



REPORT OF THE
NCAA DIVISION III MEN'S AND WOMEN'S TRACK AND FIELD
AND CROSS COUNTRY COMMITTEE
JUNE 16-18, 2020, MEETING

ACTION ITEMS.

1. Legislative items.

- **Noncontroversial legislation – NCAA Bylaw 21.9.6.2 and Figure 21.1 – Committee Membership.**
 - a. Recommendation. That noncontroversial legislation be adopted to amend Figure 21.1 as incorporated into Bylaw 21.9.6.2 to adjust the Division III Men's and Women's Cross Country and Track and Field Committee composition from eight to 10 members to coincide with its proposed regional realignment.
 - b. Effective date. September 1, 2021.
 - c. Rationale. The committee believes regional realignment is in the best interests of the sport to provide a better balance across regions and to increase the number of potential regional hosts. The committee's proposal of increasing from eight to 10 regions (see Action Item 2-a) aligns with the broader plan previously approved for sports with sponsorship greater than 350.
 - d. Estimated budget impact. \$16,460. [Attachment A]
 - e. Student-athlete impact. The new sport committee composition will increase representation for student-athletes across the regions.

2. Nonlegislative items.

a. Cross country regional alignment.

- (1) Recommendation. That the current cross country regional alignment be increased from eight to 10 regions.
- (2) Effective date. 2021 championships.
- (3) Rationale. When the Division III Commissioners Association originally formulated its broad regional realignment plan, cross country was not included due to the uniqueness of the sport. The D3CA felt it needed to defer to cross country stakeholders to provide a realignment plan that would work best for the sport. The track and field and cross country committee, in collaboration with the United States Track and Field and Cross

Country Coaches Association, developed a plan that would increase the regions from eight to 10, which would allow each region to have a more balanced number of institutions than in the current eight-region model. In the proposed regional alignment the regions would be split based on state lines with only four states being split between regions: Massachusetts, Michigan, New York and Pennsylvania. The new regions would have approximately 40 institutions with a range of 10 to 11 teams (range of 34 to 44 men's teams and range of 35-46 women's teams based on 2019-20 sponsorship). [Attachment B]

The last major regional alignment change for cross country took place in 2007-08 and sponsorship has grown by roughly 10 percent per gender since that time. In the current structure there are two regions with fewer than 40 institutions per gender and three with more than 70 institutions per gender. The greatest obstacle this causes is securing courses capable of hosting such a large number of institutions (insufficient room for up to 70 starting boxes on a starting line) for a regional meet and host institutions willing to take on such a feat. This results in very few regional bid submissions and sending student-athletes to the same courses year after year.

The proposed 10-region model would more closely align Division III men's and women's cross country with other Division III sports with greater than 350 sponsoring institutions.

(4) Estimated budget impact. \$33,600. [Attachment C]

(5) Student-athlete impact. With regions that are more balanced, hosts will be able to provide a more consistent student-athlete experience across all sites.

b. Cross country selection process modification.

(1) Recommendation. That the cross country selection process be modified from its current model of two automatic qualifiers per region (16 AQs total from the current eight region model), 16 at-large berths and 56 individual student-athletes (seven per region) per gender to one automatic qualifier per region (10 AQs total from each region in the new proposed 10-region format), 22 at-large bids and 70 individual student-athletes (seven per region) per gender. This would increase the field size by 14 individuals per gender.

(2) Effective date. 2021 championships.

(3) Rationale. If the new 10-region model is adopted the selection process will need to be adapted to fit within the new structure. The committee considered several different options for automatic and at-large berths to coincide with the proposed 10-region model and ultimately feels that having the top team from each regional earn an automatic berth

to the championships, and the remaining 22 teams being selected at-large would allow for the national championships to be representative of the best teams in a given year. The committee feels that if it were to stay with the current model two AQs per region it would not allow for the strongest national championships field with only 12 institutions having the opportunity to be selected at-large.

In the new format, the committee is also requesting an increase of 14 individuals per gender (field size would increase from 280 to 294 per gender) which is in line with the current model of the top seven individuals per region not on a qualifying team being selected to the championships field. This increase would come as a result of the addition of two regions in the proposed regional alignment request. The committee feels that as much as the cross country national championships are a team event, it is still an individual sport, and the committee would like to retain that as a strong component of the championships by keeping the same access per region for individual qualifiers.

The committee would also propose two additional options for selecting individual qualifiers that would have less of a budget impact. Its second option would be to select six individuals from each region. This would increase the field from 280 to 284 and have a budget impact of \$19,395. The committee's third option would be a cost-neutral option of staying with the same proposed selection process for teams (10 AQs and 22 at-large teams) and simply adjust the individuals selection to five student-athletes per region and six at-large individuals. This option would keep the overall field size the same thus having no budget impact. The six at-large individuals would be selected using the current Division I method of selecting its individuals as outlined in the excerpt below (with edits to make it Division III specific):

The committee will select [six] at-large individuals by identifying the highest non-qualifying individual finishers at the regional meets. All individual at-large qualifiers must finish in the top 25 within their regional meet. In cases where regions have less than [five] automatic individual qualifiers meet the required selection criteria, the committee will add individual at-large qualifiers to maintain the maximum number of [280] participants per gender. The next selected at-large individual(s) would be the next highest non-qualifying individual finishers among the regional meets. Any additional at-large individuals selected must finish in the top 25 of their regional meet.

Breaking ties to advance to Championship Finals:

- ***Automatic qualifiers:*** *If a true tie exists between two or more individuals from a region for the [fifth] automatic qualifying position, all tied individuals will advance to the NCAA championships meet. However, the additional automatic qualifiers will reduce the number of at-large individuals selected to the championships meet.*

- ***At-large selections:*** *To break a tie for any at-large individual selection, the time difference between tied runners and the last automatic individual qualifier (the [fifth]-place automatic qualifier) from their respective regions will be compared. The runner with the smallest differential will advance.*

The legislated access ratio for individual-team sports is 1:16 to 1:24. The access ratios for the proposed options are as follows:

Model	Men	Women
Current and Option 3 (field size 280)	1:20.6	1:21
Option 1 (+14 per gender; field size 294)	1:19.6	1:20
Option 2 (+4 per gender; field size 284)	1:20.3	1:20.7

- (4) Estimated budget impact. \$67,872. [Attachment C]
- (5) Student-athlete impact. Modifying the selection process as outlined above would provide student-athletes with the optimal student-athlete experience; especially the individual student-athletes as it would keep their qualifying process the same as it is currently.

c. Selection criteria modification in cross country.

- (1) Recommendation. That late-season performance be modified to include regional championship data.
- (2) Effective date. 2020 championships.
- (3) Rationale. Currently, late-season performance includes results from late September to the Saturday before regionals (six weeks prior to regionals). The committee feels that including data from regionals for selections would provide an additional data point when comparing teams across regions and that more data is better. The committee also believes that regional competition data will be extremely important for selections to the 2020 championships since the minimum contest requirement is reduced from five to three due to the impact of COVID-19. This year, if an institution uses regionals to count as one of its three requisit dates of competition, the committee could potentially have only two dates of competition by which it could evaluate a team for at-large selection (providing those dates of competition meet the additional requirements as outlined in the Prechampionship Manual including at least five of the same student-athletes who competed at regionals, the minimum distance was run and the race was scored in accordance with NCAA rules).

While the 2020 championships will be an anomaly in many facets the committee believes that it will be able to select the most competitive championships field using as much data as possible. This proposal was formally brought to the sport committee from the United States Track and Field and Cross Country Coaches Association having received support from its constituents.

(4) Estimated budget impact. None.

(5) Student-athlete impact. This modification would provide teams the opportunity to be selected based on the most comprehensive data available to the sport committee.

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS.

1. **2019 annual report.** The Division III Men's and Women's Track and Field and Cross Country Committee approved the report from last year's annual meeting as presented.

2. **Committee business.** The committee reviewed and tentatively approved the timeline for the coming year. The dates listed on the schedule will be confirmed with hosts and the committee.

3. **Cross country.**

a. **2019 championships administration.** The committee felt that the Louisville Sport Commission was a great partner for the 2019 Division III Men's and Women's Cross Country Championships. The committee noted that the weather provided for less than ideal course conditions for the championship races, but that operationally the championships were well executed.

Areas of improvement for Louisville and the cross country championships largely are to increase efficiencies in both the packet pick-up and clerking processes. In both instances a large number of individuals need to get through the process as quickly and efficiently as possible. A backup in either circumstance can cause undue stress that is avoidable through better planning and execution. NCAA staff will make both packet pick-up and clerking a higher priority during the planning process.

b. **Championships evaluations.** The committee reviewed the championships evaluations and discussed the common themes and areas for improvement.

c. **Review of selection process.** The committee discussed several items in the selection process, most notably a modification to selection criteria (see Action Item 2-b), which proposes a change to include regionals in the data considered during at-large selections.

- d. **Start time and race order.** The committee discussed race start times and noted that an hour between races is still appropriate and that final race times will be determined after discussions with NCAA webstream staff occur.
- e. **Regional realignment.** The committee discussed the USTFCCCA proposal for regional realignment and supports the expansion from eight to 10 cross country regions. The committee believes that having more regions allows for more balance among the regions which will help solve the sport's biggest obstacle of finding regional hosts capable of accommodating such a large number of institutions. In the current regional alignment, the sponsorship ranges from 20 institutions in the West region to 76 institutions in the Mideast region. While not all institutions compete at regionals annually, there are consistently several regions that need a starting line capable of accommodating more than 50 institutions at one time. That in itself eliminates most cross country courses that would be able to host, which results in the same regional sites being selected year after year. Hosting a regional championship is a large undertaking and taxing on institutional staff. The committee is hopeful that a change in the alignment would open up more potential regional host sites.

Expanding from eight to 10 regions would require a change to the selection process. The committee proposes that one automatic berth be assigned to each region and the additional 22 berths be selected at large (see Action Item 2-b). The number of individual participants would remain at seven per region, increasing the overall field size by 14 per gender. (Note: The committee has included a budget-neutral selection option in its proposal.)

- (1) Salisbury University waiver. The committee did not support a waiver request from Salisbury to move from the Mideast region to the South/Southeast region. Salisbury cites a shift in conference membership and a desire to be aligned with its other conference members as the driving factor for the request, however the committee believes that it is more advantageous to the conference to have institutions in separate regions.
- (2) North Atlantic Conference waiver. The committee supported the NAC request to have its five New York based institutions compete in the Atlantic region as it is more in line geographically than its current placement in the New England region.

4. Indoor track and field.

- a. **2020 championships administration.** The committee thanks JDL Fast Track and Visit Winston-Salem for their tremendous efforts that went in to hosting the 2020 Division III Men's and Women's Indoor Track and Field Championships. The host was extremely prepared in the process and handled the challenges of COVID-19 gracefully under pressure. The committee looks forward to returning to JDL for the 2022 championships.

- b. Selection process.** The committee reviewed its indoor track and field selection process and made no changes for the 2020-21 academic year.
- c. Indoor championships schedule of events.** The committee reviewed a proposed schedule for the 2021 championships to be hosted by SPIRE in Geneva, Ohio in March. NCAA staff will work with SPIRE staff to confirm a few logistical questions before finalizing and publishing the schedule.

5. Outdoor track and field.

- a. 2020 championships administration.** The committee discussed the outdoor championships administration in light of its cancellation due to COVID-19. While St. John Fisher College was not able to host the championships, they proved to be a fully capable and prepared staff from the initial stages of planning. The committee hopes to be able to return to St. John Fisher in the future to execute what had been planned.
- b. Selections process.** The committee reviewed its outdoor track and field selection process and made no changes for the 2020-21 academic year.
- c. Outdoor championships schedule of events.** The committee reviewed a proposed schedule for the 2021 championships to be hosted by the Greensboro Sports Commission and North Carolina A&T State University in Greensboro, North Carolina in May. NCAA staff will work with Greensboro staff to confirm a few logistical questions before finalizing and publishing the schedule.

6. General policies and procedures.

- a. Final qualifier meets.** The committee reviewed the indoor and outdoor track and field final qualifier meet guidelines and made no changes to the criteria, noting the only changes will be to update the dates to reflect 2021 dates.
- b. Qualifying criteria.** The committee reviewed the qualifying criteria and made no changes. The qualifying criteria will be reviewed with Divisions I and II during its joint session.

7. USTFCCCA proposals.

a. Cross country recommendations.

- (1) Clerking. The committee discussed clerking and the USTFCCCA's request to require a minimum of 10 clerks to check in teams and individuals prior to the national championship races and noted that the current host operations manual outlines this guideline; however it does not state a specific number. NCAA staff will edit the host operations manual to guide hosts on an acceptable minimum number of clerks and

make it a greater point of emphasis during host planning calls and lay out clerking guidelines in greater detail.

- (2) Auxiliary Events and Awards. The committee discussed the request to modify the language in the championship participant manual to account for the possibility of seven individuals from one team qualifying to the national championship. (The current language only addresses when one to four individuals from an institution qualify to the national championship.) The committee noted that while it is possible, the probability of this happening without that institution earning an automatic berth or being selected at large is very slim, and should this occur, the request for additional banquet tickets would be accommodated.
- (3) Mandatory coaches meeting. The committee discussed the mandatory nature of the coaches meeting. While the meeting may cause a disruption in preferred day-before-meet activities, the committee stressed the importance of these meetings especially at the regional championships as courses may be unfamiliar and weather conditions and course details are typically discussed at this time. The committee discussed the mandatory coaches meeting at the national championship and noted that this is an Association-wide policy and therefore not under the purview of the sport committee to make this change. The committee did note that necessary changes may need to be made to the format of the coaches meeting at the 2020 championships due to COVID-19 guidelines.
- (4) Minimum championship distance. The committee discussed the stated minimum distance required for at-large consideration and the inconsistencies between the stated minimums. Currently the selection criteria reads that women's races must be a minimum of five kilometers or three miles and men's races must be a minimum of eight kilometers or four miles and those stated minimums are not equal. The committee will voted to amend the minimum distance required for at-large selection to be five kilometers for women and seven kilometers for men.
- (5) At-large selections. The committee discussed the definition of "late-season performance" and agrees with the coaches association that it would be in the best interests of the teams being considered to include all possible results in the data being reviewed during at-large selections (refer to Action Item 2-c).
- (6) Defining "teams already in the championships field and other potential at-large teams." The committee discussed its selection criteria and made no adjustments to the definition of "other potential at-large teams." The committee feels that the data it sees during selections (with the proposed inclusion of regional results) is a known value based on the teams already selected to the championships. Including data against potential at-large teams would only muddy the water and would seem more hypothetical and fluid than solid data.

- (7) At-large selections – push policy. The committee discussed the proposal that would revise the selection process so that the next two teams from each region are considered simultaneously (currently only the next team from each region is considered) and that the “push policy” be used if the second team under consideration deserves being selected, which would automatically “push in” the team ahead of it if enough at-large spots remain. The committee feels that teams should be selected at large based on their own merits, and this policy change could cause some teams to be selected based on the merits of the teams that finished behind them at regionals.

b. Indoor track and field recommendations.

- (1) Conduct the 3,000 meters as a finals race only. The committee has supported conducting the indoor 3,000 meter race as a straight final (as opposed to a prelim and a final) but has been precluded from doing so per the NCAA Cross Country and Track and Field Rules. However, during its annual meeting, the rules committee supported a request to amend Rule 5, Section 10, Article 7j to allow for up to 20 competitors to contest a final only. The track and field and cross country committee will amend the indoor track schedule to reflect this change.
- (2) Landing area surface in throwing events. The committee discussed whether to require an appropriate substance (e.g., cornmeal or sawdust) to be applied to the surface of the landing area and/or if student-athletes should be required to chalk the implement prior to each attempt to assist officials in accurately marking the attempt. The committee decided that this would be better served a recommendation in the host operations manual and an area of emphasis for host calls, but facilities differ so greatly that making a mandate to put down a substance may not be possible at each venue. The committee also did not want to require a student-athlete to chalk the implement if that is not his or her preference.
- (3) Weight throw implements. NCAA staff will confirm with Gill the exact specifications of the implements it provides for the championships and determine if any further action is need.
- (4) Standardization of TFRRS performance marks. The committee did not support the request to standardize the marks displayed on the TFRRS descending order list to always display marks based on a 200 meter banked/oversized track. The committee feels that the primary purpose of the TFRRS lists are to show what standard is necessary for a student-athlete to qualify to the national championships in a given year and could potentially cause confusion at the time of selections.

c. Bid cycle recommendations. The committee discussed the concept of awarding the indoor and outdoor track and field championships to the same site for an entire bid cycle and

understands the benefits a repeat host can provide from a planning and execution standpoint, but the committee is also only permitted to select hosts based on submitted bids and rarely would it be possible to award a site four years in a row. The committee also does not believe that would provide the best student-athlete experience.

- 8. Future dates and sites.** The committee reviewed the future dates and sites for all three championships through 2022. It also reviewed bids for cross country, indoor track and field and outdoor track and field for the 2022 through 2026 bid cycle and will forward its recommendations on for consideration.
- 9. Timing request for proposals.** The committee elected to extend its contract for one year with Leone Timing, Inc. for the 2020 Division III Men's and Women's Cross Country Championships in Terre Haute, Indiana. NCAA staff will work on the next steps in securing the contract.
- 10. Governance update.** NCAA governance staff joined the meeting and provided an update to the committee.

Committee Chair: *Dara Ford, Otterbein University*

Staff Liaison: *Laura Peterson-Mlynski, Championships and Alliances*

Division III Men's and Women's Track and Field and Cross Country Committee June 16-18, 2020, Meeting	
Attendees:	
Amy Maier, Buena Vista University.	
Dara Ford, Otterbein University.	
Greg Cooper, Pennsylvania State University, the Behrend College.	
Josh Schroeder, Northern Athletics Collegiate Conference.	
Mike Howard, Plattsburgh State University.	
Marlee Berg Haryasz, Westfield State University.	
Natalie Bach-Prather, East Texas Baptist University.	
Kevin Reid, University of La Verne.	
Absentees:	
None.	
Guests in Attendance:	
None.	
NCAA Staff Liaison (or Staff Support if subcommittee) in Attendance:	
Laura Peterson-Mlynski, Nancy O'Hara, Paige Newman.	
Other NCAA Staff Members in Attendance:	
Louise McCleary, Ali Spungen.	

Committee Cost Increase

Annual Meeting

	Cost/Day	# Days	Total
Airfare	\$650	1	\$650
Hotel	\$255	2	\$510
Per Diem	\$75	3	\$225
Bag Fees	\$50	1	\$50
Celebratory Meals	\$100	1	\$100
			\$1,535

Total Costs

Annual Meeting	\$ 1,535
Cross Country	\$ 1,740
Indoor	\$ 2,330
Outdoor	\$ 2,625
	\$ 8,230

x2 committee members **\$ 16,460**

Cross Country

	Cost/Day	# Days	Total
Airfare	\$650	1	\$ 650
Hotel	\$220	3	\$ 660
Per Diem	\$75	4	\$ 300
Bag Fees	\$50	1	\$ 50
Conference center meals	\$40	2	\$ 80
			\$ 1,740

Indoor Track

	Cost/Day	# Days	Total
Airfare	\$ 650	1	\$ 650
Hotel	\$ 220	5	\$ 1,100
Per Diem	\$ 75	6	\$ 450
Bag Fees	\$ 50	1	\$ 50
Conference center meals	\$ 40	2	\$ 80
			\$ 2,330


Outdoor Track

	Cost/Day	# Days	Total
Airfare	\$ 650	1	\$ 650
Hotel	\$ 220	6	\$ 1,320
Per Diem	\$ 75	7	\$ 525
Bag Fees	\$ 50	1	\$ 50
Conference center meals	\$ 40	2	\$ 80
			\$ 2,625

REGIONAL ALIGNMENT

Current Distribution of Institutions

The current distribution of schools that sponsor NCAA Division III Men's and Women's Cross Country is as follows:



Existing 8-Region Format

Region	Men's Teams	Women's Teams
Atlantic	71	72
Central	32	33
Great Lakes	40	40
Mideast	73	76
Midwest	47	49
New England	69	74
South/Southeast	52	58
West	20	21
Grand Total	404	423

Men's Range: 53 teams (20 – 73)


Women's Range: 55 teams (21 – 76)

Men's Average: 51 teams

Women's Average: 53 teams

Proposed 10-Region Distribution

The ten-region model, **unanimously supported by the Executive Committee**, yields the following improvement in the distribution of NCAA Division III institutions that sponsor Men's and Women's Cross Country:



Proposed 10-Region Model

Region	Men's Teams	Women's Teams
1 - East	44	46
2 - Mideast	38	41
3 - Niagara	42	43
4 - Mid-Atlantic	44	45
5 - Metro	42	44
6 - Great Lakes	43	43
7 - South	36	42
8 - North	41	44
9 - Midwest	41	41
10 - West	34	35
Grand Total	404	423

Men's Range: 10 teams (34 – 44)

Women's Range: 10 teams (35 – 46)

Men's Average: 42 teams

Women's Average: 42 teams

APPENDIX – List of Schools by Proposed Region – 10-Region Model

1 - East Region

Anna Maria College	Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Babson College	New England College
Bates College	Nichols College
Bowdoin College	Pine Manor College
Brandeis University	Plymouth State University
Bridgewater State University	Regis College (Massachusetts)
Clark University (Massachusetts)	Rivier University
Colby College	Saint Joseph's College (Maine)
Colby-Sawyer College	Simmons University (Women)
Curry College (Women)	University of Southern Maine
Dean College*	Suffolk University
Eastern Nazarene College	Thomas College
Emerson College	Tufts University
Emmanuel College (Massachusetts)	University of Maine, Farmington
Endicott College	University of Maine at Presque Isle
Fitchburg State University	University of Massachusetts, Boston
Framingham State University	University of Massachusetts, Dartmouth
Gordon College (Massachusetts)	University of New England
Husson University	Wellesley College (Women)
Keene State College	Wentworth Institute of Technology (Men)
Lasell College	Wheaton College (Massachusetts)
Lesley University	Worcester State University
Maine Maritime Academy	Worcester Polytechnic Institute
Massachusetts Maritime Academy	

2 - Mideast Region

Amherst College	Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute
Bard College	The Sage Colleges
Bay Path University (Women)	Salve Regina University
Castleton University of America	Smith College (Women)
Clarkson University	Southern Vermont College
U.S. Coast Guard Academy	Springfield College
Connecticut College	St. Lawrence University
Eastern Connecticut State University	State University of New York at Canton
Elms College	State University College at New Paltz
Johnson & Wales University (Providence)	Plattsburgh State University of New York
Lyndon State College	State University of New York at Potsdam
Massachusetts College of Liberal Arts	Trinity College (Connecticut)
Middlebury College	Union College (New York)
Mitchell College	University of Saint Joseph (Connecticut)
Mount Holyoke College (Women)	Vassar College
Mount Saint Mary College (New York)	Wesleyan University (Connecticut)
Northern Vermont University - Johnson	Western Connecticut State University
Northern Vermont University - Lyndon	Western New England University
Norwich University	Westfield State University
Rhode Island College	Williams College
Roger Williams University	

3 - Niagara Region

Alfred University
 Alfred State College
 Baruch College
 College at Brockport
 Buffalo State College
 Cazenovia College
 The City College of New York
 D'Youville College
 Elmira College
 Fredonia / State University of New York
 Hamilton College
 Hartwick College
 Hilbert College
 Hobart College (Men)
 Houghton College
 Hunter College
 Ithaca College
 John Jay College of Criminal Justice
 Keuka College
 Lehman College, City University of New York
 Manhattanville College
 Medaille College

Morrisville State College
 College of Mount St. Vincent
 Nazareth College
 College of New Rochelle (Women)
 New York University
 Penn State Erie, the Behrend College
 Purchase College, State University of New York
 Rochester Institute of Technology
 University of Rochester (N.Y.)
 Sarah Lawrence College
 St. John Fisher College
 State University of New York at Cobleskill
 State University of New York at Cortland
 State University of New York at Geneseo
 State University of New York Maritime College
 State University College at Oneonta
 State University of New York at Oswego
 State University of New York Polytechnic Institute
 Utica College
 Wells College
 William Smith College (Women)
 Yeshiva University

4 - Mid-Atlantic Region

Albright College
 Alvernia University
 Bethany College (West Virginia)
 Carnegie Mellon University
 Chatham University
 Clarks Summit University
 Dickinson College
 Elizabethtown College
 Franklin & Marshall College
 Frostburg State University
 Geneva College
 Gettysburg College
 Goucher College
 Grove City College
 Hood College
 Johns Hopkins University
 Juniata College
 Keystone College
 King's College
 La Roche College
 Lancaster Bible College
 Lebanon Valley College
 Lycoming College

Marywood University
 McDaniel College
 Messiah College
 Misericordia University
 Muhlenberg College
 Notre Dame of Maryland University (Women)
 Penn State Berks College
 Penn State Harrisburg
 Pennsylvania College of Technology
 University of Pittsburgh, Greensburg
 Saint Vincent College
 Salisbury University
 University of Scranton
 St. Mary's College of Maryland
 Stevenson University
 Susquehanna University
 Thiel College
 Washington and Jefferson College
 Waynesburg University
 Westminster College (Pennsylvania)
 Wilkes University
 York College (Pennsylvania)

5 - Metro Region

Arcadia University	Neumann University
Brooklyn College	New Jersey City University
Bryn Athyn College	Penn State University, Abington
Bryn Mawr College (Women)	Ramapo College
Cabrini College	Rosemont College
Cairn University	Rowan University
Cedar Crest College (Women)	Rutgers University, Camden
Centenary University (New Jersey)	Rutgers University, Newark
Delaware Valley University	College of Saint Elizabeth
DeSales University	St. Joseph's College (Brooklyn)
Drew University	St. Joseph's College (Long Island)
Eastern University	College of Staten Island
Farmingdale State College	Stevens Institute of Technology
Fairleigh Dickinson University, Florham	Stockton University
Gwynedd-Mercy University	State University College at Old Westbury
Haverford College	Swarthmore College
Immaculata University	The College of New Jersey
Medgar Evers College	Ursinus College
U.S. Merchant Marine Academy	University of Valley Forge
Montclair State University	Wesley College
Moravian College	Widener University
Mount Aloysius College	York College (New York)

6 - Great Lakes Region

Adrian College	Kalamazoo College
Albion College	Kenyon College
Allegheny College	Manchester University
Alma College	Marietta College
Anderson University (Indiana)	Mount St. Joseph University
Baldwin Wallace University	University of Mount Union
Berea College (Ky.)	Muskingum University
Bluffton University	Oberlin College
Calvin College	Ohio Northern University
Capital University	Ohio Wesleyan University
Case Western Reserve University	Olivet College
Centre College	Otterbein University
Defiance College	Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology
Denison University	Saint Mary's College (Indiana) (Women)
DePauw University	Spalding University
Earlham College	Thomas More University
Franciscan University of Steubenville	Transylvania University
Franklin College	Trine University
Hanover College	Wabash College (Men)
Heidelberg University	Wilmington College (Ohio)
Hope College	Wittenberg University
John Carroll University	College of Wooster

7 - South Region

Agnes Scott College (Women)
 Averett University
 Belhaven University (Miss.)*
 Berry College
 Birmingham-Southern College
 Bridgewater College (Virginia)
 Catholic University
 Christopher Newport University
 Covenant College
 Eastern Mennonite University
 Emory University
 Emory and Henry College
 Ferrum College
 Gallaudet University
 Guilford College
 Hampden-Sydney College (Men)
 Hollins University (Women)
 Huntingdon College
 LaGrange College
 Louisiana College
 University of Lynchburg
 Mary Baldwin University (Women)

University of Mary Washington
 Marymount University (Virginia)
 Maryville College (Tennessee)
 Meredith College (Women)
 Methodist University
 Millsaps College
 North Carolina Wesleyan College
 Oglethorpe University
 William Peace University
 Piedmont College
 Randolph College
 Rhodes College
 Roanoke College
 Salem College (Women)
 Shenandoah University
 Southern Virginia University
 Sweet Briar College (Women)
 University of the South
 Virginia Wesleyan College
 Washington and Lee University
 Wesleyan College (Georgia) (Women)

8 - North Region

Alverno College (Women)
 Augsburg University
 Beloit College
 Bethany Lutheran College
 Bethel University (Minnesota)
 Carleton College
 Carroll University (Wisconsin)
 Carthage College
 Concordia College, Moorhead
 Concordia University Wisconsin
 Crown College (Minnesota)
 Edgewood College
 Finlandia University
 Gustavus Adolphus College
 Hamline University
 Lawrence University
 Macalester College
 Maranatha Baptist University
 Marian University (Wisconsin)
 Martin Luther College
 University of Minnesota, Morris
 Mount Mary University (Women)
 Milwaukee School of Engineering

North Central University
 Northland College
 University of Northwestern - St. Paul
 Ripon College
 St. John's University (Minnesota) (Men)
 Saint Mary's University of Minnesota
 College of Saint Benedict (Women)
 St. Catherine University (Women)
 St. Norbert College
 St. Olaf College
 The College of St. Scholastica
 University of St. Thomas (Minnesota)
 University of Wisconsin, Eau Claire
 University of Wisconsin, La Crosse
 University of Wisconsin, Oshkosh
 University of Wisconsin, Platteville
 University of Wisconsin, River Falls
 University of Wisconsin, Stevens Point
 University of Wisconsin, Stout
 University of Wisconsin, Superior
 University of Wisconsin, Whitewater
 Wisconsin Lutheran College

9 - Midwest Region

Augustana College (Illinois)	Knox College
Aurora University	Lake Forest College
Benedictine University (Illinois)	Lakeland College
Blackburn College	Loras College
Buena Vista University	Luther College
Central College (Iowa)	MacMurray College
University of Chicago	Millikin University
Coe College	Monmouth College (Illinois)
Concordia University Chicago	Nebraska Wesleyan University
Cornell College	North Central College
Dominican University (Illinois)	North Park University
University of Dubuque	Principia College
Elmhurst College	Rockford University
Fontbonne University	Simpson College
Greenville College	University of the Ozarks (Arkansas)
Grinnell College	Wartburg College
Hendrix College	Washington University (Missouri)
Illinois College	Webster University
Illinois Institute of Technology	Westminster College (Missouri)
Illinois Wesleyan University	Wheaton College (Illinois)
Iowa Wesleyan University	

10 - West Region

Austin College	Texas Lutheran University
California Lutheran University	Trinity University (Texas)
California Institute of Technology	University of California, Santa Cruz
Chapman University	University of Texas at Dallas
Claremont-Mudd-Scripps Colleges	Whitman College
Colorado College	Whittier College
Concordia University Texas	Whitworth University
University of Dallas	Willamette University
East Texas Baptist University	
George Fox University	
Hardin-Simmons University	
University of La Verne	
LeTourneau University	
Lewis & Clark College	
Linfield College	
University of Mary Hardin-Baylor	
McMurry University	
Mills College (Women)	
Occidental College	
Pacific University (Oregon)	
Pacific Lutheran University	
Pomona-Pitzer Colleges	
University of Puget Sound	
University of Redlands	
Schreiner University	
Southwestern University (Texas)	
Sul Ross State University	

National Championship

Option 1: Adding 14 individuals per gender

Men Women

Team (travel/per diem)	\$ 30,837	\$ 30,837	See summary/detail tab for breakdown.
Participant medallion (\$11.33)	\$ 159	\$ 159	\$11.33 x 14 additional participants
Banquet (\$30)	\$ 840	\$ 840	\$30 x 28 (SA + 1 non-SA travel party member)
Mementos (\$75)	\$ 2,100	\$ 2,100	\$75 x 28/gender (travel party)
\$ 67,872			

Option 2: Adding 4 individuals per gender

Men Women

Team (travel/per diem)	\$ 8,812	\$ 8,812	See summary/detail tab for breakdown.
Participant medallion (\$11.33)	\$ 45	\$ 45	\$11.33 x 4 additional participants
Banquet (\$30)	\$ 240	\$ 240	\$30 x 8 (SA + 1 non-SA travel party member)
Mementos (\$75)	\$ 600	\$ 600	\$75 x 8/gender (travel party)
\$ 19,395			

Regionals

Increasing from 8 to 10 sites

Site 1 Site 2

Operational costs	\$ 14,100	\$ 14,100	Average cost of all regions over the past 4 years.
Honorarium	\$ 1,400	\$ 1,400	
Regional Team Trophy (\$650x2)	\$ 1,300	\$ 1,300	
Site rep travel (\$456)	\$ 456	\$ 456	based on max mileage (800 x .57)
Site rep per diem (\$75/day)	\$ 150	\$ 150	

+2 committee members

\$ 33,600