

2021 Women's Basketball Rules Survey Report

QUANTITATIVE DATA

April 23, 2021

Title	n	N	%
DI Head Coach	140	351	40%
DII Head Coach	132	306	43%
DIII Head Coach	220	439	50%
TOTAL COACHES	492	1096	45%
DI Commissioner	18	32	56%
DII Commissioner	11	23	48%
DIII Commissioner	17	45	38%
DI SWA	72	345	21%
DII SWA	100	304	33%
DIII SWA	98	439	22%
Coordinator	32	89	36%
Official	1270	2464	52%

REVIEW OF POTENTIAL RULE CHANGES

Would you be in favor of the following proposed rule changes:

1. Moving the three-point line to the men's distance of 22 feet, 1 ¾ inches.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	65%	91	48%	64	43%	95	51%	250
No	34%	47	48%	64	51%	112	45%	223
No Opinion	1%	2	3%	4	5%	12	4%	18
TOTAL	100%	140	100%	132	100%	219	100%	491

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	67%	12	55%	6	53%	9	59%	27
No	33%	6	36%	4	41%	7	37%	17
No Opinion	0%	0	9%	1	6%	1	4%	2
TOTAL	100%	18	100%	11	100%	17	100%	46

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	64%	46	45%	45	46%	45	50%	136
No	28%	20	45%	45	46%	45	41%	110
No Opinion	8%	6	10%	10	8%	8	9%	24
TOTAL	100%	72	100%	100	100%	98	100%	270

Three Point Line CONTINUED

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Yes	44%	14	50%	638
No	41%	13	39%	494
No Opinion	16%	5	11%	133
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1265

2. Moving the throw-in spot following player/substitute and bench technical fouls, intentional fouls, disqualifying fouls from the division line to the 28-foot mark in the frontcourt?

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	59%	82	54%	71	50%	109	53%	262
No	19%	26	17%	22	17%	37	17%	85
No Opinion	23%	32	29%	38	34%	74	29%	144
TOTAL	100%	140	100%	131	100%	220	100%	491

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	50%	9	36%	4	35%	6	41%	19
No	11%	2	18%	2	29%	5	20%	9
No Opinion	39%	7	45%	5	35%	6	39%	18
TOTAL	100%	18	100%	11	100%	17	100%	46

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	46%	33	53%	53	43%	42	47%	128
No	22%	16	16%	16	20%	20	19%	52
No Opinion	32%	23	31%	31	37%	36	33%	90
TOTAL	100%	72	100%	100	100%	98	100%	270

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Yes	53%	17	42%	530
No	31%	10	47%	594
No Opinion	16%	5	11%	135
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1259

3. Issuing a team warning when a player fakes being fouled, with a player/substitute technical foul issued for any subsequent acts by that team. Examples of faking include, but are not limited to: flopping, head “bobs”, or other tactics which could lead an official to believe that a foul has been committed.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	69%	97	63%	83	61%	133	64%	313
No	25%	35	33%	43	32%	71	30%	149
No Opinion	6%	8	5%	6	7%	15	6%	29
TOTAL	100%	140	100%	132	100%	219	100%	491

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	67%	12	73%	8	71%	12	70%	32
No	22%	4	18%	2	12%	2	17%	8
No Opinion	11%	2	9%	1	18%	3	13%	6
TOTAL	100%	18	100%	11	100%	17	100%	46

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	81%	58	72%	72	64%	63	71%	193
No	17%	12	24%	24	27%	26	23%	62
No Opinion	3%	2	4%	4	9%	9	6%	15
TOTAL	100%	72	100%	100	100%	98	100%	270

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Yes	65%	20	65%	811
No	29%	9	29%	366
No Opinion	6%	2	6%	73
TOTAL	100%	31	100%	1250

4. When an instant-replay review occurs before the electronic-media timeout mark in a period, the review will create that period's media timeout.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	54%	75	42%	56	36%	79	43%	210
No	39%	54	36%	48	16%	34	28%	136
No Opinion	7%	10	21%	28	48%	106	29%	144
TOTAL	100%	139	100%	132	100%	219	100%	490

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	78%	14	91%	10	53%	9	72%	33
No	17%	3	0%	0	0%	0	7%	3
No Opinion	6%	1	9%	1	47%	8	22%	10
TOTAL	100%	18	100%	11	100%	17	100%	46

Instant Replay Review CONTINUED

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	85%	61	69%	69	39%	38	62%	168
No	10%	7	16%	16	10%	10	12%	33
No Opinion	6%	4	15%	15	51%	50	26%	69
TOTAL	100%	72	100%	100	100%	98	100%	270

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Yes	69%	22	70%	874
No	22%	7	18%	223
No Opinion	9%	3	12%	149
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1246

5. Concerning the restricted-area arc and lower defensive box, which of the following would you support (choose one):

A. Keep the current rule for the restricted-area arc and lower defensive box.

B. Keep the restricted-area arc but eliminate the lower defensive box (the lower defensive box rule went into effect with the 2013-14 season).

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
A	43%	60	36%	47	37%	81	39%	188
B	57%	78	64%	83	63%	136	61%	297
TOTAL	100%	138	100%	130	100%	217	100%	485

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
A	78%	14	44%	4	50%	7	61%	25
B	22%	4	56%	5	50%	7	39%	16
TOTAL	100%	18	100%	9	100%	14	100%	41

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
A	60%	42	60%	59	49%	47	56%	148
B	40%	28	40%	40	51%	48	44%	116
TOTAL	100%	70	100%	99	100%	95	100%	264

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
A	38%	12	33%	421
B	63%	20	67%	842
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1263

6. Currently, there may be no electronic transmission to or from the bench area for coaching purposes (Exception: the transmission of data pertaining to the health and safety of a player may be transmitted to the medical staff in the bench area but may not be shared with the coaching staff for coaching purposes). Which of the following would you support (choose one):

A. Allow all statistical, audio, and video data to be transmitted to the bench area from any location within the facility.

B. Allow statistical data only to be transmitted to the bench area from any location within the facility.

C. Do not permit any type of data to be transmitted to the bench area from any location within the facility.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
A	19%	26	17%	22	17%	37	17%	85
B	43%	60	35%	46	32%	69	36%	175
C	39%	54	48%	62	51%	111	47%	227
TOTAL	100%	140	100%	130	100%	217	100%	487

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
A	28%	5	27%	3	31%	5	29%	13
B	56%	10	45%	5	38%	6	47%	21
C	17%	3	27%	3	31%	5	24%	11
TOTAL	100%	18	100%	11	100%	16	100%	45

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
A	19%	14	16%	16	15%	15	17%	45
B	50%	36	48%	48	33%	32	43%	116
C	31%	22	36%	36	52%	51	40%	109
TOTAL	100%	72	100%	100	100%	98	100%	270

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
A	6%	2	15%	190
B	50%	16	34%	430
C	44%	14	50%	627
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1247

7. Do you support the concept of a “coach’s challenge” system that would permit coaches to have more control over specific situations in which they believe an incorrect on-court ruling has occurred by requiring the officials to conduct a replay review when requested.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Yes	71%	100	64%	84	39%	85	55%	269
No	24%	33	25%	33	39%	85	31%	151
No Opinion	5%	7	11%	15	22%	49	14%	71
TOTAL	100%	140	100%	132	100%	219	100%	491

8. Concerning the game official's uniform shirt, which of the following would you prefer:

A. Keep the current black-and-white shirt.

B. To adopt the men's black and white shirt.

C. To adopt the black and gray shirt .

ALL OTHER

Official

A	31%	390
B	23%	287
C	47%	590
TOTAL	100%	1267

2020-21 POINTS OF EMPHASIS

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following:

Point of Emphasis #1 – Post Play/Three Seconds

1. Officials consistently enforced the guidelines for legal contact by post defenders in the lane or approximately three feet outside the lane.

HEAD COACH

Division I

Division II

Division III

Overall

Strongly Agree	4%	5	4%	5	6%	13	5%	23
Agree	50%	70	56%	71	60%	125	56%	266
Disagree	32%	45	29%	37	29%	60	30%	142
Strongly Disagree	14%	20	11%	14	5%	11	9%	45
TOTAL	100%	140	100%	127	100%	209	100%	476

COMMISSIONER

Division I

Division II

Division III

Overall

Strongly Agree	12%	2	22%	2	8%	1	13%	5
Agree	71%	12	67%	6	85%	11	74%	29
Disagree	18%	3	11%	1	8%	1	13%	5
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	9	100%	13	100%	39

SWA

Division I

Division II

Division III

Overall

Strongly Agree	3%	2	3%	3	6%	5	4%	10
Agree	63%	44	73%	71	67%	58	68%	173
Disagree	31%	22	21%	20	26%	23	26%	65
Strongly Disagree	3%	2	3%	3	1%	1	2%	6
TOTAL	100%	70	100%	97	100%	87	100%	254

Legal Contact By Post Defenders CONTINUED

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	13%	4	16%	207
Agree	69%	22	75%	945
Disagree	19%	6	8%	102
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	1%	10
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1264

Point of Emphasis #1 – Post Play/Three Seconds

2. Officials consistently penalized offensive post players who displaced a legal defender (backing down, clearing out with arm).

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	9	5%	6	5%	10	5%	25
Agree	42%	59	50%	64	49%	102	47%	225
Disagree	44%	61	30%	38	36%	74	36%	173
Strongly Disagree	7%	10	15%	19	11%	22	11%	51
TOTAL	100%	139	100%	127	100%	208	100%	474

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	12%	2	22%	2	0%	0	10%	4
Agree	59%	10	67%	6	69%	9	64%	25
Disagree	29%	5	11%	1	23%	3	23%	9
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	8%	1	3%	1
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	9	100%	13	97%	39

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	4	5%	5	5%	4	5%	13
Agree	54%	38	61%	60	63%	55	60%	153
Disagree	34%	24	28%	27	29%	25	30%	76
Strongly Disagree	6%	4	6%	6	3%	3	5%	13
TOTAL	100%	70	100%	98	100%	87	100%	255

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	16%	5	20%	247
Agree	59%	19	64%	798
Disagree	22%	7	15%	188
Strongly Disagree	3%	1	1%	16
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1249

Point of Emphasis #1 – Post Play/Three Seconds

3. Officials consistently penalized offensive players who remained in the lane for more than three seconds.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	5%	7	6%	7	7%	14	6%	28
Agree	36%	51	37%	47	42%	88	39%	186
Disagree	41%	58	40%	51	34%	71	38%	180
Strongly Disagree	17%	24	17%	21	17%	35	17%	80
TOTAL	100%	140	100%	126	100%	208	100%	474

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	12%	2	11%	1	23%	3	15%	6
Agree	71%	12	33%	3	38%	5	51%	20
Disagree	18%	3	56%	5	31%	4	31%	12
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	8%	1	3%	1
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	9	100%	13	97%	39

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	3%	2	6%	6	8%	7	6%	15
Agree	49%	34	51%	50	49%	43	50%	127
Disagree	33%	23	34%	33	34%	30	34%	86
Strongly Disagree	16%	11	9%	9	8%	7	11%	27
TOTAL	100%	70	100%	98	100%	87	100%	255

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	22%	7	15%	182
Agree	53%	17	57%	699
Disagree	25%	8	27%	330
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	2%	25
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1236

Point of Emphasis #2 – Freedom of Movement/Players Moving Without the Ball/Screening/Guarding

1. Officials consistently penalized defenders who illegally contacted cutters by extending an armbar to displace the cutter or by holding or pushing the cutter.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	7%	9	5%	7	5%	11	6%	27
Agree	38%	53	47%	60	47%	98	45%	211
Disagree	39%	54	34%	44	36%	75	36%	173
Strongly Disagree	16%	22	14%	18	11%	23	13%	63
TOTAL	100%	138	100%	129	100%	207	100%	474

Defenders Illegally Contacting Cutters CONTINUED

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	12%	2	11%	1	0%	0	8%	3
Agree	65%	11	67%	6	92%	11	74%	28
Disagree	24%	4	22%	2	8%	1	18%	7
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	9	100%	12	100%	38

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	4	8%	8	7%	6	7%	18
Agree	50%	35	59%	57	55%	47	55%	139
Disagree	41%	29	29%	28	35%	30	35%	87
Strongly Disagree	3%	2	4%	4	2%	2	3%	8
TOTAL	100%	70	100%	97	100%	85	100%	252

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	28%	9	22%	276
Agree	53%	17	67%	843
Disagree	19%	6	11%	133
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	6
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1258

Point of Emphasis #2 – Freedom of Movement/Players Moving Without the Ball/Screening/Guarding

2. Officials consistently penalized cutters who initiated contact with defenders or pushed/held the defender.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	2%	3	5%	7	5%	10	4%	20
Agree	45%	62	51%	66	50%	103	49%	231
Disagree	44%	61	31%	40	35%	73	37%	174
Strongly Disagree	9%	13	12%	16	11%	22	11%	51
TOTAL	100%	139	100%	129	100%	208	100%	476

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	1	0%	0	0%	0	3%	1
Agree	59%	10	56%	5	67%	8	61%	23
Disagree	35%	6	44%	4	33%	4	37%	14
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	9	100%	12	100%	38

Penalized Cutters CONTINUED

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	1%	1	6%	6	6%	5	5%	12
Agree	50%	35	60%	58	59%	50	57%	143
Disagree	43%	30	30%	29	32%	27	34%	86
Strongly Disagree	6%	4	4%	4	4%	3	4%	11
TOTAL	100%	70	100%	97	100%	85	100%	252

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	22%	7	15%	192
Agree	50%	16	64%	804
Disagree	28%	9	20%	245
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	1%	14
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1255

Point of Emphasis #2 – Freedom of Movement/Players Moving Without the Ball/Screening/Guarding

3. Officials consistently enforced the screening rule correctly.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	1%	2	5%	6	4%	9	4%	17
Agree	46%	63	49%	63	52%	107	49%	233
Disagree	43%	59	32%	41	35%	73	37%	173
Strongly Disagree	10%	14	14%	18	9%	18	11%	50
TOTAL	100%	138	100%	128	100%	207	100%	473

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	1	0%	0	0%	0	3%	1
Agree	47%	8	78%	7	58%	7	58%	22
Disagree	41%	7	22%	2	42%	5	37%	14
Strongly Disagree	6%	1	0%	0	0%	0	3%	1
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	9	100%	12	97%	38

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	3%	2	7%	7	6%	5	6%	14
Agree	51%	35	63%	61	65%	55	60%	151
Disagree	39%	27	27%	26	27%	23	30%	76
Strongly Disagree	7%	5	3%	3	1%	1	4%	9
TOTAL	100%	69	100%	97	100%	84	100%	250

Screening Rule Enforced CONTINUED

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	9%	3	18%	227
Agree	69%	22	67%	839
Disagree	22%	7	14%	177
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	1%	10
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1253

Point of Emphasis #2 – Freedom of Movement/Players Moving Without the Ball/Screening/Guarding

4. Officials consistently enforced the guarding rule correctly.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	3%	4	5%	6	3%	6	3%	16
Agree	44%	61	54%	68	62%	129	55%	258
Disagree	44%	61	27%	34	25%	51	31%	146
Strongly Disagree	9%	12	14%	18	10%	21	11%	51
TOTAL	100%	138	100%	126	100%	207	100%	471

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	1	0%	0	0%	0	3%	1
Agree	76%	13	67%	6	67%	8	71%	27
Disagree	18%	3	33%	3	33%	4	26%	10
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	9	100%	12	100%	38

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	3%	2	6%	6	5%	4	5%	12
Agree	64%	45	64%	61	69%	58	66%	164
Disagree	30%	21	26%	25	24%	20	27%	66
Strongly Disagree	3%	2	3%	3	2%	2	3%	7
TOTAL	100%	70	100%	95	100%	84	100%	249

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	9%	3	20%	254
Agree	66%	21	71%	890
Disagree	19%	6	8%	103
Strongly Disagree	6%	2	0%	4
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1251

Point of Emphasis #3 – Contact On/By the Ball Handler/Dribbler

The defender is only permitted a one handed “hot stove” touch on the ball handler/dribbler. Any other touching by the defender with one hand or two, continual or continuous, or an arm-bar is a foul. Body bumping by a defender that affects the rhythm, speed, balance, or quickness of the dribbler or reroutes the dribbler off her path is a foul.

1. Officials consistently enforced the ball handler/dribbler rule as stated above.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	4%	5	6%	7	7%	14	5%	26
Agree	31%	44	38%	48	32%	66	33%	158
Disagree	38%	53	28%	36	40%	83	36%	172
Strongly Disagree	27%	38	28%	36	21%	44	25%	118
TOTAL	100%	140	100%	127	100%	207	100%	474

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	1	22%	2	0%	0	8%	3
Agree	65%	11	67%	6	67%	8	66%	25
Disagree	24%	4	11%	1	33%	4	24%	9
Strongly Disagree	6%	1	0%	0	0%	0	3%	1
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	9	100%	12	97%	38

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	4%	3	4%	4	5%	4	4%	11
Agree	45%	31	66%	65	50%	43	55%	139
Disagree	42%	29	21%	21	37%	32	32%	82
Strongly Disagree	9%	6	8%	8	8%	7	8%	21
TOTAL	100%	69	100%	98	100%	86	100%	253

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	25%	8	25%	312
Agree	41%	13	62%	781
Disagree	25%	8	12%	156
Strongly Disagree	9%	3	1%	10
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1259

Point of Emphasis #3 – Contact On/By the Ball Handler/Dribbler

The defender is only permitted a one handed “hot stove” touch on the ball handler/dribbler. Any other touching by the defender with one hand or two, continual or continuous, or an arm-bar is a foul. Body bumping by a defender that affects the rhythm, speed, balance, or quickness of the dribbler or reroutes the dribbler off her path is a foul.

2. Officiating crews were consistent within individual games (40 minutes) in calling ball handler/dribble contact.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	2%	3	4%	5	3%	6	3%	14
Agree	33%	46	33%	42	40%	82	36%	170
Disagree	43%	60	39%	50	36%	75	39%	185
Strongly Disagree	22%	30	24%	31	21%	43	22%	104
TOTAL	100%	139	100%	128	100%	206	100%	473

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	1	11%	1	0%	0	5%	2
Agree	71%	12	67%	6	67%	8	68%	26
Disagree	24%	4	22%	2	33%	4	26%	10
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	9	100%	12	100%	38

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	4%	3	1%	1	4%	3	3%	7
Agree	48%	33	66%	65	62%	53	60%	151
Disagree	43%	30	28%	27	27%	23	32%	80
Strongly Disagree	4%	3	5%	5	7%	6	6%	14
TOTAL	100%	69	100%	98	100%	85	100%	252

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	25%	8	18%	229
Agree	50%	16	66%	832
Disagree	16%	5	14%	180
Strongly Disagree	9%	3	1%	11
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1252

Point of Emphasis #3 – Contact On/By the Ball Handler/Dribbler

The defender is only permitted a one handed “hot stove” touch on the ball handler/dribbler. Any other touching by the defender with one hand or two, continual or continuous, or an arm-bar is a foul. Body bumping by a defender that affects the rhythm, speed, balance, or quickness of the dribbler or reroutes the dribbler off her path is a foul.

3. Officiating crews were consistent within the regular season (November to March) in calling ball handler/dribbler contact.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	1%	2	4%	5	3%	6	3%	13
Agree	28%	39	34%	43	37%	76	33%	158
Disagree	46%	65	36%	46	40%	82	41%	193
Strongly Disagree	24%	34	26%	33	20%	41	23%	108
TOTAL	100%	140	100%	127	100%	205	100%	472

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	1	13%	1	0%	0	5%	2
Agree	53%	9	50%	4	75%	9	59%	22
Disagree	41%	7	38%	3	25%	3	35%	13
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	8	100%	12	100%	37

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	3%	2	1%	1	4%	3	2%	6
Agree	42%	29	64%	61	54%	46	54%	136
Disagree	46%	32	31%	30	35%	30	37%	92
Strongly Disagree	9%	6	4%	4	7%	6	6%	16
TOTAL	100%	69	100%	96	100%	85	100%	250

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	19%	6	18%	221
Agree	53%	17	66%	827
Disagree	22%	7	15%	184
Strongly Disagree	6%	2	1%	12
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1244

Point of Emphasis #4 – Verticality

1. Officials consistently permitted a legally established defender to have their arms extended vertically and to jump within their vertical space.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	2%	3	5%	6	6%	12	4%	21
Agree	62%	86	57%	72	60%	125	60%	283
Disagree	30%	42	28%	36	28%	59	29%	137
Strongly Disagree	5%	7	10%	13	7%	14	7%	34
TOTAL	100%	138	100%	127	100%	210	100%	475

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	0%	0	0%	0	8%	1	3%	1
Agree	65%	11	67%	6	58%	7	63%	24
Disagree	35%	6	22%	2	33%	4	32%	12
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	11%	1	0%	0	3%	1
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	9	100%	12	97%	38

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	4%	3	8%	8	7%	6	7%	17
Agree	50%	35	58%	56	53%	44	54%	135
Disagree	39%	27	26%	25	34%	28	32%	80
Strongly Disagree	7%	5	8%	8	6%	5	7%	18
TOTAL	100%	70	100%	97	100%	83	100%	250

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	19%	6	29%	358
Agree	69%	22	62%	770
Disagree	9%	3	9%	113
Strongly Disagree	3%	1	1%	8
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1249

Point of Emphasis #5 – Rebounding

1. Officials consistently penalized players who “backed out”/displaced their opponent further from the basket/under the basket or undercut the opponent located behind them.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	8	6%	8	4%	8	5%	24
Agree	78%	109	70%	90	71%	148	73%	347
Disagree	14%	20	19%	24	22%	46	19%	90
Strongly Disagree	1%	2	5%	6	3%	6	3%	14
TOTAL	100%	139	100%	128	100%	208	100%	475

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	1	0%	0	0%	0	3%	1
Agree	76%	13	67%	6	75%	9	74%	28
Disagree	18%	3	33%	3	25%	3	24%	9
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	9	100%	12	100%	38

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	4%	3	4%	4	1%	1	3%	8
Agree	73%	51	74%	71	62%	53	69%	175
Disagree	23%	16	22%	21	35%	30	27%	67
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	2%	2	1%	2
TOTAL	100%	70	100%	96	100%	86	100%	252

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	9%	3	21%	268
Agree	78%	25	66%	835
Disagree	13%	4	12%	150
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	3
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1256

Point of Emphasis #5 – Rebounding

2. Officials consistently penalized players who “hooked” or “clamped” the opponent’s arm during a rebound.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	3%	4	6%	8	5%	10	5%	22
Agree	50%	69	65%	82	59%	122	58%	273
Disagree	41%	56	26%	33	31%	65	33%	154
Strongly Disagree	6%	8	3%	4	5%	11	5%	23
TOTAL	100%	137	100%	127	100%	208	100%	472

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	18%	3	25%	2	0%	0	14%	5
Agree	76%	13	63%	5	83%	10	76%	28
Disagree	6%	1	13%	1	17%	2	11%	4
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	8	100%	12	100%	37

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	0%	0	4%	4	7%	6	4%	10
Agree	67%	47	67%	64	63%	54	65%	165
Disagree	26%	18	24%	23	28%	24	26%	65
Strongly Disagree	7%	5	5%	5	2%	2	5%	12
TOTAL	100%	70	100%	96	100%	86	100%	252

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	6%	2	14%	177
Agree	68%	21	63%	783
Disagree	26%	8	22%	271
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	6
TOTAL	100%	31	100%	1237

Point of Emphasis #6 – Free Throw Coverage

1. Officials consistently penalized opponents who illegally contacted the free-throw shooter after the free throw was released.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	5%	7	9%	11	6%	12	6%	30
Agree	76%	105	76%	97	76%	159	76%	361
Disagree	16%	22	13%	16	16%	34	15%	72
Strongly Disagree	3%	4	2%	3	1%	3	2%	10
TOTAL	100%	138	100%	127	100%	208	100%	473

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	1	0%	0	17%	2	8%	3
Agree	88%	15	63%	5	67%	8	76%	28
Disagree	6%	1	25%	2	17%	2	14%	5
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	13%	1	0%	0	3%	1
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	8	100%	12	97%	37

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	9%	6	11%	11	5%	4	8%	21
Agree	79%	54	80%	77	84%	72	81%	203
Disagree	12%	8	8%	8	12%	10	10%	26
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
TOTAL	100%	68	100%	96	100%	86	100%	250

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	31%	10	16%	200
Agree	59%	19	61%	767
Disagree	9%	3	21%	265
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	2%	21
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1253

Point of Emphasis #6 – Free Throw Coverage

2. Officials consistently penalized players located behind the three-point line and above the free-throw line extended who crossed the three-point line before the free throw contacted the ring, flange, or backboard.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	7%	10	10%	13	7%	15	8%	38
Agree	78%	108	81%	104	83%	172	81%	384
Disagree	12%	17	8%	10	9%	18	9%	45
Strongly Disagree	2%	3	1%	1	1%	3	1%	7
TOTAL	100%	138	100%	128	100%	208	100%	474

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	12%	2	13%	1	8%	1	11%	4
Agree	76%	13	63%	5	75%	9	73%	27
Disagree	12%	2	25%	2	17%	2	16%	6
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	8	100%	12	100%	37

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	10%	7	15%	14	8%	7	11%	28
Agree	76%	52	77%	73	85%	73	80%	198
Disagree	13%	9	8%	8	7%	6	9%	23
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
TOTAL	100%	68	100%	95	100%	86	100%	249

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	31%	10	23%	282
Agree	56%	18	64%	796
Disagree	13%	4	12%	152
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	6
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1236

Point of Emphasis #7 – Bench Decorum/Sportsmanship/Coaching Box

1. Officials consistently assessed the proper penalties for inappropriate behavior and misconduct.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	9	8%	10	11%	23	9%	42
Agree	65%	90	74%	96	73%	151	71%	337
Disagree	24%	33	14%	18	13%	27	16%	78
Strongly Disagree	5%	7	4%	5	3%	7	4%	19
TOTAL	100%	139	100%	129	100%	208	100%	476

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	1	0%	0	0%	0	3%	1
Agree	78%	14	78%	7	58%	7	72%	28
Disagree	17%	3	22%	2	33%	4	23%	9
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	8%	1	3%	1
TOTAL	100%	18	100%	9	100%	12	97%	39

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	4	9%	9	5%	4	7%	17
Agree	72%	50	75%	72	79%	68	76%	190
Disagree	13%	9	15%	14	14%	12	14%	35
Strongly Disagree	9%	6	1%	1	2%	2	4%	9
TOTAL	100%	69	100%	96	100%	86	100%	251

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	13%	4	15%	187
Agree	53%	17	60%	757
Disagree	34%	11	22%	271
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	3%	41
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1256

Point of Emphasis #7 – Bench Decorum/Sportsmanship/Coaching Box

2. Officials consistently assessed the proper penalties assessed for bench decorum violations.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	7%	10	7%	9	10%	21	8%	40
Agree	69%	96	77%	98	74%	154	73%	348
Disagree	19%	26	13%	16	13%	27	15%	69
Strongly Disagree	5%	7	4%	5	3%	6	4%	18
TOTAL	100%	139	100%	128	100%	208	100%	475

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	11%	2	0%	0	0%	0	5%	2
Agree	61%	11	67%	6	58%	7	62%	24
Disagree	28%	5	33%	3	33%	4	31%	12
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	8%	1	3%	1
TOTAL	100%	18	100%	9	100%	12	97%	39

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	4%	3	10%	10	5%	4	7%	17
Agree	64%	44	72%	69	85%	73	74%	186
Disagree	26%	18	18%	17	9%	8	17%	43
Strongly Disagree	6%	4	0%	0	1%	1	2%	5
TOTAL	100%	69	100%	96	100%	86	100%	251

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	9%	3	13%	158
Agree	56%	18	61%	758
Disagree	28%	9	24%	296
Strongly Disagree	6%	2	3%	35
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1247

Point of Emphasis #7 – Bench Decorum/Sportsmanship/Coaching Box

3. Officials consistently assessed the proper penalties assessed for violations of the coaching box.

HEAD COACH	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	4%	6	7%	9	10%	21	8%	36
Agree	58%	80	72%	92	66%	137	65%	309
Disagree	30%	42	11%	14	17%	35	19%	91
Strongly Disagree	8%	11	10%	13	7%	15	8%	39
TOTAL	100%	139	100%	128	100%	208	100%	475

COMMISSIONER	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	6%	1	0%	0	0%	0	3%	1
Agree	35%	6	56%	5	33%	4	39%	15
Disagree	59%	10	44%	4	50%	6	53%	20
Strongly Disagree	0%	0	0%	0	17%	2	5%	2
TOTAL	100%	17	100%	9	100%	12	95%	38

SWA	Division I		Division II		Division III		Overall	
Strongly Agree	3%	2	9%	9	6%	5	6%	16
Agree	43%	30	55%	53	65%	56	55%	139
Disagree	41%	28	28%	27	26%	22	31%	77
Strongly Disagree	13%	9	7%	7	3%	3	8%	19
TOTAL	100%	69	100%	96	100%	86	100%	251

ALL OTHER	Coordinator		Official	
Strongly Agree	13%	4	11%	137
Agree	44%	14	60%	741
Disagree	38%	12	26%	322
Strongly Disagree	6%	2	4%	44
TOTAL	100%	32	100%	1244