! We are limited in signage at PGA because you cannot put any signage on buildings or within the clubhouse but we found creative ways to have signage out on the course where we could have signage.				
FAN FEST (if applicable)	N/A				
ATTENDANCE	There was good attendance from parents at the championship. We had more attendance last year with North Georgia since they had a couple of teams in town since it was a festival year however I still felt there was good attendance.				
SAFETY AND SECURITY	Nothing really to report on this front.				
OTHER HIGHLIGHTS	Spectator Cart issues were an non-issue this year. While some spectators didn't like our policy for spectator carts (that coaches need to be pre-reserve the specator carts for those with accessibility issues before the tournament starts) the policy was able to be enforced.				
FUTURE ACTIONS	There will be discussion of having more leftover hotel availability so that some teams that need 5 rooms can have those rooms. There will be a discussion of how to reallocate banquet money for some other aspects for the Student-Athletes. We will look to have registartion at the host hotel on the night before the practice round to allow eams to get their SA memento boxes and bring those straight to their rooms.				
COMMITTEE STRATEGIC PRIORITIES					
FUTURE PRIORITIES	Updating date formula to assist in getting better bids and providing coaches that coach both genders the ability to not have to miss any days of the men's golf championship; reimbursing more costs for teams that travel to regionals; and relocating banquet funds.				
POTENTIAL BUDGET ITEMS FOR 2027-30 TRIENNIAL CYCLE	Reimburding for the substitute at regionals, reimbursing for travel (and not just per diem) at regionals like is done for Finals, and adding an extra official at regionals.				



2025 NCAA D-II MEN'S GOLF CHAMPIONSHIPS Terms of the Competition-Substitution

During registration, the head coach must submit his or her lineup according to playing position. The five participants from each institution must be determined by the conclusion of registration.

Prior to a stroke or match play round during Regionals or Finals, a team may substitute a sixth player for any member of the team provided that:

- a. The Site representative is notified of the substitution at least 10 minutes prior to that team member's starting time.
- b. The sixth player is an eligible member of the institution's team.

***Note 1:** When a team has substituted a sixth player, no further substitutions may be made by the team for that round.

***Note 2:** Unless a team chooses to substitute a sixth player prior to a match play draw, the team's five players that played in the previous round will be used for the draw.

Should institutions bring a sixth player as a substitute, that player may use the practice facilities during the men's championships and is allowed to participate in the team's practice round.

Sixth player will act a spectator and must follow spectator guideline for the rest of that round.

Sixth player may also use practice facilities during the rounds if they are not out on the course.